

# THE POWER AND POWER CHANGES IN QUALIFICATIONAL ASPECT FOR COMPETITORS IN JAVELIN THROW

S. STOIKOV<sup>1</sup> R. KARAPETROVA<sup>1</sup> G. STOYKOV<sup>1</sup>

**Abstract:** *It is most common in sports practice the following exercises from weightlifting to be borrowed for the development of javelin throwers' strength development: barbell snatch, barbell clean turn, lying clean, squat, etc. This article deals with strength training of both men and women.*

**Keywords:** *power changes, competitors, javelin throw*

## 1. Introduction

Strength development of javelin throwers is a delicate matter. Working too fast on it without consideration of the individuals technical abilities may result in undesirable consequences in their further technical improvement. Premature strength development most frequently results in 'throwing from hand' because of the false feeling of the apparatus light weight. Premature strength development combined with low sports performance may have a negative effect on the young javelin thrower's mental condition and motivation. That is the reason why knowledge about the strength training of the best is essential for the preparation of young javelin throwers - preparation with fewer mistakes on the road to high sports performance.

## 2. Methods

The aim of the study is as follows: modeling of the changes in javelin throwers' strength potential during the process of changing their sports

qualification aiming at higher effectiveness of the training process.

The object of this study was 43 men with performances ranging from 53.04 m to 89.22 m and 36 women with performances ranging from 53.32 m to 68.34 m.

The subject of study was their strength abilities

The follow of the changes in the strength potential of the persons under study was carried out on the basis of five indices (Table 1).

*Indices* Table 1

| Men | Women           | Name of five indices     |
|-----|-----------------|--------------------------|
| y   | y <sup>^</sup>  | Sports performans - m    |
| X1  | X1 <sup>^</sup> | Barbell snatch - kg      |
| X2  | X2 <sup>^</sup> | Barbell squat - kg       |
| X3  | X3 <sup>^</sup> | Barbell clean turn - kg  |
| X4  | X4 <sup>^</sup> | Lwing barbell clean - kg |

Variation, correlation, regress and sigma methods as well as comparative analysis have been applied to analyze the results. values of the respective index of motor potential, the coefficients of asymmetry (a), excess (e) and variation (V%).

<sup>1</sup>“Vassil Levski” National Sports Academy, Sofia, Bulgaria.

### 3. Results and Analysis

Here are presented the mean (X mean), minimal (X min) and maximal (X max)

Table 2 shows the results from the variation analysis on the data in the study.

*Variation Analysis*

Table 2

| Sex | Ind. | $\bar{X}_{cp.}$ | $\bar{X}_{min.}$ | $\bar{X}_{max.}$ | $a$   | $e$   | $R_x$ | V%    |
|-----|------|-----------------|------------------|------------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| M   | Y    | 66,02           | 53,04            | 89,22            | 0,9   | -0,07 | 36,18 | 11,20 |
|     | X1   | 90,98           | 70               | 115              | 0,07  | -0,54 | 45    | 12,10 |
|     | X2   | 158,90          | 120              | 220              | 0,59  | -0,77 | 100   | 11,86 |
|     | X3   | 123,35          | 100              | 170              | 0,77  | -0,21 | 70    | 10,44 |
|     | X4   | 107,93          | 80               | 155              | 0,54  | -0,85 | 75    | 10,59 |
| W   | Y`   | 61,25           | 53,32            | 68,34            | -0,38 | 0,21  | 15,02 | 5,32  |
|     | X1`  | 68,97           | 55               | 87,5             | 0,41  | 0,43  | 32,5  | 11,06 |
|     | X2`  | 127,39          | 100              | 155              | -0,17 | -0,64 | 55    | 11,31 |
|     | X3`  | 84,61           | 67,5             | 110              | 0,85  | 0,98  | 42,5  | 10,76 |
|     | X4`  | 79,51           | 65               | 100              | 0,49  | -0,44 | 35    | 11,02 |

The mean values (X mean) of sports performance (66.02 m for men and 61.25 m for women) determine the sample of the competitors under study as qualified.

The swing ( $R_x = X_{max} - X_{min}$ ) completes the picture presenting an opportunity to follow the changes in the indices under study with the changes in sports qualification in a great range of 36.18 m with men and 15.02 m with women.

Similar is the picture of the other strength potential indices under study.

The strength abilities of the athletes under study also reveal substantial values which undergo considerable changes together with the changes in their sports-technical abilities.

The variation coefficient values also guarantee high homogeneity of data. When we add excess (Ex) and asymmetry (Ax) coefficients values which are lower than one we come to the logical conclusion that

the sample is highly homogenous of normal Gauss distribution of cases. This has given us the opportunity to apply a number of mathematical and statistical methods for the procession of the data the result of which are presented here.

Table 3 shows the results of the correlation analysis for the two groups of athletes. Exceptionally high correlation has been found between the sports results and the indices under study as well as between the indices of the strength potential in both sexes:  $r$  varies from 0.813 to 0.967. This determines the indices themselves as highly informative for javelin throwers' strength development. It also provides possibilities for application of the regress method for the development of models of control and management of the training process.

Tables 4, 5 show the models: straight, reverse and multiple

## Correlation Analysis

Table 3

| Sex                   | Indices         | Y              | X1              | X2              | X3              | X4              |
|-----------------------|-----------------|----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| M<br>E<br>N           | Y               | ---            | 0,825           | 0,928           | 0,894           | 0,944           |
|                       | X1              |                | ---             | 0,907           | 0,892           | 0,916           |
|                       | X2              |                |                 | ---             | 0,955           | 0,967           |
|                       | X3              |                |                 |                 | ---             | 0,938           |
|                       | X4              |                |                 |                 |                 | ---             |
| W<br>O<br>M<br>E<br>N | Indices         | Y <sup>^</sup> | X1 <sup>^</sup> | X2 <sup>^</sup> | X3 <sup>^</sup> | X4 <sup>^</sup> |
|                       | Y <sup>^</sup>  | ---            | 0,917           | 0,954           | 0,869           | 0,906           |
|                       | X1 <sup>^</sup> |                | ---             | 0,853           | 0,931           | 0,837           |
|                       | X2 <sup>^</sup> |                |                 | ---             | 0,813           | 0,862           |
|                       | X3 <sup>^</sup> |                |                 |                 | ---             | 0,852           |
|                       | X4 <sup>^</sup> |                |                 |                 |                 | ---             |

## Regression Models (Men)

Table 4

| Positive models   | SE   | r    | Negative models              | SE    |
|---|------|------|------------------------------|-------|
| $Y = 7,58 + 0,64 \cdot X1$  | 6,12 | 0,82 | $X1 = 21,03 + 1,06 \cdot Y$  | 4,93  |
| $Y = 13,41 + 0,33 \cdot X2$   | 4,05 | 0,93 | $X2 = -12,66 + 1,49 \cdot Y$ | 11,34 |
| $Y = -0,23 + 0,54 \cdot X3$   | 4,84 | 0,89 | $X3 = 25,00 + 1,49 \cdot Y$  | 8,07  |
| $Y = 16,97 + 0,45 \cdot X4$   | 3,57 | 0,94 | $X4 = -21,55 + 1,96 \cdot Y$ | 7,42  |
| Multiple model: $Y = 22,648 - 0,248 \cdot X1 + 0,156 \cdot X2 + 0,31 \cdot X3 + 0,418 \cdot X4$ |      |      | SE                           | R     |
|   |      |      | 3,302                        | 0,89  |

## Regression Models (Women)

Table 5

| Positive models  | SE   | r    | Negative models                                  | SE   |
|--|------|------|--|------|
| $Y^{\wedge} = 34,265 + 0,391 \cdot X1^{\wedge}$  | 1,31 | 0,95 | $X1^{\wedge} = -62,6 + 2,14 \cdot Y^{\wedge}$    | 3,07 |
| $Y^{\wedge} = 33,773 + 0,215 \cdot X2^{\wedge}$  | 0,89 | 0,91 | $X2^{\wedge} = -131,227 + 4,22 \cdot Y^{\wedge}$ | 4,35 |
| $Y^{\wedge} = 34,938 + 0,310 \cdot X3^{\wedge}$  | 1,62 | 0,86 | $X3^{\wedge} = -64,232 + 2,43 \cdot Y^{\wedge}$  | 4,54 |
| $Y^{\wedge} = 34,481 + 0,336 \cdot X4^{\wedge}$  | 1,39 | 0,90 | $X4^{\wedge} = -69,981 + 2,44 \cdot Y^{\wedge}$  | 3,74 |
| Multiple model: $Y^{\wedge} = 31,479 + 0,153 \cdot X1^{\wedge} + 0,1159 \cdot X2^{\wedge} - 0,028 \cdot X3^{\wedge} + 0,085 \cdot X4^{\wedge}$ |      |      | SE   | r    |
|  |      |      | 0,685  | 0,97 |

Here we suggest more opportunities for quality evaluation of javelin throwers' abilities.

Tables 6 and 7 show evaluation of the respective ability developed on the basis of

sigma method. They are as follows: 6 (excellent), 5 (very good), 4 (good), 3 (satisfactory) and 2 (poor).

*Evaluation By Sigma Method (Men)*

Table 6

| Note | Y (m)         | X1 (kg)   | X2 (kg)   | X3 (kg)   | X4 (kg)   |
|------|---------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| 6    | +83,50        | +118      | + 219     | + 158     | + 152     |
| 5    | 78,33– 83,49  | 115 - 118 | 189 - 219 | 141 - 158 | 131 - 152 |
| 4    | 70,23 – 78,32 | 77 - 114  | 129 - 188 | 106 - 140 | 86 - 130  |
| 3    | 62,05 – 70,22 | 64 - 76   | 99 - 128  | 88 - 105  | 64 - 85   |
| 2    | - 62,05       | - 64      | - 99      | - 88      | - 64      |

*Evaluation by Sigma Method (Women)*

Table 7

| Note | Y` (m)      | X4` (kg)  | X5` (kg) | X6` (kg) | X7` (kg) |
|------|-------------|-----------|----------|----------|----------|
| 6    | + 67,76     | +156      | +84      | +103     | +97      |
| 5    | 64,51-67,76 | 142 - 155 | 77 - 83  | 94 - 102 | 88 - 96  |
| 4    | 57,99-64,51 | 113 - 141 | 61 - 76  | 76 - 94  | 71 - 87  |
| 3    | 54,74-57,99 | 99 - 112  | 54 - 60  | 66 - 75  | 62 -70   |
| 2    | - 54,74     | - 99      | - 54     | - 66     | - 62     |

Table 8 offers quality evaluation of the degree of utilization of the respective ability, developed as a result of the difference between the result calculated theoretically in the respective index and its

empirical value. The grades reflect the degree of transformation of the respective quality, 6 (excellent), 5 (very good), 4 (good), 3 (satisfactory) or 2 (poor).

*Transformation of the Respective Abilitys (Men)*

Table 8

|   | X1 (Ym - Ye)  | X2 (Ym - Ye) | X3 (Ym - Ye) | X4 (Ym - Ye)  |
|---|---------------|--------------|--------------|---------------|
| 6 | +3,0          | + 4,0        | +3,50        | +3,20         |
| 5 | 1,50 – 3,0    | 2,0 – 4,0    | 2,0 – 3,50   | 1,60 – 3,20   |
| 4 | 1,50 -- -1,50 | 2,0 -- -2,0  | 2,0 -- -2,0  | 1,60 -- -1,60 |
| 3 | -1,50 – -3,0  | -4,0 – -4,0  | -2,0 – -4,0  | -1,60 – -3,20 |
| 2 | - 3,0         | -4,0         | -4,0         | -3,20         |

*Transformation of the Respective Abilitys (Women)*

Table 9

|   | $X1 (Ym - Ye)$ | $X2 (Ym - Ye)$ | $X3 (Ym - Ye)$ | $X4 (Ym - Ye)$ |
|---|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| 6 | +2,60          | + 2,0          | +3,20          | +2,80          |
| 5 | 1,30 – 2,60    | 1,0 – 2,0      | 1,60 – 3,20    | 1,40 – 2,80    |
| 4 | 1,30 – -1,30   | 1,0 – -1,0     | 1,60 – -1,60   | 1,40 – -1,40   |
| 3 | -1,30 – -2,60  | -1,0 – -2,0    | -1,60 – -3,20  | -1,40 – -2,80  |
| 2 | - 2,60         | -2,0           | -3,20          | -2,80          |

As a result of the study carried out on the strength development of competitors (male and female) in javelin throw we have developed example norms presented in Tables 10 and 11.

*The Models of the Development of Javelin Throwers (Men)*

Table 10

| Y  | 50 | 55  | 60  | 65   | 70  | 75  | 80  | 85  | 90  |
|----|----|-----|-----|------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| X1 | 40 | 50  | 60  | 72,5 | 85  | 95  | 105 | 115 | 130 |
| X2 | 90 | 110 | 130 | 145  | 160 | 175 | 190 | 205 | 220 |
| X3 | 55 | 70  | 85  | 100  | 115 | 130 | 140 | 150 | 160 |
| X4 | 60 | 70  | 80  | 95   | 110 | 120 | 130 | 140 | 150 |

*The Models of the Development of Javelin Throwers (Women)*

Table 11

| Y <sup>^</sup>  | 40 | 45 | 50 | 55   | 60  | 65   | 70  |
|-----------------|----|----|----|------|-----|------|-----|
| X1 <sup>^</sup> | 30 | 40 | 50 | 60   | 70  | 80   | 90  |
| X2 <sup>^</sup> | 40 | 60 | 80 | 100  | 120 | 140  | 160 |
| X3 <sup>^</sup> | 40 | 50 | 60 | 72,5 | 85  | 97,5 | 110 |
| X4 <sup>^</sup> | 40 | 45 | 50 | 62,5 | 75  | 87,5 | 100 |

#### 4. Conclusion

Here is presented a unique opportunity for following up the qualification aspect of javelin throwers' strength development.

In order to achieve international level performances (over 80 m) with male javelin throwers their strength abilities should be measured as follows: barbell snatch - over 100 kg, squat - over 160 kg,

clean turn – 140 kg, lying barbell clean – over 130 kg.

In order to achieve performances of high international level (over 60 m) with women with female javelin throwers their strength abilities should be measured as follows: barbell snatch - over 70 kg, squat - over 120 kg, clean turn – 90 kg, lying barbell clean – over 80.

**References**

1. Желязков, Цв., Д. Дашева (2002) – Основи на спортната тренировка. МФ, С.
2. Зациорский, В. М. /1966/ - Физические качества спортсмена. МФ, М.
3. Кузнецов, В. В. /1970/ - Силовая подготовка спортсменов высших разрядов. ФС, М.
4. Кузнецов, В. В. /1975/ - К проблеме модельных характеристик квалифицированных спортсменов. ТПФК, 6.
5. Мазалитис, В. Э. /1967/ - Метание копья. ФС, М.
6. Станчев, Ст.К. /1971/ - Хвърляне на копие и малка топка. МФ, С.
7. Стойков, Ст. (2007) – Двигателният потенциал на българските хвърлячи. НСА, С.
8. Paish, W. (1994) *Javelin training*. England.
9. Stoykov, St. (2005) *Control of the training process*. 28 EACA Congress. NSA, Sofia.
10. Stoykov, St. (2005) *Review of the training session – elite competitors*. 28 EACA Congress. NSA, Sofia.
11. Stoykov, St. (2007) *The motor potential of the Bulgarian throwers*. S.