

## Mastering the Musical Memory of the Skilled Pianist

Claudia- Ligia ŞUTEU<sup>1</sup>

**Abstract:** *Understanding human memory, learning processes, and individual variances may lead to the development of improved and customized piano music learning systems. This article examines the perception, recall, rehearsal and interpretation of the piano repertoire in light of current advancements in neuroscience. The postulated psychological foundations for musical performance and cognition are becoming to resemble one another more. The traditional structure of music has a massive effect on how the brain remembers and retrieves information during performance. The most current findings in neurology research on musical memory, execution and acquisition can be very valuable to piano players. Classically trained musicians will be more productive and have access to a multi-modal paradigm for developing skills if they have a thorough knowledge of the technique of memorizing and mastering new piano repertoire.*

Key-words: *musical memory, skilled memorizing, musical mind*

### 1. Introduction

Techniques in mastering the memorizing of various piano compositions have frequently been a riddle that requires special techniques. The study of how pianists comprehend, acquire, and recall music, as well as how these intricate processes work during musical performance, has been a growing topic of study in the last thirty years. This article reviews recent research on piano playing and piano music, with a focus on perceiving, training, remembrance, and performance. It is believed that it will be useful for both musicians and neuroscience music scientists. It is discussed how the brain's memory system operates. The current findings relating motor learning to perceptual learning are preceded with a review of motor learning. This study expands on this by talking about issues like cross techniques and individual variations that are connected to music memorization. This research also investigates memory maintenance, consolidation, and learning, all of which have recently been substantiated by previous studies related on the piano. It

---

<sup>1</sup> PhD, Transilvania University of Braşov, [claudiasuteu@yahoo.com](mailto:claudiasuteu@yahoo.com)

considers the role of the dominant method of learning while also looking at the relevance of awareness and conceptual understanding. The approaches on nurturing musical expression, the use of aural imagery in practice and performance, and other relevant subjects are also examined in this article.

## **2. A look at historical facets of the pianist's musical memory**

This paper looks at how modern neuroscience research now senses, understands, practices, and performs piano music. Music memorization gained prominent in performances in the middle of the nineteenth century (Cook 2013, 28). Memorization was not common nor promoted before, regardless of the fact that there is evidence that several well-known performers performed from memory beforehand (Cook 2013, 35). Instead, emphasis was placed on a performer's capacity for improvisation and musical interpretation.

The general public became more interested in hearing and seeing dexterity in performance as music got more popular. The need for exciting live performances increased, and particular artists received increasing attention as in Tan et al. (2010, 385). Even if they continued to improvise, performers started to employ memory and virtuosity together to establish their reputations.

The great compositions of significant composers were institutionalized, standardized, and appreciated by amateurs, professionals, and students. Felix Mendelssohn Bartholdy played a key role in bringing back music that had been deemed out of style at the time. The works of the most prominent composers were accepted as canonical works of music. Standard works by major composers were studied and heard on a regular basis. At this stage, altering or improvising on the established works was unacceptable (Swanwick 2003, 275).

It's noteworthy to recall that up to the start of the 20th century, teachers discouraged memory in their instructional works or in the classroom. Beginning in the 1880s, memorizing was still seen as an amazing skill, but as it became more common, performers worried that audience would catch any mistakes (Cook 2013, 57). Mental rehearsal was considered as being helpful from the first reading of a work until its final performance, even if score analysis was increasingly seen to be more helpful for the early comprehension of structure.

The matching hypothesis, which asserted that until a piece was played by heart, music could not be effectively grasped and mastered, had arisen by the beginning of the 20th century. The fundamental concept that a performance that is not memorized is of poorer quality endures to this day. The methods for learning a

piano piece by heart nowadays may be seen as natural if one has taken the appropriate steps to master a difficult piece (Swanwick 2003, 273).

The process of developing a new physical talent, such as mastering a piece of piano music, is known as skill acquisition. The brain can “wire up” and “reconfigure” itself thanks to adaptability, which enables it to shift, adjust and acquire a brand-new ability. Studies are now being conducted to better understand brain changes when learning a musical repertoire as in as in Tan et al. (2010, 374).

Traditionally, playing piano without a score has been seen as a method that is passed down from teacher to student, as a personal process that must be discovered for oneself, or as a completely mysterious process.

## **2.1. Techniques in mastering playing piano music without a score**

Traditional musicians and pianists are accustomed to utilizing scores for both exercise and conceptual examination away from a keyboard. To properly absorb music, appreciate a composer's intentions, and assimilate it, these methods are frequently integrated (Meyer 2008, 35). This section's investigation study aims to understand the useful contribution of score learning in quietness and theoretical structural analysis to performing piano repertoire without a score.

New research technologies have provided us with an unprecedented chance to learn about music understanding and playing the piano without a score by studying the brain when it listens to, recalls, assembles, and plays music. New brain imaging techniques have significantly expanded musical cognitive investigation since the turn of the century (Doidge 2007, 153).

There are specific innovative methods, such as structural magnetic resonance imaging (MRI), functional MRI (fMRI), magnetoencephalography (MEG) and transcranial magnetic stimulation (TMS).

The intricacy of piano performance necessitates numerous sensory systems cooperating with higher cognitive function, and the effects of the period of training on these systems may also be investigated. This makes the art of playing the piano without a score, planning, and performance excellent for research.

In order to provide ways for learning and performing piano repertoire without a score, it is essential to understand the function of music analysis in the fields of competence (Meyer 2008, 29). The first choice is to learn a piece's structure, intonation, rhythm, harmony, as well as its structural and aesthetic elements. Understanding how the piano section fits within a broader composition was necessary for this. Another similar approach is to characterize an object by disassembling it into its component parts and examining its precise construction. Alternative approaches include examining the musical realization and translation,

as well as the location of any technical issues, may be used to inform interpretive decisions (Cook 2013, 108). When combined, such methods would result in the use of analysis and imagined practice simultaneously rather than as distinct endeavors. More research and practical applications are being made into the value of musical experience and the influence of musical structure on memory.

### **3. The neuroscience of the musical brain memory**

The parts of the brain responsible for recognition and motor planning improve when music is initially learned through playing. To fully understand a brand-new piece, nevertheless, it might be important to hear it in its entirety before practicing. A first hearing has also been shown to enhance the benefits of practice improvements and sleep consolidation. Sleeping consolidation is the second phase of memory consolidation, which takes place at night. This is a part of memory improvement, which modifies memories to boost performance when skills are recalled. Memory enhancement is the second step of memory consolidation. The majority of the brain's sleep-related aspects are useful during the consolidation stage.

Researches were conducted on musicians' ability to identify songs they had learned by listening or performing. After mastering each mode, the compositions were played back to the musicians, occasionally with changes like misplaced, out-of-key notes (Johnson 1987, 245). The musicians had to decide if the compositions resembled the genuine compositions or not. Scientists have seen increased activity in motor cortex organizing areas throughout the sensation of shifting frequencies, as if the melody had been acquired via performing it. These results also depended on the pianist detection precision of the repetition. When patterns were played, the brain's perceptual and tonal signals for recognition varied, revealing that learned repertoire responses to out-of-key notes. The way that music is taught may affect how long it is remembered. Regarding this aspect, it is necessary to take in consideration the fact that Long-Term Memory is a highly expansive, perhaps everlasting memory, the contents of which are typically unconscious. Long-term memory is used to translate present perception into meaning and understanding. Implicit memory is distinguished from explicit memory (accessible to consciousness) in long-term memory (not available to consciousness). Semantic and episodic memories are subcategories of explicit long-term memory. The ability to retain long-term memories is necessary for understanding complex musical forms as in Chaffin et al. (2016, 550). In contrast to long-term memory, short-term memory is more akin to temporary memory, having a limited capacity of just 6–9 items and a short lifetime (4–11 seconds, on average). Conscious rehearsal enables

knowledge to be retained in short-term memory for extended periods of time. Short-term memory duration, which accounts for regional musical features like phrases, is one of the constraints on the size of small ensembles as in Chaffin et al. (2016, 558).

Similar to being aware of different memory types, virtuosity and playing the piano without a score go alongside one another. There is a close connection between her methods and how to memorize while achieving musical objectives. When a pianist concentrates on objectives other than just playing without a score, certain things happen. The first is that the pianist employs their mental image of the piece's intended sound as a practice aid. Second, practice must always be motivated by goals, and the road to obtaining those goals must be periodically evaluated. Third, complex motions must be automated (Levitin 2011, 157).

When playing piano without a score, motor skills must also be taken into account in addition to virtuosity. A motor program called the Program for Generalized Motors represents the established connections between the many movement segments that make up a motor skill. This is made up of proportionate connections between motions that are stable structural patterns that may be displayed in many performance contexts (for example, playing a melody at varying speed).

## **5. Incorporating memory systems when playing the piano**

There is a significant exploratory proficiency in piano performance from the perspective of merging different forms of memory used in the performance. Associative chains are a representation of practice-related spontaneous memorization. Information in these chains can only be accessed from the beginning unless a conscious effort is made to be able to remember music from other places (Csikszentmihalyi 1990, 79). They classified some regions, other than the beginning, as content-addressable memory locations. It is essential to rehearse structure at previously practiced locations in order to prepare for possible memory retrieval.

While a pianist may store a significant amount of data using paradigms, leading hypothesis indicates that when reminiscence is reconstructed, specifics will be lost. It is normal for performances to have errors because the performer replaces the specific notes supplied by the author with the musical meaning based on a basic grasp of chromatic, lyrical, and rhythmic patterns as in Chaffin et al. (2016, 564).

The solution is related to how memory works. For instance, oral traditions transmit music over many generations without the use of a score and, for the most part, with little alteration to the structure and content of their music.

Auditory memory causes associative cuing and also creates a guiding image of the sound of a piece. Creating auditory schemas is a sort of skill since it makes it easier to create firm expectations the more melodic, rhythmic, and stylistic patterns one is able to detect. The development of schemas in musicians is aided by strong aural abilities and regular exposure to music, which improves their ability to execute from memory. Although one can practice intellectually, one of the ways to feel comfortable knowing that motions are intact is by actually playing.

Emotional memory also contributes to learning piano tunes since thoughts associated with feelings are recalled more fully (Levitin 2011, 139). Musicians typically have a very hard time playing for scientists when asked to do it without passion or personality. By practicing with musical expression, the mind in its entirety and the affective recall signals are strengthened. Sensory memory has been shown to be changeable. Some musicians claim that when they perform, they consistently see the score. Others must coordinate their visual stimuli or remain focused on their fingers. Performers need to experiment widely before settling on their powerful visual strategies as in Chaffin et al. (2016, 563).

The three guiding principles for professional memory are prolonged exercise to shorten the time required for recovery from long-term memory, significant storage of fresh input, and use of a well-learned retrieval framework (Levitin 2011, 142).

Considering every individual has a similar neural system, aural remembering shouldn't differ across individuals more than any other human trait. Important and effective techniques for learning the piano are more generally known than ever, making them more useful to everyone.

## **6. Conclusions**

Piano players can benefit greatly from the most recent neurologic research on music learning, cognition, and interpretation. Even while some research findings may only reaffirm existing information for artists, having scientific proof has advantages. It is quite helpful to do research that clarifies, rebalances, or introduces new concepts to enhance musicianship.

In order to practice effectively, it is important to set goals and constantly track the progress over time. Setting a goal, being mindful of it, and giving complete focus to piano practice may be more crucial than it has been previously realized (Johnson 1987, 252).

The first modes of learning seem to be the ones most closely associated with one's unique talents. It will probably be more convincingly shown that the initial modality of practice is immaterial if multimodal approaches are utilized early on along with an auditory picture (Csikszentmihalyi 1990, 68).

Strong practice habits embrace desirable difficulties, such as varying practice to strengthen motor skills, interleaving to create contextual interference, spacing the timing of practice for the best memory consolidation, and recall techniques that enhance test results and coordinate seamlessly with associative cueing. Even though they hinder early performance improvements, these practice methods are crucial.

Multimodal memory connections are strengthened through practice that links different memory modalities, such as auditory and spatial abilities (practicing while looking at hands or seeing one's physical body) or motor skill coordinated with emotion (practicing performance cues). More synaptic connections are made and larger, stronger networks of memory linkages are built as cognitive complexity and multimodality are increased in practice, which is important for memory power and long-term learning (Cook 2013, 176).

Musicians should be appreciative that practicing enhances working memory. Nevertheless, awareness controls memory skills. Training that involves switching one's attention creates a conducive environment and builds confidence in one's capability to go on playing even when sidetracked. Similar to this, paying attention to different musical elements provides one the best potential to create a strong visual picture and a wealth of musical possibilities for performance.

Music processing in our brains is multilayered, much like music itself (Doidge 2007, 39). The compositional architecture of the music has an impact on every action a musician takes, including initial perception, rehearsal, theme pick, expressive phrasing, speed, and comprehension of shape. Memory systems can be relied upon to update one's sense of timing and assist in carrying out expression plans while the performer is on stage. According to research on planning during performance, one should never think about the past and should only focus on the sound that is being made at the now and the sound that will come next. Piano players should think about being more proactive in considering textures in a different or opposing approach from how they often do. For example, recognizing the melodic contour of a tenor voice in a new register or from a different segmental position, or noticing the inner voice leading in harmonic structures, may provide additional clarity to those places (Doidge 2007, 35). It could be possible to reduce common errors that now seem to be quite foreseeable by learning more exact methods and approaches that pay close attention to every aspect.

As some earlier studies have shown (Csikszentmihalyi 1990, 124), identifying notes and endeavoring to take tonal names aspects into consideration when learning challenging forms of music was effective, future research may help with parsing or other tactics for recalling music with structure that is less highly structured or repeatable (Csikszentmihalyi 1990, 125). The discovery of individual differences in learning styles and musical ability via science is fascinating, but there are still many questions regarding these variations, their causes, and how they affect daily life.

Future studies may be able to establish if there are restrictions on the level of competence one may achieve, or whether training or the decision to pursue long-term learning may influence learning or its pace even in the absence of predisposition.

## References

- Chaffin, Roger, Alexander Demos, Topher Logan. 2016. *Performing from memory*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Cook, Nicholas. 2013. *Beyond the Score: Music as Performance*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Csikszentmihalyi, Mihaly. 1990. *Flow: The Psychology of Optimal Experience*. New York: Harper and Row.
- Doidge, Norman. 2007. *The Brain That Changes Itself: Stories of Personal Triumph from the Frontiers of Brain Science*. New York: Penguin Books.
- Johnson, Mark. 1987. *The Body in the Mind: The Bodily Basis of Meaning, Imagination and Reason*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.
- Levitin, Daniel. 2011. *Foundations of cognitive psychology: Core readings* (2nd ed). London: Pearson Education.
- Meyer, Leonard. 2008. *Emotion and Meaning in Music*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.
- Swanwick, Keith. 2003. *Music, Mind and Education*. London: Routledge Press.
- Tan, Siu-Lan, Peter Pfordresher, Rom Harré. 2010. *Psychology of Music: From Sound to Significance*. London: Psychology Press.