

# INSTRUCTIONAL COMMUNICATION AMID CONTEMPORARY EDUCATIONAL TRANSFORMATIONS: INSIGHTS FROM EDUCATIONAL PSYCHOLOGY

Roxana POPA<sup>1</sup>

**Abstract:** *This paper explores instructional communication in contemporary education through the lenses of educational psychology and cultural diversity. It compares European, Asian, and North American approaches, highlighting democratic, hierarchical, and performance-oriented pedagogies. European models emphasize reflective dialogue and teacher–student equality, Asian traditions stress respect and collaboration within hierarchical structures, and North American practices integrate performance-based instruction with social-emotional learning. Cultural diversity and global digitalization make instructional communication both cognitive and emotional, demanding flexible, culturally responsive teaching.*

**Key words:** *instructional communication, educational psychology, social-emotional learning, intercultural pedagogy, global education*

## 1. Introduction

In recent decades, instructional communication has emerged as a pivotal domain of pedagogical and psychological inquiry, reflecting the profound transformations that characterize contemporary education. Phenomena such as digitalization, globalization, and increasing interculturality have brought about not only technical changes in the transmission of information but also deep shifts in the structure of teacher–student relationships and the psychological processes involved in learning. The digitalization of education has redefined the channels of interaction between educational actors, fostering asynchronous communication, the use of collaborative platforms, and open access to diverse learning resources. These developments have inevitably required the cultivation of technology-mediated communication skills, the adaptation of instructional language to virtual contexts, and the preservation of a human dimension within an increasingly automated learning environment (Laurillard, 2012).

The contemporary educational system is undergoing constant change, particularly since the COVID-19 pandemic, which marked a decisive turning point in the digitalization

---

<sup>1</sup> *Transilvania University of Braşov*, [roxana.popa@unitbv.ro](mailto:roxana.popa@unitbv.ro), corresponding author

of teaching and learning. Education has thus evolved into a space of intercultural dialogue, where instructional communication plays an essential role in fostering mutual understanding, global competencies, and adaptability to diversity. The accelerated digital transformation has redefined instructional communication through the integration of new educational technologies, extending the learning environment beyond the physical classroom. Online settings and interactive tools offer both teachers and students greater flexibility in conducting the learning process. At the same time, educators are being called to reform their pedagogical methods through a deeper understanding of how technology shapes learners' behaviors and cognitive processes. According to Goldie (2016) and the theory of connectivism, the teacher becomes a facilitator of digital learning experiences, mediating between the learner and the information network.

Globalization has influenced not only curricular content but also the modes of interaction between teachers and students, generating a growing demand for communication models that promote collaboration, empathy, and learner-centered education (Leask, 2015). In parallel, globalization and the expansion of academic mobility have led to greater cultural diversity within educational environments. Instructional communication can no longer be understood merely as a unidirectional transmission of information; it represents a dynamic process of intercultural negotiation of meaning in which participants' values, norms, and expectations profoundly shape the quality of interaction. Teachers, beyond their instructional role, have become intercultural mediators capable of integrating diverse communicative styles to improve relationships with their students.

From the perspective of educational psychology, the importance of instructional communication lies in its formative and transformative role (Banks, 2016). Communication serves as the medium through which not only knowledge but also the emotions, attitudes, and values that structure the learning process are transmitted. Scholars in communication studies have argued that developing students' ability to communicate effectively and appropriately should be a central dimension of any educational program (Morreale et al., 2017). Moreover, in a global and digital educational context, instructional communication assumes a strategic dimension for shaping reflective and socially engaged citizens. The Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD, 2019) highlights instructional communication as a key instrument for meaningful learning, one that fosters reflective thinking and supports students' responsible participation in social life.

The mode of instructional communication adopted by teachers can significantly influence perceptions of their competence and professional responsibility, as well as students' motivation and engagement in learning. However, the review of existing literature reveals an important theoretical gap: there is a lack of comparative analyses of instructional communication models developed across different cultural regions. Most studies examine European, Asian, or American perspectives in isolation, without offering an integrated view of their similarities and differences. For instance, European models tend to emphasize reflective and participatory dialogue; Asian perspectives focus on hierarchical respect and social harmony; while American models are characterized by interaction and learner independence.

The purpose of this article is to provide a theoretical synthesis of the principal models of instructional communication identified in the scholarly literature, analyzing the differences and convergences among European, Asian, and American approaches through the lens of educational psychology.

## **2. Methodology**

The present theoretical synthesis was developed through a structured review of the specialized literature focusing on communication within educational contexts. Rather than adopting an empirical methodology, the study aims to identify, compare, and integrate relevant theoretical and empirical contributions concerning instructional communication. Both theoretical analyses and empirical studies from the field of educational psychology were included. The article encompasses a review of recent publications emphasizing instructional communication, the provision of feedback, and diverse means of communication within the context of digitalization and globalization. The synthesis focuses on how instructional communication is conducted in various regions — namely Europe, Asia, and North America. The comparative approach seeks to highlight the cultural influences shaping instructional communication styles, teacher authority, and student participation, offering insight into the contextual factors that determine communicative dynamics in classrooms at an international level.

## **3. Theoretical Background: Defining Instructional Communication**

The emergence of the concept of instructional communication coincides with the development of communication sciences in the 1960s (Scott & Wheelless, 1977). Instructional communication represents a complex construct referring to the process through which teachers and students employ verbal and nonverbal language to facilitate learning in educational contexts.

According to Mottet and colleagues (2006), instructional communication is viewed as a pedagogical practice within the teaching–learning context, emphasizing dialogue, discourse, and interaction between students and teachers. In order to understand the multidimensional nature of instructional communication, Hofkens and his collaborators (2023) describe the teacher–student interaction as a proximal process that stimulates student engagement during instruction, as learners express curiosity through questions, explanations, clarifications, and informal discussions.

## **4. Contemporary Transformations for Instructional Communication**

The process of digitalizing education implies a transition from face-to-face teaching to hybrid or fully online modalities that employ digital platforms, multimedia resources, and online collaboration tools. For instance, Scheel and colleagues (2022) highlight the significance of digital competencies as a decisive factor in promoting independent learning and supporting digital education. Consequently, the practice of instructional communication must be adapted to current demands, both on the part of teachers and students.

In the digital era, socio-emotional competencies have become increasingly relevant to the ability to recognize and manage emotions, work collaboratively, and make responsible decisions among students. Therefore, it is essential for teachers to develop the communicative and didactic competencies necessary to address students' educational needs, while also being attentive to the cultural diversity present in today's classrooms. A review of the specialized literature has emphasized how digital tools can be utilized to strengthen teachers' instructional communication competence, particularly in classroom management, through the use of social-emotional learning (SEL) frameworks (Mukhemar et al., 2025). Moreover, the adaptation of teachers to digital transformation and the emphasis on students' socio-emotional skills mark a deeper reform within educational systems. The ongoing transformation of education creates culturally diverse learning environments, as online settings enable the participation of students from different linguistic, cultural, and experiential backgrounds. This dynamic calls for the appreciation and valorization of diversity (Erstad et al., 2024). Since the early 1990s, social-emotional learning (SEL) has become a highly effective educational method in the United States, focusing on addressing students' vulnerability to various social and psychological challenges with the aim of improving their overall well-being (Hoffman, 2009). Furthermore, Ibrahim and colleagues (2024) indicate that the increasingly stressful educational environment generated by digitalization correlates with heightened academic stress — a phenomenon confirmed by other studies emphasizing the role of emotional intelligence, emotional regulation, and digital competencies in reducing stress, mediated through instructional communication.

Major transformations in education thus include the shift toward digital environments, the expansion of online learning, the growing cultural diversity within digital classrooms, and the increasing focus on students' socio-emotional competencies. Collectively, these transformations generate a new teaching–learning context that imposes distinct requirements on teachers, students, and the educational institutions to which they belong.

## **5. Comparative Perspectives on Instructional Communication**

In the European educational context, instructional communication is conceptualized as an interactive and democratic process grounded in mutual respect, reflective dialogue, and active participation. In contrast to authoritarian models, the European approach emphasizes the humanistic dimension of the teacher–student relationship, viewing instructional communication not merely as an instrument for knowledge transmission but also as a medium for socio-emotional and moral development. In Scandinavian educational systems, for instance, pedagogical relationships are shaped by principles of equality and collaboration, where teachers act as facilitators of learning and students are active partners in the co-construction of meaning (Prøitz & Nordin, 2019). According to Xie and Derakhshan (2021), teachers' positive interpersonal behaviors—such as communicative clarity, empathy, validation, and care toward students—have a major impact on learners' motivation, engagement, and emotional well-being. Within the European context, these behaviors align with a constructivist paradigm of learning, in which understanding emerges through instructional dialogue and joint reflection. Consequently, the teacher's communicative role becomes a form of educational mediation capable of reducing hierarchical distance and fostering a climate of trust and

psychological safety. The emphasis thus shifts from authority to authenticity, from control to cooperation, transforming the instructional process into a shared space of reflective dialogue and joint responsibility between teacher and student.

In the European view, effective instructional communication transcends the mere transmission of information and becomes a socio-emotional process that shapes students' involvement and the overall quality of educational relationships. Zlatić and colleagues (2014) underscore the importance of teachers' positive interpersonal behaviors—manifested through intimacy, accuracy, validation, attentiveness, humor, and credibility—in creating an inclusive classroom environment that embraces and enhances cultural diversity.

In the Asian educational context, instructional communication is strongly influenced by Confucian cultural values that emphasize respect for authority, discipline, and social hierarchy. The teacher is regarded as a moral and epistemic authority figure, while the teacher–student relationship is based on differentiation and acknowledgment of the educator's superior competence. This hierarchical structure sustains an atmosphere of order and rigor but can, in some contexts, restrict spontaneity and students' freedom of expression. Langen and Roßnagel (2023) highlight the challenges faced by students from Confucian heritage cultures when exposed to “Socratic” communication styles—those that encourage open dialogue and critical inquiry, typical of Western educational traditions. In such settings, cultural pressure to maintain respect toward the teacher can generate stress and reluctance to express opinions freely. Similarly, the study conducted by Hăng and colleagues (2017) on the implementation of constructivist methods in Vietnamese primary schools shows that teachers can gradually integrate collaborative communication practices without abandoning their traditional value frameworks. Recent research by Ho (2020) also indicates an evolution in instructional practices: while traditional methods remain a strong component of East Asian educational identity, countries such as China, Korea, Japan, and Vietnam are striving to find a balance between Confucian values and modern, constructivist-inspired pedagogies.

The Asian perspective on instructional communication thus reveals a complex process of cultural adaptation in which teachers attempt to preserve harmony and hierarchical respect while also promoting students' active participation and reflective thinking. The outcome is a hybrid instructional communication model that bridges tradition and innovation.

In the North American educational system, instructional communication is profoundly influenced by the principles of social-emotional learning (SEL), which emphasize the development of students' emotional and interpersonal skills. At the same time, teachers maintain a focus on performance and achievement, shaping their instructional communication through constructive feedback. Recent studies underline the importance of consistent, developmental feedback. For example, Schwab and colleagues (2024) and Ni (2025) demonstrate that constructive teacher feedback positively influences students' emotions, self-esteem, and intrinsic motivation. Similarly, research conducted by Pollak and collaborators (2015) shows that student-centered instructional strategies enhance satisfaction and academic performance by allowing learners to negotiate the meaning and relevance of knowledge within participatory learning settings. Clarity of communication, empathy, validation of students' contributions through feedback, and the creation of an emotionally safe climate are essential for strengthening interpersonal relationships and building a positive learning environment.

The North American perspective on instructional communication thus emphasizes collaboration, individual responsibility, and critical reflection, enabling students to become active participants capable of managing their own learning processes through feedback mechanisms. Overall, instructional communication in North America is characterized by a balance between performance orientation and interpersonal development, highlighting the socio-emotional growth of students as a key component of effective education.

## 6. Discussions

The comparative analysis of instructional communication across diverse cultural contexts highlights both similarities and significant differences, emphasizing above all the evolution of global educational practices. In Europe, teacher–student communication tends to be democratic, reflective, and constructivist, underscoring equality, empathy, and interactive dialogue (Xie & Derakhshan, 2021). In East Asia, Confucian values continue to shape hierarchical teacher–student relationships, although recent studies show a growing tendency to integrate modern, collaborative, and student-centered pedagogical methods (Hằng et al., 2017). In North America, the focus lies on constructive feedback, active participation, and socio-emotional learning, contributing to students’ self-regulation and the development of interpersonal competencies (Pollak et al., 2015; Schwab et al., 2024; Ni, 2025).

Cultural diversity in instructional communication demonstrates that there is no universal model of teaching or learning. Each culture offers complementary perspectives: equality and dialogue in the European model, respect and discipline in Asian traditions, and performance orientation and socio-emotional growth in the North American approach. Thus, instructional communication is closely related to teacher adaptability and intercultural sensitivity in the context of globalization and digitalization (Gay, 2018; Banks & Banks, 2019).

## 7. Conclusions

The objective of this article was to conduct a comparative analysis of instructional communication models across different cultural contexts—Europe, East Asia, and North America—by identifying the similarities and distinctions among their pedagogical approaches and their implications for educational psychology and teaching practice. The comparative analysis provides a clear response to this objective, demonstrating that cultural diversity should not be regarded as an obstacle but rather as a strategic resource for the innovation and adaptation of contemporary education. The synthesis of the principal instructional communication models highlights three complementary typologies: in Europe, communication is democratic, reflective, and dialogue-centered, promoting equality and active student engagement (Xie & Derakhshan, 2021); in East Asia, Confucian values determine hierarchical relationships based on respect and discipline, while showing a gradual integration of modern pedagogical strategies (Hằng, Bulte, & Pilot, 2017; Pham & Renshaw, 2020); in North America, instructional communication focuses on social-emotional learning, constructive feedback, and academic performance.

The aforementioned models provide valuable insight into the ongoing educational transformations of the contemporary era. In a society defined by globalization, cultural diversity, and digitalization, education can no longer be interpreted through a single universal model. Pedagogical success depends on adaptability, intercultural sensitivity, and the integration of teachers' socio-emotional learning principles into instructional practice. Furthermore, modern instructional communication has become increasingly flexible, blending respect for tradition with pedagogical innovation, and fostering both cognitive performance and students' socio-emotional development.

## References

- Banks, J. A. (2016). *Cultural Diversity and Education: Foundations, Curriculum, and Teaching*. Routledge.
- Banks, J. A., & McGee, C. A. (2019). *Multicultural Education: Issues and Perspectives*. John Wiley & Sons. <https://search.worldcat.org/title/1040852712>
- Erstad, O., Černočová, M., Takami, K., & Liang, C. (2024). Social and Emotional Modes of Learning Within Digital Ecosystems: Emerging Research Agendas. *Technology, Knowledge and Learning*, 29, 1751–1766. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10758-024-09775-w>
- Gay, G. (2018). *Culturally Responsive Teaching: Theory, Research, and Practice*. Teachers College Press.
- Goldie, J. G. (2016). Connectivism: A knowledge learning theory for the digital age? *Medical Teacher*, 1-7. <https://doi.org/10.3109/0142159X.2016.1173661>
- Hằng, N. V., Bulte, A. M., & Pilot, A. (2017). Interaction of Vietnamese teachers with a social constructivism-based primary science curriculum in a framework appropriate for a Confucian heritage culture. *Asia-Pacific Science Education*, 3, 1-33.
- Ho, S. (2020). Culture and Learning: Confucian Heritage Learners, Social-Oriented Achievement, and Innovative Pedagogies. In C. S. Sanger, & N. W. Gleason (Eds.), *Diversity and Inclusion in Global Higher Education* (pp. 117-159). Palgrave Macmillan. [https://link.springer.com/chapter/10.1007/978-981-15-1628-3\\_5](https://link.springer.com/chapter/10.1007/978-981-15-1628-3_5)
- Hoffman, D. M. (2009). Reflecting on Social Emotional Learning: A Critical Perspective on Trends in the United States. *Review of Educational Research*, 79(2), 533–556. <https://doi.org/10.3102/0034654308325184>
- Hofkens, T., Pianta, R. C., & Hamre, B. (2023). Teacher-Student Interactions: Theory, Measurement, and Evidence for Universal Properties That Support Students' Learning Across Countries and Cultures. In M. H.-L. Ridwan Maulana, *Effective Teaching Around the World* (pg. 399–422). Springer.
- Ibrahim, R. K., Sabbah, S. A., Al-Jarrah, M., Senior, J., Almomani, J. A., Darwish, A., & Naimat, A. A. (2024). The mediating effect of digital literacy and self-regulation on the relationship between emotional intelligence and academic stress among university students: a cross-sectional study. *BMC Medical Education*, 24, 1-13. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12909-024-06279-0>
- Langen, I., & Roßnagel, C. S. (2023). East is East: Socratic classroom communication is linked to higher stress in students from Confucian heritage cultures. *Heliyon*, 9(5), 1-9. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heliyon.2023.e15748>
- Laurillard, D. (2012). *Teaching as a Design Science: Building Pedagogical Patterns for Learning and Technology*. Routledge.

- Leask, B. (2015). *Internationalizing the Curriculum*. Routledge.
- Morreale, S. P., Valenzano, J. M., & Bauer, J. A. (2017). Why communication education is important: a third study on the centrality of the discipline's content and pedagogy. *Communication Education*, 66(4), 402-422. <https://doi.org/10.1080/03634523.2016.1265136>
- Mottet, T., Richmond, V. P., & McCroskey, J. C. (2015). *Handbook of Instructional Communication: Rhetorical and Relational Perspectives*. Routledge.
- Mukhemar, R., Affouneh, S., & Burgos, D. (2025). Technology-enabled social-emotional learning for University educators: A systematic review. *Frontiers in Education*, 10, 1655634. <https://doi.org/10.3389/feduc.2025.1655634>
- Ni, J. (2025). Positive teacher feedback and academic emotions among primary school children in China: A study within the control-value theory framework. *International Journal of Educational Research Open*, 8, 1-10. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijedro.2024.100409>
- OECD. (2019). *OECD Learning Compass 2030: The Future of Education and Skills*. Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development. [https://www.oecd.org/content/dam/oecd/en/about/projects/edu/education-2040/1-1-learning-compass/OECD\\_Learning\\_Compass\\_2030\\_Concept\\_Note\\_Series.pdf](https://www.oecd.org/content/dam/oecd/en/about/projects/edu/education-2040/1-1-learning-compass/OECD_Learning_Compass_2030_Concept_Note_Series.pdf)
- Pollak, I., Stiehl, K. A., Birchwood, J., Schrank, B., Zechner, K. A., Wiesner, C., & Woodcock, K. A. (2024). Promoting Peer Connectedness Through Social-Emotional Learning: Evaluating the Intervention Effect Mechanisms and Implementation Factors of a Social-Emotional Learning Programme for 9 to 12-Year-Olds. *Journal of Youth and Adolescence*, 53, 89-116. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10964-023-01871-x>
- Prøitz, T. S., & Nordin, A. (2019). Learning Outcomes in Scandinavian Education through the Lens of Elliot Eisner. *Scandinavian Journal of Educational Research*, 1-16. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00313831.2019.1595717>
- Scheel, L., Vladova, G., & Ullrich, A. (2022). The influence of digital competences, self-organization, and independent learning abilities on students' acceptance of digital learning. *International Journal of Educational Technology in Higher Education*, 19, 1-33. <https://educationaltechnologyjournal.springeropen.com/articles/10.1186/s41239-022-00350-w>
- Schwab, S., Markus, S., & Hassania, S. (2024). Teachers' feedback in the context of students' social acceptance, students' well-being in school and students' emotions. *Educational Studies*, 50(5), 978-995. <https://doi.org/10.1080/03055698.2021.2023475>
- Scott, M. D., & Wheelless, L. R. (1977). Instructional Communication Theory and Research: An Overview. *Annals of the International Communication Association*, 1(1), 495-511.
- Xie, F., & Derakhshan, A. (2021). A Conceptual Review of Positive Teacher Interpersonal Communication Behaviors in the Instructional Context. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 12, 708490. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2021.708490>
- Zlatic, L., Bjekic, D., Marinkovic, S., & Bojovic, M. (2014). Development of Teacher Communication Competence. *Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 116, 606-610. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sbspro.2014.01.265>

Other information may be obtained from the address: [roxana.popa@unitbv.ro](mailto:roxana.popa@unitbv.ro)