Bulletin of the Transilvania University of Braşov Series III: Mathematics and Computer Science, Vol. 4(66), No. 2 - 2024, 257-272 https://doi.org/10.31926/but.mif.2024.4.66.2.17

## REGULARITY OF THE SOLUTIONS TO QUASI-LINEAR PARABOLIC SYSTEMS WITH THE SINGULAR COEFFICIENTS

#### Mykola Ivanovich YAREMENKO∗,<sup>1</sup>

Dedicated to Professor Marin Marin on the occasion of his 70th anniversary

#### Abstract

This article establishes the regularity properties of solutions to the parabolic quasilinear parabolic systems in the divergent form

$$
\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\vec{u} - \frac{d}{dx_i}\vec{a}_i(x, t, \vec{u}, \nabla\vec{u}) + \vec{b}(x, t, \vec{u}, \nabla\vec{u}) = 0,
$$

under rather general conditions on its coefficients. To prove solvability, we apply the Leray-Schauder theory and method of apriori estimations.

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification: 35K40, 35K51, 35K59, 35K67. Key words: Leray-Schauder method, semigroup, quasi-linear partial differential equations, nonlinear partial differential equations, nonlinear operator, weak solution, a priori sstimations.

## 1 Introduction

In the l-dimensional Euclidean space, we consider a parabolic differential system in the divergent form

$$
\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\vec{u} - \frac{d}{dx_i}\vec{a}_i(x, t, \vec{u}, \nabla\vec{u}) + \vec{b}(x, t, \vec{u}, \nabla\vec{u}) = 0,\tag{1}
$$

where  $\vec{u}(x, t) = (u^1(x, t), ..., u^N(x, t))$  is an unknown N-dimensional vectorfunction defined over  $\text{clos}(D_T)$ , domain  $D_T = \Omega \times (0, T), \Omega \subset R^l, l \geq 3$ .

We assume that the matrix  $\vec{a}$ :  $\Omega \times [0, T] \times R^N \times R^l \times R^N \to R^l \times R^N$  satisfies the parabolic conditions in the form

$$
\left|\vec{a}_{i}\left(x,\,t,\,\vec{u},\,\vec{k}\right)k\right|\geq\nu\left(\left|\vec{u}\right|\right)\left|\vec{k}\right|^{2}-\gamma_{1}\left(x,\,t\right),\tag{2}
$$

<sup>1</sup><sup>∗</sup> Corresponding author, National Technical University of Ukraine, "Igor Sikorsky Kyiv Polytechnic Institute" Kyiv, Ukraine, Ukraine, e-mail: math.kiev@gmail.com

258 Mykola Yaremenko

$$
\left|\vec{a}_{i}\left(x,\,t,\,\vec{u},\,\vec{k}\right)\right| \leq \mu\left(\left|\vec{u}\right|\right)\left|\vec{k}\right| + \gamma_{2}\left(x,\,t\right),\tag{3}
$$

$$
\left| b\left(x, t, \vec{u}, \vec{k}\right) \right| \leq \mu_0 \left( |\vec{u}| \right) \left| \vec{k} \right|^2 + \gamma_3 \left( x, t \right), \tag{4}
$$

where  $\nu$ ,  $\mu$  and  $\mu_0$  are continuous positive functions so that  $\nu$  is a monotone decreasing and  $\mu$  is a monotone increasing function; in classical theory, functions  $\gamma_i$  satisfy the conditions  $\|\gamma_1\|_{q,r,D_T} \leq \mu_2$ ,  $\|\gamma_3\|_{q,r,D_T} \leq \mu_2$  and  $\|\gamma_2\|_{2q,2r,D_T} \leq \mu_2$ with  $\frac{1}{r} + \frac{l}{2}$  $\frac{l}{2q} = 1 - \chi, q \in \left(\frac{l}{2(1 - \chi)}\right)$  $\frac{l}{2(1-\chi)}$ ,  $\infty$  and  $r \in \left( (1-\chi)^{-1}, \infty \right]$  for  $\chi \in (0, 1)$ , where the norm of  $L_{q,r}(D_T)$  is given by

$$
\|\vec{u}\|_{q,r,(D_T)} = \left(\int_{[0,\,T]} \left(\int_{\Omega} |\vec{u}(x,t)|^q \, dx\right)^{\frac{r}{q}} dt\right)^{\frac{1}{r}}.\tag{5}
$$

We are assuming that functions  $\gamma_2$  and  $\gamma_1^{\frac{1}{2}}$ ,  $\gamma_3^{\frac{1}{2}}$  are form-bounded [13].

The essential tool of the theory of partial differential equations is the maximum principle, the general form of which establishes the estimations of  $\max_{D_T} |u|$ . The existence of the solutions to the boundary problems for the parabolic quasilinear system is proven by the method of the Leray-Schauder theory with the employment of apriori estimations of its solutions [10].

We will call a generalized weak solution to the system (1) a vector-function  $\vec{u} \in L^1_{loc}\left(R^l \times (0, T)\right)$  such that the equality

$$
\int_{R^n} \vec{u}(x, t) \vec{\phi}(x, t) dxdt \Big|_0^T - \int_{[0, T]} \int_{R^n} \vec{u} \partial_t \vec{\phi} dxdt + \n+ \int_{[0, T]} \int_{R^n} \vec{a}_i(x, t, \vec{u}, \nabla \vec{u}) (\nabla_i \vec{\phi}) dxdt + \n+ \int_{[0, T]} \int_{R^n} \vec{b} \vec{\phi} dxdt = 0 \quad (6)
$$

holds for all vector-functions  $\vec{\phi} \in C_0^{\infty}([R^l \times (0, T)).$ 

We introduce the norm of the functional space  $V^p(D_T)$  by

$$
||u||_{V^{p}} = ess \max_{t \in [0, T]} ||u(\cdot, t)||_{L^{p}(\Omega)} + ||\nabla u||_{p(D_{T})}
$$
\n(7)

where

$$
\|\nabla u\|_{p,(D_T)} = \left(\int_{[0,\;t]} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^p \, dxdt\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}\tag{8}
$$

and

$$
\|\vec{u}\|_{V^p} = \text{ess} \max_{t \in [0, T]} \|\vec{u}(\cdot, t)\|_{L^p(\Omega)} + \|\nabla \vec{u}\|_{p, (D_T)},
$$
\n(9)

$$
\|\nabla \vec{u}\|_{p,(D_T)} = \left(\int_{[0,\,T]} \int_{\Omega} |\vec{u}_x|^p \, dxdt\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}.\tag{10}
$$

The space  $V_1^p$  $L_{1,0}^{p}(D_T)$  consists of all elements of  $V^p(D_T)$  continuous at t respectively to  $L^p(D_T)$  with the norm

$$
\|\vec{u}\|_{V^p} = \max_{t \in [0, T]} \|\vec{u}(\cdot, t)\|_{L^p(\Omega)} + \|\nabla \vec{u}\|_{p, (D_T)}.
$$

Definition 1. A bounded generalized solution of the system (1) is a vectorfunction  $\vec{u} \in V_{1,0}^2(D_T)$  such that the identity (2) is satisfied for all

$$
\vec{\phi} \in clos\left(W_{1,1}^{2}\left(D_{T}\right) \cap C_{0}^{\infty}\left(R^{l} \times(0,\ T)\right)\right) \text{ and } ess\underset{D_{T}}{\max}\left|\vec{\phi}\right| < \infty.
$$

Quasilinear parabolic systems have been intensely investigated for many years by methods of PDE perturbation theory. The main results are concerned with the existence of solutions in a certain functional class, many works deal with time-dependent solutions.

Employing the Leray-Schauder method, V. Ladyzenskaja studies the solvability of one quasilinear equation of the general type

$$
\partial_{t}u-a_{ij}\left(x,\; t,\; u,\; \nabla u\right)\frac{\partial^{2}u}{\partial x_{i}\partial x_{j}}+a\left(x,\; t,\; u,\; \nabla u\right)=0
$$

under the Dirichlet boundary condition  $u|_{\partial\Omega\times[0,T]}=0, u|_{t=0}=\phi(x)$  [10].

E. Heinz built an example that clarifies if the condition

 $|a(x, t, u, k)| \leq (\varepsilon (|u|) + P(|k|, |u|)) (1 + |k|)^2$ 

here  $\lim P(|k|, |u|) = 0$  and  $\varepsilon$  is a small enough constant, is not satisfied then  $|k|$ →∞ an apriori estimation of max  $|\nabla u|$  does not necessarily hold, indeed, the system  $[0, 2\pi]$ 

$$
\partial_t u^1 - \partial_{xx} u^1 = u^1 \left( \left( \partial_x u^1 \right)^2 + \left( \partial_x u^2 \right)^2 \right)
$$
  

$$
\partial_t u^2 - \partial_{xx} u^2 = u^2 \left( \left( \partial_x u^1 \right)^2 + \left( \partial_x u^2 \right)^2 \right),
$$

has a solution  $u^1 = \cos(mx)$  and  $u^2 = \sin(mx)$ , however, there is no estimation of  $\max_{[0, 2\pi]} |\nabla u|.$ 

The partial differential equations in the divergent form were considered by Amann, who considered the solvability of the Neumann problem in the Sobolev spaces [3]. In recent works, H. Dong, S. Kim, and S. Lee constructed the fundamental solution of second-order parabolic equations in the non-divergence form working with the Dini mean oscillation classes of functions [25], Dini conditions were also considered by V. Ladyzenskaja [10]. For some modern literature see the list of references [1 - 48].

In the present work, we establish sufficient conditions for the existence of the solution to the generalized quasilinear parabolic systems

$$
\frac{\partial \vec{u}}{\partial t} - \frac{d}{dx_i} \vec{a}_i (x, t, \vec{u}, \nabla \vec{u}) + \vec{b} (x, t, \vec{u}, \nabla \vec{u}) = 0,
$$

under fair weak conditions by applying the modified Leray-Schauder approach.

# 2 Existence of the solution to the quasilinear parabolic equations

First, we summarize the newest results for the simplest case of one linear equation in the form

$$
\partial_t u - \nabla_i (a_{ij}(x, t) \nabla_j u) + b_i(x, t) \nabla_i u = 0
$$

under the Cauchy condition

$$
u(x, +0) = \psi(x) \in L^2\left(R^l\right)
$$

in the functional spaces  $L^p$ . Assume that the matrix  $a(x, t)$  is uniformly elliptic and the perturbation vector is  $|b| \in L^1_{loc}$ ,  $div (b) = 0$ , the estimation

$$
\int_{[0, T]} \int_{R^n} |b(x, t)| |\varphi(x, t)|^2 dx dt \le
$$
  
\n
$$
\le c_1 \int_{[0, T]} \|\nabla \varphi(t)\| \|\varphi(t)\| dt + \int_{[0, T]} \int_{R^n} (c_2 + c_3(t)) |\varphi(x, t)|^2 dx dt
$$

holds for all  $\varphi \in C_0^{\infty}$   $(R^l \times (0, T))$  and some positive constants  $c_1, c_2, c, c_3 \in L^1_{loc}$ <br>and  $\int_{[s, t]} c_3(\tau) d\tau \leq c\sqrt{t-s}$  for all  $0 \leq s < t < \infty$ . Then, there exists a classical  $^{\mathbf n}$  $\overline{t-s}$  for all  $0 \leq s < t < \infty$ . Then, there exists a classical solution for all  $t > 0$  and  $x \in R^l$  for each initially given function  $\psi(x)$  that belongs to  $L^2(R^l)$ . Next, if  $c_3 \in C((s, \infty))$  then we have the Gaussian estimation of the fundamental solution

$$
P(x, t; y, s) \le \beta^{\frac{1}{2}} \exp\left(\frac{c^2}{\beta - 1}\right) \Gamma_{\beta(t-s)}\left(x - y\right)
$$

for all  $\beta > 1$ . To formulate more refined results we need the definition of formbounded fields.

**Definition 2.** A vector-function  $f : R^l \to R^l$  is called form-bounded if  $|f| \in L^2_{loc}$  and there exist constants  $\varepsilon > 0$  and  $c(\varepsilon)$  such that

$$
||f\varphi||_2^2 \le \varepsilon ||\nabla \varphi||_2^2 + c(\varepsilon) ||\varphi||_2^2
$$

for all  $\varphi \in C_0^{\infty} (R^l)$ .

A vector-function  $f: R^l \to R^l$  is called multiplicative form-bounded if  $|f| \in$  $L^1_{loc}$  and there exist constants  $\varepsilon > 0$  and  $c(\varepsilon)$  such that

$$
\langle f\varphi, \varphi \rangle \, ||\|_2^2 \leq \varepsilon \, \|\varphi\|_2 \, \sqrt{\|\nabla \varphi\|_2^2 + c(\varepsilon) \, \|\varphi\|_2^2}
$$

for all  $\varphi \in W_1^2(R^l)$ .

The Kato class  $K^l_\nu$  consist of all potentials  $V \in L^1_{loc}$  such that

$$
\left\| (\lambda - \Delta)^{-1} |V| \right\|_{\infty} \le \nu
$$

holds for some  $\lambda = \lambda(\nu) \geq 0$ .

Assume that  $l \geq 3$ , the vector b is form-bounded for some  $0 < \varepsilon < \infty$  and  $|div(b)| \in K_{\nu}^{l}$ . Then the fundamental solution to

$$
\partial_t u - \nabla_i (a_{ij}(x, t) \nabla_j u) + b_i(x, t) \nabla_i u = 0
$$

satisfies the two-sided Gaussian bound.

Comparing these results to the classical, we see that conditions on coefficients are weaker, indeed, according to V. Ladyzenskaja, the vector  $b$  has to be such that  $|b| \in L^q(\Omega)$ .

For the quasilinear parabolic system

$$
\vec{u}_t - \frac{d}{dx_i}\vec{a}_i(x, t, \vec{u}, \nabla\vec{u}) + \vec{b}(x, t, \vec{u}, \nabla\vec{u}) = 0,
$$

the uniform parabolic condition states that there exist positive constants  $\nu$  and  $\mu$ such that

$$
\nu\left(\left|\vec{u}\right|\right)\xi^{2} \leq \left|\sum_{ij=1,\dots,l} \frac{\partial \vec{a}_{i}\left(x,\ t,\ \vec{u},\ \vec{k}\right)}{\partial k_{j}^{k}} \xi_{i}\xi_{j}\right| \leq \mu\left(\left|\vec{u}\right|\right)\xi^{2}
$$

holds for all vectors  $\xi \in R^l$ ; and the necessary growth conditions are given by

$$
\sum_{i} \left( |\vec{a}_{i}| + \left| \frac{\partial \vec{a}_{i}}{\partial u^{k}} \right| \right) \left( 1 + \left| \vec{k} \right| \right) + \sum_{i,j} \left| \frac{\partial \vec{a}_{i}}{\partial x_{j}} \right| + \left| \vec{b} \right| \leq \mu \left( 1 + \left| \vec{k} \right| \right)^{2}
$$

and we postulate

$$
\left|\vec{b}\left(x, t, \vec{u}, \vec{k}\right)\right| \leq \left(\varepsilon\left(\left|\vec{u}\right|\right) + P\left(\left|\vec{k}\right|, \left|\vec{u}\right|\right)\right)\left(1 + \left|\vec{k}\right|\right)^2
$$

where lim  $|\vec{k}| \rightarrow \infty$  $P\left(\right)$  $\vec{k}|, |\vec{u}|$  = 0 and for small enough constants  $\varepsilon$ . These growth conditions are necessary to eliminate wild systems, for example, E. Heinz's type of systems [10].

# 3 Leray-Schauder method for a quasilinear parabolic system

The agreement condition is

 $\overline{a}$ 

$$
\frac{\partial\vec{\phi}}{\partial t}-\frac{d\vec{a}_i\left(x,\;t,\;\vec{\phi},\;\nabla\vec{\phi}\right)}{dx_i}+\vec{b}\left(x,\;t,\;\vec{\phi},\;\nabla\vec{\phi}\right)=0;
$$

and the boundary conditions are given by  $\vec{u}|_{\partial D_T} = \vec{\phi}|_{\partial D_T}$ ,  $D_T = \Omega \times [0, T]$ 

We will use the Leray-Schauder approach. The system (1) can be written in the form

$$
\partial_t u^k - \frac{\partial a_i^k(x, t, \vec{u}, \nabla \vec{u})}{\partial \nabla_j u^k} \nabla_i \nabla_j u^k + \Lambda^k(x, t, \vec{u}, \nabla \vec{u}) = 0,\tag{11}
$$

262 Mykola Yaremenko

where we denote

$$
\Lambda^{k}(x, t, \vec{u}, \nabla \vec{u}) = b^{k}(x, t, \vec{u}, \nabla \vec{u}) - \frac{\partial a_{i}^{k}(x, t, \vec{u}, \nabla \vec{u})}{\partial u^{k}} \nabla_{i} u^{k} - \frac{\partial a_{i}^{k}(x, t, \vec{u}, \nabla \vec{u})}{\partial x_{i}}.
$$

Then, we compose the family of linear systems dependent on the parameter  $\tau$  in the form

$$
v^{k}{}_{t} - \left(\tau \frac{\partial a_{i}{}^{k}(x, t, \vec{w}, \nabla \vec{w})}{\partial \nabla_{j} w^{k}} + (1 - \tau) \delta_{i}^{j}\right) \nabla_{i} \nabla_{j} v^{k} + \right.
$$

$$
+ \tau \Lambda^{k}(x, t, \vec{w}, \nabla \vec{w}) - (1 - \tau) \left(\partial_{t} \phi^{k} - \Delta \phi^{k}\right) = 0 \quad (12)
$$

with the conditions  $\vec{v}|_{\partial D_T} = \vec{\phi}|_{\partial D_T}$  for all  $\tau \in [0, 1]$ , where the v is an unknown vector-function and vector-function  $w$  is given.

Let  $\Xi_{\delta}$  be the space of all continuous functions w with the continuous derivatives with the Holder norm over  $D_T$  given by

$$
\|\vec{w}\|_{\Xi_{\delta}} = |\vec{w}|_{D_T}^{\delta} + |\nabla \vec{w}|_{D_T}^{\delta}
$$

where  $|\vec{w}|_I^{\delta}$  $_{D_{T}}^{\delta}=|\vec{w}|_{x}^{\delta}$  $_{x,D_T}^{\delta}+|\vec{w}|_t^{\delta}$  $_{t, D_T}^{\sigma}$  and we denote

$$
|\vec{w}|_{x,D_T}^{\delta} = \sup_{\substack{(x, t), (\tilde{x}, \tilde{t}) \in clos(D_T)}} \frac{|\vec{w}(x, t) - \vec{w}(\tilde{x}, \tilde{t})|}{|x - \tilde{x}|^{\delta}}
$$

and

$$
|\vec{w}|_{x,D_T}^{\delta} = \sup_{\substack{(x,\ t), (\tilde{x},\ \tilde{t}) \in clos(D_T)}} \frac{|\vec{w}(x,\ t) - \vec{w}(\tilde{x},\ \tilde{t})|}{|t - \tilde{t}| \le \rho}
$$

for  $\delta \in (0, 1)$ .

The system (12) defines the mapping  $\Xi_{\delta} \to \Xi_{\delta}$  given  $w \mapsto v$  so that we denote  $\Im(\vec{w}, \tau) = \vec{v}$  the  $\tau$ -parametrized mapping  $\Xi_{\delta} \to \Xi_{\delta}$ . The fixed point of  $\Im$  at  $\tau = 1$ is the solution of the boundary problem for system (1).

If  $u^{\tau}$  is a fixed point of the nonlinear mapping  $\Im$  then  $u^{\tau}$  is a solution to the boundary problem

$$
P^{\tau}(\vec{u}) \stackrel{def}{=} u^k_t - \frac{d}{dx_i} \left( \tau a_i^k (x, t, \vec{u}, \nabla \vec{u}) + (1 - \tau) \nabla_i u^k \right) +
$$

$$
+ \tau b^k (x, t, \vec{u}, \nabla \vec{u}) - (1 - \tau) \left( \partial_t \phi^k - \Delta \phi^k \right) = 0 \quad (13)
$$

under the conditions  $\vec{u}|_{\partial D_T} = \vec{\phi}|_{\partial D_T}$  for all  $\tau \in [0, 1]$ .

Quasi-linear parabolic systems 263

Now, assume

$$
\max_{D_T} |\vec{u}^\tau| \le M_1, \max_{D_T} |\nabla \vec{u}^\tau| \le M_2, ||\vec{u}^\tau||_{\Xi_\alpha} \le M_3
$$

hold for small enough  $\alpha > 0$  for all solutions  $\vec{u}^{\tau}$ . Then, each solution  $\vec{u}^{\tau}$  is a fixed point of the mapping  $\Im$ , and vice versa each fixed point  $\vec{u}^{\tau}$  of  $\Im$  is a solution to (13).

Let the set  $\Theta$  be a convex bounded subset of the functional space  $\Xi_{\delta}$ , consisting of all elements w of  $\Xi_{\delta}$  such that

$$
\max_{D_T} |\vec{w}| \le M_1 + \varepsilon, \max_{D_T} |\nabla \vec{w}| \le M_2 + \varepsilon, ||\vec{w}||_{\Xi_{\alpha}} \le M_3 + \varepsilon.
$$

All fixed points of  $\Im$  are belonging to the interior of  $\Theta$ .

We can consider the fixed point  $\vec{u}^{\tau}$  of  $\Im$  as a solution to (12) under the condition  $\vec{w} = \vec{u}^{\tau}$  that, therefore,  $\vec{v} = \vec{u}^{\tau}$  is the solution to the problem (12) for all  $\tau \in [0, 1]$ . Hence, problems (13) and (1) have the solutions in the relative spaces in our case  $H^{2+\beta, 1+\frac{\beta}{2}}$  (clos  $(D_T)$ ).

### 4 Existence of the solution, apriori estimations

To complete the proving of the solvability of the problem (1) we must prove the apriori assumptions

$$
\max_{D_T} |\vec{u}^\tau| \le M_1, \max_{D_T} |\nabla \vec{u}^\tau| \le M_2, ||\vec{u}^\tau||_{\Xi_\alpha} \le M_3.
$$

All operators  $P^{\tau}$  for all  $\tau \in [0, 1]$  shear the same properties as the operator  $P^1$  so norm estimations for  $P^1$  hold for  $P^{\tau}$ ,  $\tau \in [0, 1]$ .

From the generalized weak solution to the system (1), we obtain the integral estimation

$$
\int_{R^n} \vec{u}(x, t) \vec{\phi}(x, t) dxdt \Big|_{t_1}^{t_2} - \int_{[t_1, t_2]} \int_{R^n} \vec{u} \partial_t \vec{\phi} dxdt +
$$
\n
$$
+ \int_{[t_1, t_2]} \int_{R^n} \vec{a}_i(x, t, \vec{u}, \nabla \vec{u}) \left( \nabla_i \vec{\phi} \right) dxdt \le
$$
\n
$$
\leq \int_{[t_1, t_2]} \int_{R^n} \left( \mu_0 | \nabla \vec{u} |^2 + \gamma_3 \right) \left| \vec{\phi} \right| dxdt
$$

for all vector-functions  $\vec{\phi} \in C_0^{\infty} (R^l \times (0, T))$  and  $0 \le t_1 \le t_2 \le T$ . Thus, we have the lemma.

**Lemma.** Let functions  $\vec{a}_i$  and  $\vec{b}$  satisfy conditions (2)-(4) where functions  $\gamma_2$  and  $\gamma_1^{\frac{1}{2}}$ ,  $\gamma_3^{\frac{1}{2}}$  are form-bounded; and u is a solution to (1). Then there is a positive number  $\alpha$  such that  $\vec{u} \in H^{2+\alpha, 1+\frac{\alpha}{2}}(D_T)$ .

Indeed, let  $v \in W_{1,1}^2(D_{-h,T}) \cap clos(C^{\infty}(\Omega))$  such that  $v(x, t) = 0, t \leq$ 0,  $t \geq T - h$  and denote

$$
v_{h^{-}}(x, t) = \frac{1}{h} \int_{[t-h, t]} v(x, \tau) d\tau
$$

and

$$
v_h(x, t) = \frac{1}{h} \int_{[t, t+h]} v(x, \tau) d\tau.
$$

Then, we compose the identity

$$
\int_{[t_1,\,t_2]} \int_{\Omega} \left( \nu \partial_t u_h^k + a_i^k \left( x, \, t, \, \vec{u}, \, \nabla \vec{u} \right) \nabla_i v_{h^-} + b^k \left( x, \, t, \, \vec{u}, \, \nabla \vec{u} \right) v_{h^-} \right) dx dt = 0,
$$

for  $0 \le h \le t_1 \le t_2 \le T - h$ . Assume  $\xi$  is a positive continuous smooth function such that  $\xi|_{\partial\Omega_T} = 0$  and  $v(x, t) = \xi^2(x, t) \max\{u_h^k(x, t) - n, 0\} = \xi^2 u_h^k(n)$ , we obtain

$$
\int_{[t_1, t_2]} \int_{\Omega} \xi^2 u_h^k(n) \, \partial_t u_h^k dx dt =
$$
\n
$$
= \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} \xi^2 \left( u_h^k(n) \right)^2 dx \Big|_{t_1}^{t_2} - \int_{[t_1, t_2]} \int_{\Omega} \xi \partial_t \xi \left( u_h^k(n) \right)^2 dx dt.
$$

Denoting  $\Theta(n,\rho) = \{x \in B(\rho) : u^k(x,t) > n\}$  and applying conditions, we have the estimation as  $h\rightarrow 0$ 

$$
\frac{1}{2} \left\| u^{k} \left( n \right) \xi \right\|_{2, B(\rho)}^{2} \Big|_{t_{1}}^{t} + \nu \int_{\left[ t_{1}, t \right]} \int_{B(\rho)} \left| \nabla u^{k} \left( n \right) \right|^{2} \xi^{2} dx dt \le
$$
\n
$$
\leq \int_{\left[ t_{1}, t \right]} \int_{\Theta(n, \rho)} \left( \frac{\gamma_{1} \xi^{2} +}{2 \xi \left| \nabla \xi \right| \mu} \left| \nabla u^{k} \right| + 2 \xi \left| \nabla \xi \right| \gamma_{2} + \mu_{1} \left| \nabla u^{k} \right|^{2} \xi^{2} + \gamma_{2} \xi^{2} \right) \cdot \left| dx dt.
$$
\n
$$
\cdot \left( u^{k} - n \right) + \left( u^{k} - n \right)^{2} \xi \left| \partial_{t} \xi \right| \right)
$$

If numbers *n* such that  $\max_{B(\rho)\times(t_1, t_2)} u^k(x, t) - n \leq \frac{\nu}{4\mu}$  $\frac{\nu}{4\mu_1}$ , then, we obtain

$$
\left\|u^{k}(n)(x,t)\xi(x,t)\right\|_{2,B(\rho)}^{2} + \nu \int_{[t_{1},t]} \int_{B(\rho)} \left|\nabla u^{k}(n)\right|^{2} \xi^{2} dx dt \le
$$
  
\n
$$
\leq \left\|u^{k}(n)(x,t_{1})\xi(x,t_{1})\right\|_{2,B(\rho)}^{2} +
$$
  
\n+2\left(\frac{4\mu^{2}}{\nu}+1\right) \int\_{[t\_{1},t]} \int\_{B(\rho)} \left(u^{k}(n)\right)^{2} \left(\xi|\partial\_{t}\xi|+|\nabla\xi|^{2}\right) dx dt +  
\n+ \int\_{[t\_{1},t]} \int\_{\Theta(n,\rho)} 2\xi^{2} \left(\gamma\_{1}+\gamma\_{2}^{2}+\frac{\nu}{4\mu\_{1}}\gamma\_{3}\right) dx dt.

Thus, if  $\gamma_2$  and  $\gamma_1^{\frac{1}{2}}$ ,  $\gamma_3^{\frac{1}{2}}$  are form-bounded functions then the lemma's statement is proven.

Now, we assume additional conditions on coefficients:

$$
\nu \xi^2 \le \left| \sum_{ij=1,\dots,l} \frac{\partial \vec{a}_i \left( x, \ t, \ \vec{u}, \ \vec{k} \right)}{\partial k_j^k} \xi_i \xi_j \right| \le \mu \xi^2 \tag{14}
$$

Quasi-linear parabolic systems 265

for all  $\xi \in R^l$ ,

$$
\left| \vec{a}_i \left( x, t, \vec{u}, \vec{k} \right) \right| + \left| \frac{\partial \vec{a}_i \left( x, t, \vec{u}, \vec{k} \right)}{\partial u^k} \right| \leq \mu \left| \vec{k} \right| + \gamma_2 \left( x, t \right), \tag{15}
$$

$$
\left| \frac{\partial \vec{a}_{i} \left(x, t, \vec{u}, \vec{k} \right)}{\partial x_{j}} \right| \leq \mu \left| \vec{k} \right|^{2} + \gamma_{3} \left(x, t\right), \tag{16}
$$

$$
\left|\vec{b}\left(x,\ t,\ \vec{u},\ \vec{k}\right)\right| \leq \mu \left|\vec{k}\right|^2 + \gamma_4(x,\ t). \tag{17}
$$

Our goal is to find conditions on singularities of  $\gamma_2$ ,  $\gamma_3$ ,  $\gamma_4$  under which for solutions  $\vec{u}(x, t)$  to the system (1) values of max  $\max_{\tilde{D}_T} |\nabla \vec{u}|$  and  $\|\vec{u}\|_{\Xi_{\alpha}}$  can be estimated above by a constant for some  $\alpha > 0$ .

Let  $\xi_1(x,t)$  be a positive smooth function so that  $0 \leq \xi_1(x,t) \leq 1$ , zero when  $t = 0$  and on the sides of the cylinder. We calculate

$$
\int_{[0, t]} \int_{\Omega} \frac{\lambda \nu}{2} \left| \nabla u^k \right|^2 \exp \left( \lambda | \vec{u} |^2 \right) \xi_1^2 dx dt \le
$$
\n
$$
\leq \int_{[0, t]} \int_{\Omega} \exp \left( \lambda | \vec{u} |^2 \right) \left( \frac{2}{\lambda} |\xi_1 \partial_t \xi_1| + 2\mu \left| \nabla u^k \right|^2 \xi_1^2 + \mu \left| \nabla \xi_1^2 \right|^2 \right) dx dt +
$$
\n
$$
+ \int_{[0, t]} \int_{\Omega} \exp \left( \lambda | \vec{u} |^2 \right) \left( \frac{\lambda l}{2\nu} \gamma_2^2 + 2\gamma_2 \xi_1 \left| \nabla \xi_1 \right| + \gamma_4 \xi_1^2 \right) dx dt.
$$

Assuming that functions  $\gamma_2$  and  $\gamma_4^{\frac{1}{2}}$  are form-bounded, we obtain the estimation  $\int_{DT} |\nabla u^k|$  $2\xi_1^2 dxdt \leq c$  where the constant c depends on l,  $\nu$ ,  $\mu$ ,  $\mu$ <sub>0</sub>,  $M_1$ ,  $\max_{D_T} (|\nabla \xi_1|, |\partial_t \xi_1|)$  and  $mes(D_T)$ .

To estimate the integral  $\int_{B(r)} |\nabla u^k|$  $x^{2s}$  dx, we consider the identity

$$
\frac{1}{2(1+s)} \int_{B(2\rho)} |\nabla \vec{u}(x, t)|^{2+2s} \xi_2^{2}(x, t) dx -
$$
\n
$$
- \int_{[0, t]} \int_{B(2\rho)} \frac{1}{s+1} |\nabla \vec{u}|^{2s+2} \xi_2 \partial_t \xi_2 dx dt +
$$
\n
$$
+ \int_{[0, t]} \int_{B(2\rho)} \frac{da_i^{k}}{dx_j} \frac{d}{dx_i} (|\vec{u}|^{2s} \xi_2 \nabla_j u^{k}) dx dt -
$$
\n
$$
- \int_{[0, t]} \int_{B(2\rho)} b^{k} \frac{da_i^{k}}{dx_j} (|\nabla_j \vec{u}|^{2s} \xi_2 \nabla_j u^{k}) dx dt = 0.
$$

After standard calculation, we have

$$
\frac{1}{2(1+s)}\int_{B(2\rho)} |\nabla \vec{u}(x, t)|^{2+2s} \xi_2^{2}(x, t) dx +\n+ \int_{[0, t]} \int_{B(2\rho)} \frac{\nu}{4} |\nabla \vec{u}|^{2s} \xi_2^{2} |\nabla \nabla u^{k}|^{2} dx dt +\n+ s\nu \int_{[0, t]} \int_{B(2\rho)} |\nabla u^{k}|^{2s-2} \xi_2^{2} \sum_{i} \left( \sum_{m} (\nabla_{m} u^{k}) (\nabla_{m} \nabla_{i} u^{k}) \right)^{2} dx dt \n\leq c(l, \nu, \mu) \left( \int_{[0, t]} \int_{B(2\rho)} (\gamma_2 + \gamma_3 + \gamma_4) (1 + |\nabla \vec{u}|) \sum_{i,m} \nabla_{i} (|\nabla \vec{u}|^{2s} \xi_1^{2} \nabla_{m} u^{k}) dx dt \n+ \int_{[0, t]} \int_{B(2\rho)} |\partial_{t} \xi_1| |\nabla \vec{u}|^{2s+2} dx dt +\n+ (\check{c}\check{\beta}^{\beta_1} (1+s) + 1) \int_{[0, t]} \int_{B(2\rho)} |\nabla \vec{u}|^{2s+2} |\nabla \xi_1|^{2} dx dt \right).
$$

Thus, we have

$$
\max_{t\in[\varepsilon,T]}\int_{B(\rho)}|\nabla\vec{u}(x,\,t)|^{2s+2}\,dx+\int_{[\varepsilon,\,T]}\int_{B(\rho)}|\nabla\vec{u}|^{2s+4}\,dxdt\leq c\,(\tilde{s})\,,
$$

which holds for  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,  $0 \leq s \leq \tilde{s}$ .

Let  $\eta$  be a smooth function equal to zero on the bottom of the cylinder  $B(\rho) \times$  $(0, \tilde{t})$ . By integration by parts, we have

$$
\int_{B(2\rho)} \eta(x, t) \nabla_m u^k(x, t) dx - \int_{[0, t]} \int_{B(\rho)} \partial_t \eta \nabla_m u^k dx dt \n+ \int_{[0, t]} \int_{B(\rho)} \frac{\partial a_i^k(x, t, \vec{u}, \nabla \vec{u})}{\partial \nabla_j u^k} (\nabla_j \nabla_m u^k) (\nabla_i \eta) dx dt \n+ \int_{[0, t]} \int_{B(\rho)} \frac{\partial a_i^k(x, t, \vec{u}, \nabla \vec{u})}{\partial u^k} (\nabla_m u^k) (\nabla_i \eta) dx dt \n+ \int_{[0, t]} \int_{B(\rho)} \frac{\partial a_i^k(x, t, \vec{u}, \nabla \vec{u})}{\partial x_m} (\nabla_i \eta) dx dt \n- \int_{[0, t]} \int_{B(\rho)} b^k \nabla_m \eta dx dt = 0.
$$

We denote

$$
a_{ij}(x, t) = \frac{\partial a_i^{k}(x, t, \vec{u}(x, t), \nabla \vec{u}(x, t))}{\partial \nabla_j u^{k}}
$$

and

$$
\begin{split} \Upsilon_i^{m,k}(x, t) &= \frac{\partial a_i^k(x, t, \vec{u}(x, t), \nabla \vec{u}(x, t))}{\partial u^k} \nabla_m u^k(x, t) \\ &+ \frac{\partial a_i^k(x, t, \vec{u}(x, t), \nabla \vec{u}(x, t))}{\partial x_m} \\ &- \delta_m^i b^k(x, t, \vec{u}(x, t), \nabla \vec{u}(x, t)). \end{split}
$$

Therefore, we can consider the function  $u_m^k(x, t) = \nabla_m u^k(x, t)$  as a general classical solution to the linear equation

$$
\frac{\partial \nabla_m u_m^k}{\partial t} - \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left( a_{ij} \left( x, t \right) \nabla_j u_m^k + \Upsilon_i^{m,k} \left( x, t \right) \right) = 0. \tag{18}
$$

Functions  $a_{ij}$  satisfy the ellipticity condition

$$
\nu(M_1)\,\xi^2\leq|a_{ij}\,(x,\;t)\,\xi_i\xi_j|\leq\mu\,(M_1)\,\xi^2
$$

and functions  $\Upsilon_i^{m,k}$  must satisfy the solvability conditions for the linear parabolic equation. Thus, the function  $u_m^k$  is a solution to the linear equation (18) therefore we can apply the theory of linear parabolic equations.

Now, we can formulate the following propositions.

**Proposition 1.** Let function  $\vec{u} \in C_{2,1}(D_T)$  be a solution to the system (1) such that  $\max_{D_T} |\vec{u}| \leq M_1$ , and the functions  $\vec{a}_i(x, t, \vec{u}, \nabla \vec{u})$  and  $\vec{b}(x, t, \vec{u}, \nabla \vec{u})$ satisfy the condition (14) – (17) then for any domain  $\tilde{D} \subset D_T$  with the distance  $d$  to the boundary  $\partial D_T,$  the norm  $\| \vec{u} \|_{\Xi_{\alpha}(\tilde D_T)}$  for some  $\alpha \, > \, 0$  has the upper estimation depended on l,  $\nu$ ,  $\mu$ , distance d, and form-bounded constant.

**Proposition 2.** Let function  $\vec{u} \in C_{2,1}(\text{clos}(D_T))$  be a solution to the system (1) such that  $\max_{D_T} |\vec{u}| \leq M_1$ ,  $\max_{D_T} |\nabla \vec{u}| \leq M_2$  and let for all  $|\vec{u}| \leq M_1$ ,  $\left|\vec{k}\right| \leq$  $M_2$ ,  $(x, t) \in clos(D_T)$  functions  $\vec{a}_i(x, t, \vec{u}, \nabla \vec{u})$  and  $\vec{b}(x, t, \vec{u}, \nabla \vec{u})$  satisfy the Lipschitz condition at t, differential at  $u^k$  and  $k_i^k$  and such that

$$
\nu \xi^2 \le \left| \sum_{ij=1,\dots,l} \frac{\partial \vec{a}_i \left( x, t, \vec{u}, \vec{k} \right)}{\partial k_j^k} \xi_i \xi_j \right| \le \mu \xi^2,\tag{19}
$$

$$
\left| \frac{\partial a_i^k(x, t, \vec{u}(x, t), \nabla \vec{u}(x, t))}{\partial u^s} \right| \le \gamma(x, t) \tag{20}
$$

$$
\left| \frac{\partial a_{i}^{k}(x, t, \vec{u}(x, t), \nabla \vec{u}(x, t))}{\partial x_{m}} \right| \leq \gamma(x, t)
$$
\n(21)

$$
\left|\frac{\partial b^s}{\partial k^k}\right| \le \gamma(x,\ t), \left|\frac{\partial b^s}{\partial u^k}\right| \le \gamma(x,\ t),\tag{22}
$$

where the function  $\gamma$  is form-bounded. Then,  $\max_{n} |\partial_t \vec{u}|$  can be estimated by a  $D_T$ constant depending on l,  $\nu$ ,  $\tilde{\mu}$  its maximum on the boundary and form-bounded constant.

 $\textbf{Proposition 3.} \; \textit{If} \max_{t \in [0, \; T]} |\nabla \vec{u}|^\alpha_x$  $\int_{x,D_T}^{\alpha} \leq c \textit{ and } \max_{D_T} |\partial_t \vec{u}| \leq \breve{c} \textit{ then } |\nabla \vec{u}|_{t,D_T}^{\frac{\alpha}{1+\alpha}} \leq c_4.$ where constant  $c_4$  depends on the boundary.

**Proposition 4.** Let functions  $\vec{a}_i(x, t, \vec{u}, \nabla \vec{u})$  and  $\vec{b}(x, t, \vec{u}, \nabla \vec{u})$  satisfy (19) – (22) and boundary be smooth enough then for any solution  $\vec{u} \in C_{2,1}$  (clos  $(D_T)$ ) to the system (1) such that  $\max_{D_T} |\vec{u}| \leq M_1$ ,  $\max_{D_T} |\nabla \vec{u}| \leq M_2$  the norm  $||\vec{u}||_{\Xi_{\alpha}}$  has upper estimation by constant depending on l,  $\nu$ ,  $\mu$ ,  $\tilde{\mu}$ ,  $M_1$ ,  $M_2$ , its maximum on the boundary and form-bounded constant.

## 5 The existence theorem

We formulate the theorem of the existence of the Holder solutions to the parabolic quasilinear system.

**Theorem 1.** Let functions  $\vec{a}_i(x, t, \vec{u}, \nabla \vec{u})$  and  $\vec{b}(x, t, \vec{u}, \nabla \vec{u})$  satisfy our general assumptions  $(2)-(4)$  and  $(19)-(22)$ , and

$$
\sum_{i} \left( |\vec{a}_{i}| + \left| \frac{\partial \vec{a}_{i}}{\partial u^{k}} \right| \right) \left( 1 + \left| \vec{k} \right| \right) + \sum_{i,j} \left| \frac{\partial \vec{a}_{i}}{\partial x_{j}} \right| + \left| \vec{b} \right| \leq \mu \left( 1 + \left| \vec{k} \right| \right)^{2}
$$

and

$$
\left|\vec{b}\left(x, t, \vec{u}, \vec{k}\right)\right| \leq \left(\varepsilon\left(\left|\vec{u}\right|\right) + P\left(\left|\vec{k}\right|, \left|\vec{u}\right|\right)\right) \left(1 + \left|\vec{k}\right|\right)^2
$$

where lim  $|\vec{k}| \rightarrow \infty$  $P\left(\right)$  $\begin{aligned} \vec{k} \Big|, \, |\vec{u}| \Big) = 0, \, \varepsilon > 0. \, \, \text{Let for } (x, \, t) \subset \text{clos } (D_T), \, |\vec{u}| \leq M_1, \, \Big| \end{aligned}$  $\left|\vec{k}\right| \leq$  $M_2$ , functions  $\vec{a}_i$ ,  $\frac{\partial \vec{a}_i}{\partial k_j^m}$ ,  $\frac{\partial \vec{a}_i}{\partial u^m}$ ,  $\frac{\partial \vec{a}_i}{\partial x_j}$  $\frac{\partial \vec{a}_i}{\partial x_j} \in H^{\beta}$  and  $\vec{b} \in H^{\frac{\beta}{2}}$ . Let  $\vec{\phi}\Big|_{\partial D_T}$  be a continuous in  $\cos(\partial D_T)$  with the continuous bounded derivatives second order at x and first order at t and with the first differential at x, and let  $\max_{\Omega}$  $\left|\nabla \vec{\phi}(x, 0)\right| < \infty$ . Then

there exists a unique solution  $\vec{u} \in H^{\alpha, \frac{\alpha}{2}}(\text{clos} (D_T)).$ 

The uniqueness of the solution  $\vec{u} \in H^{\alpha, \frac{\alpha}{2}}(\vec{clos}(D_T))$  to the system (1) can be proven by classical methods. Let us assume that there are two different solutions  $\vec{u}$  and  $\vec{u}$  then they both must satisfy the integral identity

$$
\int_{[0,\;t]}\int_{\Omega}\partial_t\vec{u}\vec{\phi}dxdt+\int_{[0,\;t]}\int_{\Omega}\vec{a}_i\left(x,\;t,\;\vec{u},\nabla\vec{u}\right)\left(\nabla_i\vec{\phi}\right)dxdt+\int_{[0,\;t]}\int_{\Omega}\vec{b}\vec{\phi}dxdt=0
$$

for all vector-functions  $\vec{\phi} \in C_0^{\infty} (\Omega \times [0, T])$ . We subtract one identity from another, we obtain the linear system

$$
\int_{[0, t]} \int_{\Omega} \partial_t w^k \varphi^k dx dt +
$$
\n
$$
+ \int_{[0, t]} \int_{\Omega} \left( \tilde{a}_{ij}^k \nabla_j w^k + \tilde{b}^k w^k \right) \left( \nabla_i \varphi^k \right) dx dt +
$$
\n
$$
+ \int_{[0, t]} \int_{\Omega} \left( \left( \tilde{c}^k_i \right) \nabla_i w^k + \tilde{c}^k w^k \right) \varphi^k dx dt = 0
$$

where we denote

$$
a_i^k \left(x, t, \vec{u}, \nabla \vec{u}\right) - a_i^k \left(x, t, \vec{u}, \nabla \vec{u}\right) =
$$
  

$$
\nabla_j w^k \int_{[0, 1]} \frac{\partial a_i^k \left(x, t, \tau \vec{u} + (1 - \tau) \vec{u}, \tau \nabla \vec{u} + (1 - \tau) \nabla \vec{u}\right)}{\partial \nabla_j u^k} d\tau +
$$
  

$$
+ w^k \int_{[0, 1]} \frac{\partial a_i^k \left(x, t, \tau \vec{u} + (1 - \tau) \vec{u}, \tau \nabla \vec{u} + (1 - \tau) \nabla \vec{u}\right)}{\partial u^k} d\tau =
$$
  

$$
\stackrel{def}{=} \tilde{a}_{ij}^k \nabla_j w^k + \tilde{b}^k w^k,
$$

$$
b^{k}\left(x, t, \vec{u}, \nabla\vec{u}\right) - b^{k}\left(x, t, \vec{u}, \nabla\vec{u}\right) =
$$
\n
$$
\nabla_{j}w^{k}\int_{[0, 1]} \frac{\partial b^{k}\left(x, t, \tau\vec{u} + (1-\tau)\vec{u}, \tau\nabla\vec{u} + (1-\tau)\nabla\vec{u}\right)}{\partial \nabla_{j}u^{k}} d\tau +
$$
\n
$$
+w^{k}\int_{[0, 1]} \frac{\partial b^{k}\left(x, t, \tau\vec{u} + (1-\tau)\vec{u}, \tau\nabla\vec{u} + (1-\tau)\nabla\vec{u}\right)}{\partial u^{k}} d\tau =
$$
\n
$$
\stackrel{def}{=} \left(\tilde{c}^{k}_{i}\right)\nabla_{i}w^{k} + \tilde{c}^{k}w^{k}
$$

and  $w^k = \check{u}^k - \tilde{u}^k$ . From the theory of linear systems we obtain that the linear system has a unique solution  $\vec{w} = 0$ .

# References

- [1] Adams, D. R. and Hedberg, L.I., Function spaces and potential theory, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1996.
- [2] Agueh, M., Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequalities involving the gradient L2 -norm, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Ser., 346 (2008), 757–762.
- [3] Amann, H., Invariant sets and existence theorems for semilinear parabolic and elliptic systems, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 65 (1978), pp. 432–467.
- [4] Ambrosetti, A. and Rabinowitz, P., Dual variational methods in critical point theory and applications, J. Funct. Anal. **14** (1973), 349-381.
- [5] Jackson, J. and Zitkovic, G., Existence, and uniqueness for non-Markovian triangular quadratic BSDEs, 2021. M. F. Atiyah and I. M. Singer, The index of elliptic operators I, III, Ann. Math. 87 (1986), 484-530; 546-604.
- [6] Bause, M. and Schwegler, K., Analysis of stabilized higher-order finite element approximation of nonstationary and nonlinear convection-diffusionreaction equations, Comput. Methods Appl. Mech. Engrg., 209/212 (2012), 184–196.
- [7] Cai, A.Q., Landman, K.A. and Hughes, B.D., Multi-scale modeling of a wound-healing cell migration assay, J. Theor. Biol., 245 (2007), 576–594.
- [8] Hillen, T. and Painter, K.J., A user's guide to PDE models for chemotaxis, J. Math. Biol., 58 (2009), 183–217.
- [9] John, V. and Schmeyer, E., On finite element methods for 3D time-dependent convection-diffusion-reaction equations with small diffusion, BAIL 2008 - Boundary and Interior Layers, Lect. Notes Comput. Sci. Eng., Springer, Berlin, 69 (2009), 173–181.
- [10] Ladyzenskaja, O.A., Solonnikov, V.A. and Uralceva, N.N., Linear and quasilinear equations of parabolic type, Translations of Mathematical Monographs, Amer. Math. Soc., Vol. 23, 1968.
- [11] Lee, J.M., Hillena, T. and Lewis, M.A., Pattern formation in prey-taxis systems, J. Biol. Dynamics, 3 (2009), 551–573.
- [12] Berestycki, H. and Nirenberg, L., Travelling fronts in cylinders, Ann. IHP Anal. Nonlin. 9 (1992), 497-572.
- [13] Berlyiand, A.G. and Semenov, Yu.A., On the  $L_p$ -theory of Schrodinger semigroups, Siberian Math. J., (1990), V. 31, P. 16 – 26.
- [14] Bony, J.M., Calcul symbolique et propagation des singularites pour les equations aux derivetes partielles nonlineaires, Ann. Sc. Ec. Norm. Sup. 14 (1981), 209-246.
- [15] Brezis, H., Some variational problems with lack of compactness, in Nonlinear Functional Analysis and Its Applications (F. Browder, Ed.), Proc. Symp. Pure Math., Vol. 45, Am. Math. Soc., Providence, (1986).
- [16] Brezis, H., Coron, J.M. and Lieb, E., Harmonic maps with defects, Comm. Math. Phys. 107 (1986), 649-705.
- [17] Caffarelli, L., Kohn, R. and Nirenberg, L., Partial regularity of suitable weak solutions of the Navier-Stokes equations, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 35 (1982), 771-831.
- [18] Caffarelli, L., Nirenberg, L. and Spruck, J., The Dirichlet problem for nonlinear second-order elliptic equations, I: Monge-Ampere equation, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 37 (1984), 369-402.
- [19] Crandall, M. and Lions, P.L., Viscosity solutions of Hamilton-Jacobi equations, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 277 (1983), 1-42.
- [20] Dacorogna, B., Weak continuity and weak lower semi-continuity of nonlinear functionals, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1987.
- [21] Davies, E.B., Lp spectral theory of higher-order elliptic differential operators, Bull. London Math. Soc. 29 (1997), 513-546.
- [22] DeGiorgi, E., Sulla differenziabilita e l'analiticita delle estremali degli integrali multipli regolari, Mem. Accad Sc. Torino, C. Sc. Fis. Mat. Natur. 3 (1957), 25-43.
- [23] DeGiorgi, E., Un esempio di estremali discontinue per un problema variazionale di tipo ellitico, Bull. UMI 4 (1968), 135-137.
- [24] Dieudonne, J., History of functional analysis, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1981.
- [25] Dong, H., Kim, S. and Lee, S., Estimates for fundamental solutions of parabolic equations in non-divergence form. J. Differential Equations, 340, 557–591, (2022).
- [26] Hongjie, D., Escauriaza, L., Kim, S., On  $C1/2,1$ ,  $C1,2$ , and  $C0,0$  estimates for linear parabolic operators. J. Evol. Equ.  $21$  (2021), no. 4, 4641-4702.
- [27] DiPerna, R. and Lions, P.L., On the Cauchy problem for Boltzmann equations: global existence and weak stability, Ann. Math. 130 (1989), 321-366.
- [28] Evans, L.C., Weak convergence methods for nonlinear partial differential equations, CBMS Regional Conf. Ser. in Math. 74 (1988).
- [29] Giaquinta, M., Introduction to regularity theory for nonlinear elliptic systems, Birkhauser, Basel, 1993.
- [30] Gidas, B., Ni, W.M. and Nirenberg, L., Symmetry and related properties via the maximum principle, Comm. Math. Phys. 6 (1981), 883-901.
- [31] Giusti, E., *Minimal surfaces and functions of bounded variation*, Birkhäuser, Basel, 1984.
- [32] Gordon, C., Webb, L. and Wolpert, S., One cannot hear the shape of a drum, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 27 (1992), 134-138.
- [33] Landes, R. and Mustonen, V., On the pseudo-monotone operators and nonlinear noncoercive problems on unbounded domains, Math. Ann. 248 (1980), 241-246.
- [34] Mawhin, J. and Willem, M., Critical point theory and Hamiltonian systems, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1989.
- [35] Nirenberg, L., Partial differential equations in the first half of the century, in "Development of Mathematics 1900-1950" (J. P. Pier, Ed.), Birkhäuser, Basel, 1994.
- [36] Kim, S. and Lee, S., Estimates for Green's functions of elliptic equations in non-divergence form with continuous coefficients. Ann. Appl. Math. 37 (2021), no. 2, 111–130.
- [37] Sylvester, J. and Uhlmann, G., A global uniqueness theorem for an inverse boundary value problem, Ann. Math. 125 (1987), 153-169.
- [38] Taylor, M., Partial differential equations, I, II, III, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1996.
- [39] Tao, Y. and Winkler, M., Energy-type estimates and global solvability in a two-dimensional chemotaxis-haptotaxis model with the remodeling of nondiffusible attractant, J. Diff. Eqns., 257 (2014), 784–815.
- [40] Stepien, T.L., Rutter, E.M. and Kuang, Y., A data-motivated densitydependent diffusion model of in vitro glioblastoma growth, Mathematical Biosciences and Engineering, 12 (2015), 1157–1172.
- [41] Tao, Y., Global existence of classical solutions to a predator-prey model with nonlinear prey-taxis, Nonl. Aanl.: RWA, 11 (2010), 2056–2064.
- [42] Tao, Y. and Winkler, M., Energy-type estimates and global solvability in a two-dimensional chemotaxis-haptotaxis model with the remodeling of nondiffusible attractant, J. Diff. Eqns.,  $257$  (2014), 784–815.
- [43] Weissler, F.B., Single-point blow-up for a semilinear initial value problem, J. Diff. Eq. 55 (1984), 204-224.
- [44] Wang, S., Teng, Y., and Perdikaris, P., Understanding and mitigating gradient flow pathologies in physics-informed neural networks, SIAM J. Sci. Comput. 43, 5 (2021), A3055–A3081.
- [45] Yaremenko, M.I., The existence of a solution of evolution and elliptic equations with singular coefficients , Asian Journal of Mathematics and Computer Research, Vol. 15 (2017), Issue.: 3. pp. 172- 204.
- [46] Yaremenko, M.I., Quasi-linear evolution and elliptic equations, Journal of Progressive Research in Mathematics, 11, (2017), no. 3., 1645-1669.
- [47] Yaremenko, M.I., The sequence of semigroups of nonlinear operators and their applications to study the Cauchy problem for parabolic equations, Scientific Journal of the Ternopil National Technical University N. 4. ( 2016), pp. 149-160.
- [48] Zhang, D., Guo, L., and Karniadakis, G. E., Learning in modal space: Solving time-dependent stochastic PDEs using physics-informed neural networks. SIAM J. Sci. Comput., 42 (2020), A639–A665.