Bulletin of the *Transilvania* University of Braşov Series III: Mathematics and Computer Science, Vol. 4(66), No. 2 - 2024, 201-214 https://doi.org/10.31926/but.mif.2024.4.66.2.12

ON M - PROJECTIVE CURVATURE TENSOR OF LORENTZIAN β - KENMOTSU MANIFOLD

Anand Kumar MISHRA¹, Pawan PRAJAPATI^{*,2}, RAJAN³ and Gyanvendra Pratap SINGH⁴

Dedicated to Professor Marin Marin on the occasion of his 70th anniversary

Abstract

In this paper, we explore the characteristics of Lorentzian β - Kenmotsu manifolds admitting M - projective curvature tensor. We demonstrate that M - projectively flat and irrotational M - projective curvature tensor of Lorentzian β - Kenmotsu manifolds are locally isometric to hyperbolic space $H^n(c)$, where $c = -\beta^2$. Further, we deal with the M - projectively flat Lorentzian β - Kenmotsu manifold satisfies the condition $R(X,Y) \cdot S = 0$. The Lorentzian β - Kenmostu manifold with conservative M - projective curvature tensor is the subject of our next analysis. Finally, we obtain certain geometrical facts if the Lorentzian β - Kenmotsu manifold satisfy manifold satisfying the relation $M(X,Y) \cdot R = 0$.

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification : 53C05, 53C20, 53C25, 53D15, 53D10.

Keywords: trans Sasakian manifold, Lorentzian β - Kenmotsu manifold, M - projective curvature tensor, Einstein manifold, η - Einstein manifold, irrotational M - projective curvature tensor and conservative M - projective curvature tensor.

1 Introduction

If a differentiable manifold has a Lorentzian metric g, i.e., a symmetric nondegenerate (0,2) tensor field of index 1, then it is called a Lorentzian manifold.

¹Department of Mathematics and Statistics, Deen Dayal Upadhyaya Gorakhpur University, Gorakhpur, 273009, e-mail: aanandmishra1796@gmail.com

^{2*} Corresponding author, Department of Mathematics and Statistics, Deen Dayal Upadhyaya Gorakhpur University, Gorakhpur, 273009, e-mail: pawanpra123@gmail.com

³Department of Mathematics and Statistics, Deen Dayal Upadhyaya Gorakhpur University, Gorakhpur, 273009, e-mail: rajanvishwakarma497@gmail.com

⁴Department of Mathematics and Statistics, Deen Dayal Upadhyaya Gorakhpur University, Gorakhpur, 273009, e-mail: gpsingh.singh700@gmail.com

The notion of Lorentzian manifold was initially proposed by Matsumoto [14] in 1989. The same notion was independently studied by Mihai and Rosca [11]. Since then several geometers studied Lorentzian manifold and obtained a number of significant characteristics. Our present note deals with a special kind of manifold, i.e., Lorentzian β - Kenmotsu manifold. First, we provide an overview of the evolution of such manifold.

In [24], S. Tano categorised connected metric manifolds whose automorphisms groups possess the maximum dimension. The sectional curvature of a plane section containing such a manifold is a constant, let's say c. He demonstrated that they can be categorised into three cases: (I). Homogeneous normal contact Riemannian manifolds with c > 0: (II). Global Riemannian product of a line or a circle with a Kaehler manifold of constant holomorphic sectional curvature of c = 0 and: (III). A warped product space $\mathbf{R} \times_f \mathbf{C}$ if c > 0. It is know that the manifolds of class (I) are characterized by admitting a Sasakian structure. In the Gray-Hervella classification of almost Hermition manifolds which are closely related to locally conformal Kaehler manifolds. An almost contact metric structure on a manifold M is called a trans-Sasakian structure [12, 13], if the product manifold $M\mathbf{R}$ belongs to the class W_4 . The class $C_6 \otimes C_5$ [2] coincides with the class of the trans-Sasakian structures of type (α, β) in fact, in [12]. Local nature of the two subclasses, namely, C_5 and C_6 , structures of trans-Sasakian structures are characterized completely. We note that trans-Sasakian structure of type $(0,0), (0,\beta)$ and $(\alpha,0)$ are cosymplectic, β - Kenmotsu [19], and α -Sasakian [9,22], respectively. In [12], it is proved that trans-Sasakian structures are generalized quasi-Sasakian. Thus, trans-Sasakian structures also provide a large class of generalized quasi-Sasakian structures.

An almost contact metric manifold with structure tensors (ϕ, ξ, η, g) , where ϕ is a tensor field of type (1, 1), ξ a vector field, η a 1-form and g is a Riemannian metric on M is called trans-Sasakian structure [12, 13] if $(M \times \mathbf{R}, J, G)$ belongs to the class W_4 [8, 12] of the Hermitian structure, where J is the almost complex structure on $M \times \mathbf{R}$ defined by

$$J(X, f\frac{d}{dt}) = (\phi X - f\xi, \eta(X)f\frac{d}{dt}),$$

for every vector field X on M and smooth function f on $M \times \mathbf{R}$, and G is the product metric on $M \times \mathbf{R}$. This can come across in the condition

$$(\nabla_X \phi)Y = \alpha \left\{ g(X, Y)\xi - \eta(Y)X \right\} + \beta \left\{ g(\phi X, Y)\xi - \eta(Y)\phi X \right\},$$

for some smooth functions α and β on M, and we express that the trans-Sasakian structure is of type (α, β) .

Theorem 1 (See [1]). A trans-Sasakian structure of type (α, β) with β a non-zero constant is always β - Kenmotsu.

In this scenario β becomes a constant. If $\beta = 1$, then β - Kenmotsu manifold is Kenmotsu.

Definition 1. The M - projective curvature tensor of Riemannian manifold M^n was defined by Pokhariyal and Mishra [18] is of the following form:

$$M(X,Y)Z = R(X,Y)Z - \frac{1}{2(n-1)}[S(Y,Z)X - S(X,Z)Y + g(Y,Z)QX - g(X,Z)QY], \quad (1)$$

where, Q is the Ricci operator defined on S(X, Y) = g(QX, Y).

A space form (i.e., a complete simply connected Riemannian manifold of constant curvature) is said to be elliptic, hyperbolic or Euclidean according as the sectional curvature tensor is positive, negative or zero [5]. The authors extensively studied the properties of M - projective curvature tensor on the various manifolds (see, [4, 6, 10, 16, 17, 20, 21, 25–27]). In this paper, we have studied some special properties of Lorentzian β - Kenmotsu manifold.

The purpose of this paper is to study the properties of M - projective curvature tensor in Lorentzian β - Kenmotsu manifolds. The paper is organized as follows: Section 2 is concerned with preliminaries of Lorentzian β - Kenmotsu manifolds. In section 3, we study the M - projectively flat of Lorentzian β - Kenmotsu manifold. Section 4 deals with the M - projectively flat Lorentzian β - Kenmotsu manifold satisfies the condition $R(X, Y) \cdot S = 0$. In section 5, we study conservative M - projective curvature tensor of Lorentzian β - Kenmotsu manifold are studied. Section 7 is devoted with study of Lorentzian β - Kenmotsu manifold satisfies the condition $R(X, Y) \cdot R = 0$.

2 Preliminaries

In this section, we briefly recall some general definitions of Lorentzian β -Kenmotsu manifold:

A (2n + 1) - dimensional differentiable manifold M is called Lorentzian β -Kenmotsu manifold if it admits a (1, 1)-tensor field ϕ , a vector field ξ , a 1-form η and Lorentzian metric g which satisfy the conditions

$$\phi^2 X = X + \eta(X)\xi, \qquad g(X,\xi) = \eta(X),$$
(2)

$$\eta \xi = -1, \qquad \phi \xi = 0, \qquad \eta(\phi X) = 0,$$
 (3)

$$g(\phi X, \phi Y) = g(X, Y) + \eta(X)\eta(Y), \tag{4}$$

for all $X, Y \in \chi(M^n)$, where $\chi(M^n)$ is the Lie algebra of smooth vector fields on M^n . Also a Lorentzian β - Kenmotsu manifold M is satisfying

$$\nabla_X \xi = \beta [X - \eta(X)\xi],\tag{5}$$

$$(\nabla_X \eta)(Y) = \beta[g(X, Y) - \eta(X)\eta(Y)], \tag{6}$$

$$(\nabla_X \phi)(Y) = \beta[g(\phi X, Y)\xi - \eta(Y)\phi X], \tag{7}$$

where, ∇ denotes the operator of covariant differentiation with respect to the Lorentzian metric g. Further, on a Lorentzian β - Kenmotsu manifold M the following relations hold (See [1,19])

$$\eta(R(X,Y)Z) = \beta^2[g(X,Z)\eta(Y) - g(Y,Z)\eta(X)],$$
(8)

$$R(X,Y)Z = \beta^{2}[g(X,Z)Y - g(Y,Z)X],$$
(9)

$$R(\xi, X)Y = \beta^{2}(\eta(Y)X - g(X, Y)\xi),$$
(10)

$$R(X,Y)\xi = \beta^2(\eta(X)Y - \eta(Y)X), \tag{11}$$

$$S(X,\xi) = -(n-1)\beta^2 \eta(X),$$
 (12)

$$QX = -(n-1)\beta^2 X, \quad Q\xi = -(n-1)\beta^2 \xi,$$
(13)

$$S(\xi,\xi) = (n-1)\beta^2,$$
 (14)

$$g(QX,Y) = S(X,Y) = -(n-1)\beta^2 g(X,Y),$$
(15)

$$S(\phi X, \phi Y) = S(X, Y) - (n-1)\beta^2 \eta(X)\eta(Y),$$
(16)

for any vector fields X, Y, Z on M, where R, S and Q denotes the curvature tensor, Ricci tensor and Ricci operator on M.

Definition 2. A Lorentzian β - Kenmotsu manifold M is said to be η -Einstein manifold if it's Ricci tensor S is of the form

$$S(X,Y) = \lambda_1 g(X,Y) + \lambda_2 \eta(X)\eta(Y), \tag{17}$$

for any vector fields X, Y, where λ_1, λ_2 are smooth functions on M. If $\lambda_2 = 0$, then M is an Einstein manifold.

In view of (2) and (17), we have

$$QX = \lambda_1 X + \lambda_2 \eta(X)\xi. \tag{18}$$

Let us consider Lorentzian β - Kenmotsu manifold. Then putting $X = Y = e_i$ in (17), i = 1, 2, ..., n and taking summation for $1 \le i \le n$, we have

$$r = n\lambda_1 - \lambda_2. \tag{19}$$

Now, putting $X = Y = \xi$ in (17) and using (2), (3) and (12), we obtain

$$\lambda_2 - \lambda_1 = (n-1)\beta^2. \tag{20}$$

From the condition (19) and (20), we have

$$\lambda_1 = \frac{r}{(n-1)} + \beta^2$$
 and $\lambda_2 = \frac{r}{(n-1)} + n\beta^2$, (21)

where, r is the scalar curvature.

In view of (8)-(11), it can be easily constructed that in n - dimensional Lorentzian β - Kenmotsu manifold M^n , the M - projective curvature tensor satisfies the following condition from (1.1):

$$M(X,Y)\xi = \frac{\beta^2}{2} \left\{ \eta(X)Y - \eta(Y)X \right\} - \frac{1}{2(n-1)} \left\{ \eta(Y)QX - \eta(X)QY \right\}, \quad (22)$$

On M - projective curvature tensor of Lorentzian β - Kenmotsu manifold 205

$$M(\xi, X)Y = \frac{\beta^2}{2} \left\{ \eta(Y)X - g(X, Y)\xi \right\} - \frac{1}{2(n-1)} \left\{ S(X, Y)\xi - \eta(Y)QX \right\}, \quad (23)$$

$$\eta(M(X,Y)Z) = \frac{\beta^2}{2} \left\{ g(X,Z)\eta(Y) - g(Y,Z)\eta(X) \right\} - \frac{1}{2(n-1)} \left\{ S(Y,Z)\eta(X) - S(X,Z)\eta(Y) \right\}.$$
 (24)

The facts above will be applied in a subsequent section.

3 M - projectively flat Lorentzian β - Kenmotsu manifold

In this section, we study M - projectively - flat in Lorentzian β - Kenmotsu manifold.

Definition 3. A Lorentzian β - Kenmotsu manifold M^n is said to be M - projectively flat if

$$M(X,Y)Z = 0,$$

for any vector fields X, Y, Z on M^n .

By virtue of Definition 3 in (1), we have

$$R(X,Y)Z = \frac{1}{2(n-1)} [S(Y,Z)X - S(X,Z)Y + g(Y,Z)QX - g(X,Z)QY], \quad (25)$$

Taking $Z = \xi$ in (25) and using (3), (11) and (12), we obtain

$$\beta^{2}[\eta(X)Y - \eta(Y)X] = \frac{1}{n-1}[\eta(Y)QX - \eta(X)QY].$$
 (26)

Again, putting $Y = \xi$ in (26) and using relation (2), (3) in (12), we get

$$QX = -(n-1)\beta^2 X,$$

which on simplification gives,

$$S(X,Y) = -(n-1)\beta^2 g(X,Y).$$
 (27)

which yields,

$$r = -n(n-1)\beta^2. \tag{28}$$

Hence, we state the following theorem:

Theorem 2. If an n - dimensional Lorentzian β - Kenmotsu manifold M^n is M - Projectively flat, then it is an Einstein manifold and Ricci tensor of M has the form $S(X,Y) = -(n-1)\beta^2 g(X,Y)$.

In consequence of (27), (25) becomes

$$R(X,Y)Z = -\beta^2 \{g(Y,Z)X - g(X,Z)Y\}.$$
(29)

A space form is said to be hyperbolic if the sectional curvature tensor is negative [5]. Thus, we can state

Theorem 3. If an n - dimensional Lorentzian β - Kenmotsu manifold M^n is M- Projectively flat, then it is either locally isometric to the hyperbolic space $H^n(c)$, where $c = -\beta^2$ or M^n has constant scalar curvature $-n(n-1)\beta^2$.

4 M - projectively flat Lorentzian β - Kenmotsu manifold satisfying $R(X, Y) \cdot S = 0$ condition

In this section, we consider that M^n is an M - projectively flat Lorentzian β - Kenmotsu manifold (M^n, g) satisfying the condition $R(X, Y) \cdot S = 0$. Thus we have

$$S(R(X,Y)Z,U) + S(Z,R(X,Y)U) = 0.$$
(30)

In view of (25) in (30), we have

$$\frac{1}{2(n-1)} [S(QX,U)g(Y,Z) - S(QY,U)g(X,Z) + S(QX,Z)g(Y,U) - S(QY,Z)g(X,U)] = 0.$$
(31)

Putting $Y = Z = \xi$ in (31) and using (2), (3) and (12), we obtain

$$[S(QX,U) + \eta(X)S(Q\xi,U) - \eta(U)S(QX,\xi) + g(X,U)S(Q\xi,\xi)] = 0.$$
(32)

Again, using (12) in (32), we have

$$-S(QX,U) - (n-1)^2 \beta^4 \eta(U) \eta(X) + \eta(U) S(QX,\xi) + (n-1)^2 \beta^4 g(X,U) = 0.$$
(33)

Let λ be the eigen value of the endomorphism Q corresponding to an eigen vector X. Then putting $QX = \lambda X$ in (33) and using relation g(QX, Y) = S(X, Y), we find that

$$-\lambda^2 g(X,U) - (n-1)\lambda\beta^2 \eta(X)\eta(U) - (n-1)^2\beta^4 \eta(U)\eta(X) + (n-1)^2\beta^4 g(X,U) = 0.$$
(34)

Now, putting $U = \xi$ in (34), we get

$$[\lambda^2 - (n-1)\beta^2\lambda - 2(n-1)^2\beta^4]\eta(X) = 0.$$
(35)

In this case, since $\eta(X) \neq 0$, the relation (35) gives that

$$\lambda^{2} - (n-1)\beta^{2}\lambda - 2(n-1)^{2}\beta^{4} = 0.$$
(36)

From above equation it follows that the endomorphism Q has two different non-zero eigen values, namely, $-(n-1)\beta^2$ and $2(n-1)\beta^2$.

Hence, we state the following theorem:

Theorem 4. Let M^n be an n - dimensional M - Projectively flat Lorentzian β -Kenmotsu manifold satisfies $R(X,Y) \cdot S = 0$, then symmetric endomorphism Qof the tangent space corresponding to S has two different non-zero eigen values.

5 Conservative M - projective curvature tensor on Lorentzian β - Kenmotsu manifold

Definition 4. Lorentzian β - Kenmotsu Manifold (M^n, g) is said to be M - projective conservative if

$$divM = 0, (37)$$

where div denotes the divergence.

Taking the covariant derivative of (1), we get

$$(\nabla_U M)(X,Y)Z = (\nabla_U R)(X,Y)Z - \frac{1}{2(n-1)}[(\nabla_U S)(Y,Z)X - (\nabla_U S)(X,Z)Y + g(Y,Z)(\nabla_U Q)X - g(X,Z)(\nabla_U Q)Y].$$
 (38)

Contracting with respect to U in (38), we obtain

$$(divM)(X,Y)Z = (divR)(X,Y)Z - \frac{1}{2(n-1)}[(\nabla_X S)(Y,Z) - (\nabla_Y S)(X,Z) + g(Y,Z)divQX - g(X,Z)divQY].$$
(39)

We know that

$$divQ(X) = \frac{1}{2}\nabla_X r.$$
(40)

By virtue of (40) in (39), we obtain

$$(divM)(X,Y)Z = (divR)(X,Y)Z - \frac{1}{2(n-1)}[(\nabla_X S)(Y,Z) - (\nabla_Y S)(X,Z) + \frac{1}{2}g(Y,Z)\nabla_X r - \frac{1}{2}g(X,Z)\nabla_Y r].$$
(41)

But from [7], we have

$$divR = (\nabla_X S)(Y, Z) - (\nabla_Y S)(X, Z).$$
(42)

Again, by virtue of (37) and (42) in (41), it reduces to

$$(\nabla_X S)(Y,Z) - (\nabla_Y S)(X,Z) = \frac{1}{2(2n-3)} \left\{ g(Y,Z) \nabla_X r - g(X,Z) \nabla_Y r \right\}.$$
 (43)

Putting $X = \xi$ in (43), we get

$$(\nabla_{\xi}S)(Y,Z) - (\nabla_{Y}S)(\xi,Z) = \frac{1}{2(2n-3)} \{g(Y,Z)\nabla_{\xi}r - g(\xi,Z)\nabla_{Y}r\}.$$
 (44)

Further, we know that

$$\begin{aligned} (\nabla_{\xi}S)(X,Y) &= \xi S(X,Y) - S(\nabla_{\xi}X,Y) - S(X,\nabla_{\xi}Y) \\ &= \xi S(X,Y) - S([\xi,X] + \nabla_X\xi,Y) - S(X,[\xi,Y] + \nabla_Y\xi) \\ &= \xi S(X,Y) - S([\xi,X],Y) - S(\nabla_X\xi,Y) \\ &- S(X,[\xi,Y]) - S(X,\nabla_Y\xi) \\ &= (\pounds_{\xi}S)(X,Y) - S(\nabla_X\xi,Y) - S(X,\nabla_Y\xi). \end{aligned}$$
(45)

The Lie derivative of metric g along with vector field X is

$$(\pounds_X g)(Y,Z) = \pounds_X g(Y,Z) - g(\pounds_X Y,Z) - g(Y,\pounds_X Z).$$
(46)

Putting $X = \xi$ in (46) and using (5), we obtain

$$(\pounds_{\xi}g)(Y,Z) = 2\beta[g(Y,Z) - \eta(Y)\eta(Z)].$$

$$(47)$$

Notice that g(QX, Y) = S(X, Y) and using relation (47), we get

$$(\pounds_{\xi}S)(Y,Z) = 2\beta[S(Y,Z) + (n-1)\beta^2\eta(Y)\eta(Z)].$$
(48)

Making use of (5) and (48) in (45), we get

$$(\nabla_{\xi}S)(Y,Z) = 0, \tag{49}$$

which yields

$$\nabla_{\xi} r = 0. \tag{50}$$

In view of (44) and making use of (3), (4), (5), (49) and (50), we obtain

$$S(Y,Z) + (n-1)\beta^2 g(Y,Z) = \frac{-1}{2\beta(2n-3)}\eta(Z)dr(Y).$$
(51)

Interchanging Z by ϕZ in (51) and using (3), (4) and (16), we get

$$S(Y,Z) = -(n-1)\beta^2 g(Y,Z).$$
 (52)

Contracting the equation (52), we have

$$r = -n(n-1)\beta^2.$$
 (53)

Hence, we state the following:

Theorem 5. Let M^n be an n - dimensional M - Projective curvature tensor of Lorentzian β - Kenmotsu manifold is conservative, then M^n is an Einstein manifold and Ricci tensor of M has the form $S(Y,Z) = -(n-1)\beta^2 g(Y,Z)$.

6 Irrotational M - projective curvature tensor of Lorentzian β - Kenmotsu manifold

Definition 5. The rotation (curl) of M - projective curvature tensor on a Lorentzian β - Kenmotsu manifold M^n is defined as

$$Rot M = (\nabla_U M)(X, Y)Z + (\nabla_X M)(U, Y)Z + (\nabla_Y M)(X, U)Z - (\nabla_Z M)(X, Y)U.$$
(54)

In consequence of Bianchi second identity for Riemannian connection ∇ , (54) becomes

$$Rot M = -(\nabla_Z M)(X, Y)U.$$
(55)

If the M - projective curvature tensor is irrotational, then curl M=0 and so by (55), we get

$$(\nabla_Z M)(X, Y)U = 0,$$

which gives

$$\nabla_Z(M(X,Y)U) = M(\nabla_Z X,Y)U + M(X,\nabla_Z Y)U + M(X,Y)\nabla_Z U.$$
(56)

Putting $U = \xi$ in (56), we obtain

$$\nabla_Z(M(X,Y)\xi) = M(\nabla_Z X,Y)\xi + M(X,\nabla_Z Y)\xi + M(X,Y)\nabla_Z \xi.$$
(57)

Now, substituting $Z = \xi$ in (1) and using (2), (3), (11), (12) and (18), we obtain

$$M(X,Y)\xi = \lambda[\eta(Y)X - \eta(X)Y],$$
(58)

where,

$$\lambda = \frac{1}{2(n-1)^2} [n(n-1)\beta^2 + r].$$
(59)

By virtue of (58) and (5) in (57), we have

$$M(X,Y)Z = \lambda[g(Z,X)Y - g(Z,Y)X)].$$
(60)

In view of (1) and (60), we have

$$\lambda[g(Z,X)Y - g(Z,Y)X] = R(X,Y)Z - \frac{1}{2(n-1)}[S(Y,Z)X - S(X,Z)Y + g(Y,Z)QX - g(X,Z)QY].$$
(61)

Contracting (61) over X and using (59), we get

$$S(Y,Z) = -(n-1)\beta^2 g(Y,Z).$$
 (62)

From (62), we find that

$$r = -n(n-1)\beta^2.$$
 (63)

Thus, we state the following theorem:

Theorem 6. If the M - projective curvature tensor in a Lorentzian β - Kenmotsu manifold M^n is irrotational, then the manifold M^n is an Einstein manifold with constant scalar curvature $-n(n-1)\beta^2$.

7 Lorentzian β - Kenmotsu manifold satisfying $M \cdot R = 0$ condition

Consider an Lorentzian β - Kenmotsu manifold satisfying the condition

$$M \cdot R = 0. \tag{64}$$

Then from the relation (64), it follows that

$$M(\xi, X)R(Y, Z)U - R(M(\xi, X)Y, Z)U - R(Y, Z)M(\xi, X)U = 0.$$
 (65)

In view of (23), it follows from (65) that

$$\frac{\beta^2}{2} [\eta(R(Y,Z)U)X - g(X,R(Y,Z)U)\xi - \eta(Y)R(X,Z)U + g(X,Y)R(\xi,Z)U - \eta(Z)R(Y,X)U + g(X,Z)R(Y,\xi)U - \eta(U)R(Y,Z)X + g(X,U)R(Y,Z)\xi] - \frac{1}{2(n-1)} [g(R(Y,Z)U,QX)\xi - \eta(R(Y,Z)U)QX - S(X,Y)R(\xi,Z)U + \eta(Y)R(QX,Z)U - S(X,Z)R(Y,\xi)U + \eta(Z)R(Y,QX)U - S(X,U)R(Y,Z)\xi + \eta(U)R(Y,Z)QX] = 0. (66)$$

Taking the inner product of (66) with ξ and on simplification, we obtain

$$\frac{\beta^2}{2}R'(Y,Z,U,X) - \frac{\beta^2}{2}[\eta(Y)\eta(R(X,Z)U) - g(X,Y)\eta(R(\xi,Z)U) + \eta(Z)\eta(R(Y,X)U) - g(X,Z)\eta(R(Y,\xi)U) + \eta(U)\eta(R(Y,Z)X) - g(X,U)\eta(R(Y,Z)\xi)] + \frac{1}{2(n-1)}R'(Y,Z,U,QX) + \frac{1}{2(n-1)}[S(X,Y)\eta(R(\xi,Z)U) - \eta(Y)\eta(R(QX,Z)U) + S(X,Z)\eta(R(Y,\xi)U) - \eta(Z)\eta(R(Y,QX)U) + S(X,U)\eta(R(Y,Z)\xi) - \eta(U)\eta(R(Y,Z)QX)] = 0.$$
(67)

Making use of (8), (10) and (11) in (67), we have

$$\frac{\beta^2}{2}R'(Y,Z,U,X) + \frac{1}{2(n-1)}R'(Y,Z,U,QX) + \frac{\beta^4}{2}[g(X,Y)g(Z,U) - g(X,Z)g(Y,U)] + \frac{\beta^2}{2(n-1)}[S(X,Y)g(Z,U) - S(X,Z)g(Y,U)] = 0.$$
(68)

Let $\{e_i : i = 1, 2, ..., n\}$ be an orthonormal frame field at any point of the manifold. Then contracting $Z = U = e_i$ in (68) and on simplification, we have

$$S^{2}(X,Y) = -(n-1)\beta^{2}[2S(X,Y) + (n-1)\beta^{2}g(X,Y)].$$
(69)

Therefore, the S^2 of the Ricci tensor S is the linear combination of the Ricci tensor and the metric tensor g. Here the (0, 2)-tensor S^2 is defined by $S^2(X, Y) = S(QX, Y)$.

Hence, we state the following:

Theorem 7. Let M be an n - dimensional Lorentzian β - Kenmotsu manifold is satisfying the condition $M \cdot R = 0$. Then the S^2 of the Ricci tensor S is the linear combination of the Ricci tensor and the metric tensor g has the form $S^2(X,Y) = -(n-1)\beta^2 \{2S(X,Y) + (n-1)\beta^2g(X,Y)\}.$

It is well known that:

Lemma 1 (See [23]). If $\theta = g \wedge A$ be the Kulkarni-Nomizu product of g and A, where g being Riemannian metric and A be a symmetric tensor of type (0,2) at point x of a semi-Riemannian manifold (M^n, g) . Then relation $\theta \cdot \theta = \rho Q(g, \theta), \rho \in \mathbb{R}$ is true at x if and only if the condition $A^2 = \rho A + \mu g, \mu \in \mathbb{R}$ holds at x.

In consequence of Theorem 7 and Lemma 1, we have the following Corollary:

Corollary 1. Let M be an n - dimensional Lorentzian β - Kenmotsu manifold satisfying the condition $M \cdot R = 0$, then $\theta \cdot \theta = \rho Q(g, \theta), \rho \in \mathbb{R}$, where $\theta = g \wedge S$ and $\rho = -2(n-1)\beta^2$.

8 Acknowledgement

The authors are very grateful to Prof. S. K. Srivastava, Department of Mathematics and Statistics, D.D.U. Gorakhpur University, Gorakhpur, INDIA; Dr. Vivek Kumar Sharma, Department of Mathematics and Statistics, D.D.U. Gorakhpur University, Gorakhpur, INDIA; Prof. Ljubica S. Velimiroric, Department of Mathematics, University of NIS, Serbia and Dr. Gurupadavva Ingalahalli, Department of Mathematics, J.N.N.C.E., Shimoga, INDIA for their continuous support and suggestions to improve the quality and presentation of the paper.

References

- Bagewadi, C.S. and Kumar, E.G. Notes on trans-Sasakian manifolds, Tensor N.S., 65 (2004), no. 1, 80-88.
- [2] Bejan, C.L. and Crasmareanu, M., Second order parallel tensors and Ricci solitons in 3 - dimensional normal paracontact geometry, Anal. Global Anal. Geom., 46 (2014), no. 2, 117-127.
- [3] Blair, D.E., Contact manifolds in Riemannian geometry, Lecture Notes in Math., Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1976.
- [4] Chaubey, S.K. and Ojha, R.H., On the M projective curvature tensor of a Kenmotsu manifold, Differ. Geom. Dyn. Syst., 12 (2010), 52-60.

- [5] Chen, B.Y., *Geometry of submanifolds*, Marcel Dekker, New York, 1973.
- [6] De, U.C. and Mallick, S., Space times admitting M projective curvature tensor, Bulg. J. Phys., 39 (2012), 331-338.
- [7] Eisenhart, L.P., *Riemannian geometry*, Princeton Univ. Press, 1926.
- [8] Gray, A. and Hervella, L.M., The sixteen classes of almost Hermitian manifolds and their linear invariants, Ann. Mat. Pura Appl., 123 (1980), no. 1, 35-58.
- [9] Ingalahalli, G. and Bagewadi, C.S., *Ricci solitons α-Sasakian manifolds*, ISRN Geom., **2012** (2012), 421384.
- [10] Karcher, H., Infinitesimal characterization of Friedman universes, Arch. Math. (Basel), 38 (1982), no. 1, 58-64.
- [11] Mahai, I. and Rosca, R. On Lorentzian P-Sasakian manifolds, Classical Analysis, World Scientific Pable, Singapore, 1992.
- [12] Marrero, J.C., The local structure of trans-Sasakian manifolds, Ann. Mat. Pura Appl., 162 (1992), no. 4, 77-86.
- [13] Marrero, J.C. and Chinea, D., On trans-Sasakian manifolds, Proceedings of the XIVth Spanish-Portuquese conferences on Mathematics, I-III(1990).
- [14] Matsumoto, K., On Lorentzian para contact manifolds, Bull. Yamagata Univ. Natur. Sci., 12 (1989), 151-156.
- [15] Mishra, R.S., Almost contact metric manifolds, Monograph 1, Tensor Society of India, Lucknow, 1991.
- [16] Ojha, R.H., A note on the M projective curvature tensor, Indian J. Pure Appl. Math., 8 (1975), 1531-1534.
- [17] Ojha, R.H., M projectively flat Sasakian manifolds, Indian J. Pure Appl. Math., 17 (1986), 481-484.
- [18] Pokhariyal, G.P. and Mishra, R.S., Curvature tensors and their relativistic signification II, Yokohama Math. J., 18 (1970), 105-108.
- [19] Prakash, D.G., Bagewadi, C.S. and Basavarajappa, N.S. On Lorentzian β -Kenmotsu manifolds, Int. J. Math. Anal., **2** (2008), no. 19, 919-927.
- [20] Prakash, A., Ahmad, M. and Srivastava, A., M projective curvature tensor on a Lorentzian para-Sasakian manifolds, IOSR-JM, 6 (2013), no. 1, 19-23.
- [21] Prakash, D.G. and Chavan, V., On M projective curvature tensor of Lorentzian α-Sasakian manifold, Int. J. Pure Appl. Math. Sci., 18 (2017), 22-33.

- [22] Rajan, Gyanvendra, P.S., Pawan, P. and Anand, K. M., W₈-curvature tensor in Lorentzian α-Sasakian manifold, TURCOMAT, **11** (2020), no. 3, 1061-1072.
- [23] Seszcz, R., Verstraelen, L. and Yaprak, S., Warped products realizing a certain condition of pseudosymmetry type imposed on the curvature tensor, Chin. J. Math., 22 (1994), no. 2, 139-157.
- [24] Tanno, S., The automorphism groups of almost contact Riemannian manifolds, Tohoku Mathematical Sournal, 21 (1969), no. 1, 21-38.
- [25] Venkatesha and Sumangala, B., On M projective curvature tensor of a generalized Sasakian space form, Acta Math. Univ. Comenian., 82 (2013), no. 2, 209-217.
- [26] Zengin, F.O., On M projectively flat LP-Sasakian manifolds, Ukrainian Math. J., 65 (2014), no. 11, 1725-1732.
- [27] Zengin, F.Ö., M projectively flat space times, Math. Rep., 4 (2012), no. 4, 363-370.