

PSEUDO-SPECTRUM OF NON-ARCHIMEDEAN MATRIX PENCILS

Jawad ETTAYB^{*,1}

Abstract

In this paper, we define the notions of the C -trace pseudo-spectrum, the M -determinant pseudo-spectrum and the pseudo-spectrum of non-Archimedean matrix pencils. Many results are proved about the C -trace pseudo-spectrum, the M -determinant pseudo-spectrum and the pseudo-spectrum of non-Archimedean matrix pencils. Examples are given to support our work.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: 47A10, 47A56, 47S10.

Key words: Non-Archimedean matrix pencil, determinant, trace, spectrum.

1 Introduction and results

Throughout this paper, \mathbb{K} is a non-Archimedean (n.a) non trivially complete valued field with valuation $|\cdot|$, \mathbb{Q}_p is the field of p -adic numbers ($p \geq 2$ being a prime) equipped with p -adic valuation $|\cdot|_p$, X is a non-Archimedean Banach space over \mathbb{K} , $\mathcal{L}(X)$ denotes the set of all bounded linear operators on X and $X' = \mathcal{L}(X, \mathbb{K})$ is the dual space of X . For more details, we refer to [11]. We denote the completion of algebraic closure of \mathbb{Q}_p under the p -adic valuation $|\cdot|_p$ by \mathbb{C}_p [11]. For more details on non-Archimedean pseudo-spectrum of operator pencils or matrix pencils, we refer to [4], [5] and [6]. In this paper, we study the problem of finding the eigenvalues of the generalized eigenvalue problem

$$Ax = \lambda Bx$$

for $\lambda \in \mathbb{K}$ and $x \in \mathbb{K}^n$, $\mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{K})$ denotes the algebra of all $n \times n$ (n.a) matrices and I is the $n \times n$ identity matrix. Let $A \in \mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{K})$, the trace and the determinant of A are denoted by $Tr(A)$ and $\det(A)$ respectively. For more details, we refer to [1], [2], [3], [7] and [9]. We have the following definitions.

^{1*} *Corresponding author*, Department of mathematics and computer science, Sidi Mohamed Ben Abdellah University, Faculty of Sciences Dhar El Mahraz, Fès, Morocco, e-mail: jawad.ettayb@usmba.ac.ma

Definition 1. [6] Let X be a non-Archimedean Banach space over \mathbb{K} . For a pair (A, B) of operators in $\mathcal{L}(X)$, the spectrum $\sigma(A, B)$ of the linear operator pencil (A, B) is defined by

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma(A, B) &= \{\lambda \in \mathbb{K} : A - \lambda B \text{ is not invertible in } \mathcal{L}(X)\} \\ &= \{\lambda \in \mathbb{K} : 0 \in \sigma(A - \lambda B)\}.\end{aligned}$$

The resolvent set $\rho(A, B)$ of the linear operator pencil (A, B) is the complement of $\sigma(A, B)$ in \mathbb{K} given by

$$\rho(A, B) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{K} : R_\lambda(A, B) = (A - \lambda B)^{-1} \text{ exists in } \mathcal{L}(X)\}.$$

$R_\lambda(A, B)$ is called the resolvent of the linear operator pencil (A, B) .

Ingleton [8] showed that:

Theorem 1. [8] Let X be a non-Archimedean Banach space over a spherically complete field \mathbb{K} . For all $x \in X \setminus \{0\}$, there is $\xi \in X'$ such that $\xi(x) = 1$ and $\|\xi\| = \|x\|^{-1}$.

Example 1. [11] \mathbb{Q}_p is spherically complete.

2 P -adic spectral sets of matrix pencils

We introduce the following definition.

Definition 2. [2] Let $A \in \mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{K})$, the trace of A is

$$Tr(A) = \sum_{k=1}^n a_{k,k},$$

where for all $k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, $a_{k,k} \in \mathbb{K}$ are diagonal coefficients of A .

We have the following proposition.

Proposition 1. [2] Let $A, B \in \mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{K})$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{K}$. Then

- (i) $Tr(A + \lambda B) = Tr(A) + \lambda Tr(B)$,
- (ii) $Tr(AB) = Tr(BA)$.

Furthermore, the map $Tr : \mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{K}) \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$ is a continuous linear functional with $|Tr(A)| \leq \|A\|$.

We have the following definitions.

Definition 3. [2] Let $A, B \in \mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{K})$ and $\varepsilon > 0$. The trace pseudo-spectrum of the matrix pencil (A, B) of the form $A - \lambda B$ is denoted by $Tr_\varepsilon(A, B)$ and is defined as

$$Tr_\varepsilon(A, B) = \sigma(A, B) \cup \{\lambda \in \mathbb{K} : |Tr(A - \lambda B)| \leq \varepsilon\}.$$

The trace pseudo-resolvent of the matrix pencil of the form $A - \lambda B$ is denoted by $Tr\rho_\varepsilon(A, B)$ and is defined by

$$Tr\rho_\varepsilon(A, B) = \rho(A, B) \cap \{\lambda \in \mathbb{K} : |Tr(A - \lambda B)| > \varepsilon\}.$$

Definition 4. Let $A, B, C \in \mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{K})$ and $\varepsilon > 0$. The C -trace pseudo-spectrum of the matrix pencil (A, B) of the form $A - \lambda B$ is denoted by $Tr_\varepsilon^C(A, B)$ and is defined as

$$Tr_\varepsilon^C(A, B) = \sigma(A, B) \cup \{\lambda \in \mathbb{K} : |Tr({}^t C(A - \lambda B)C)| \leq \varepsilon\}.$$

The C -trace pseudo-resolvent of the matrix pencil of the form $A - \lambda B$ is denoted by $Tr^C \rho_\varepsilon(A, B)$ and is defined by

$$Tr^C \rho_\varepsilon(A, B) = \rho(A, B) \cap \{\lambda \in \mathbb{K} : |Tr({}^t C(A - \lambda B)C)| > \varepsilon\}.$$

If $C = I$, the Definition 4 coincides with the Definition 3. We have the following theorem.

Theorem 2. Let $A, B \in \mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{K})$. Then, for any $C \in \mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{K})$, not null, we have:

- (i) If $0 < \varepsilon_1 \leq \varepsilon_2$, $Tr_{\varepsilon_1}^C(A, B) \subset Tr_{\varepsilon_2}^C(A, B)$,
- (ii) If $\alpha \in \mathbb{K}$ and $\beta \in \mathbb{K} \setminus \{0\}$, then for any $\varepsilon > 0$, $Tr_\varepsilon^C(\beta A + \alpha B, B) = \beta Tr_{\frac{\varepsilon}{|\beta|}}^C(A, B) + \alpha$,
- (iii) For all $\lambda, \alpha \in \mathbb{K}, \varepsilon > 0$ and $Tr({}^t CC) \neq 0$,

$$Tr_\varepsilon^C(\alpha I, I) = \left\{ \lambda \in \mathbb{K} : |\lambda - \alpha| \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{|Tr({}^t CC)|} \right\}.$$

Proof. (i) It is clear from the definition of the C -trace pseudo-spectrum of matrix pencils.

- (ii) Let $\alpha \in \mathbb{K}$ and $\beta \in \mathbb{K} \setminus \{0\}$, then it is easy to see that

$$\sigma(\beta A + \alpha B, B) = \alpha + \beta \sigma(A, B),$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} Tr_\varepsilon^C(\beta A + \alpha B, B) &= \left\{ \lambda \in \mathbb{K} : |Tr({}^t C(\beta A + \alpha B - \lambda B)C)| \leq \varepsilon \right\} \\ &= \left\{ \lambda \in \mathbb{K} : |\beta| |Tr({}^t C(A - \frac{(\lambda - \alpha)}{\beta} B)C)| \leq \varepsilon \right\} \\ &= \left\{ \lambda \in \mathbb{K} : |Tr({}^t C(A - \frac{(\lambda - \alpha)}{\beta} B)C)| \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{|\beta|} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $\lambda \in Tr_\varepsilon^C(\beta A + \alpha B, B)$ if, and only if, $\frac{\lambda - \alpha}{\beta} \in Tr_{\frac{\varepsilon}{|\beta|}}^C(A, B)$ i.e., $\lambda \in \beta Tr_{\frac{\varepsilon}{|\beta|}}^C(A, B) + \alpha$.

- (iii) Let $\alpha, \lambda \in \mathbb{K}, \varepsilon > 0$ and $Tr({}^t CC) \neq 0$, then

$$|Tr({}^t C(\alpha I - \lambda I)C)| = |\lambda - \alpha| |Tr({}^t CC)| \leq \varepsilon.$$

Thus

$$Tr_\varepsilon^C(\alpha I, I) = \left\{ \lambda \in \mathbb{K} : |\lambda - \alpha| \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{|Tr({}^t CC)|} \right\}.$$

□

We have the following examples.

Example 2. Let $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{Q}_p$ and $\varepsilon > 0$. If

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, B = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } C = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} Tr_\varepsilon^C(A, B) &= \sigma(A, B) \cup \{\lambda \in \mathbb{Q}_p : |Tr({}^t C(A - \lambda B)C)|_p \leq \varepsilon\} \\ &= \sigma(A, B) \cup \{\lambda \in \mathbb{Q}_p : |Tr({}^t C(A - \lambda B)C)|_p \leq \varepsilon\} \\ &= \left\{\frac{1}{2}, 1\right\} \cup \{\lambda \in \mathbb{Q}_p : |2 - 3\lambda|_p \leq \varepsilon\}. \end{aligned}$$

Example 3. Let $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{K} = \mathbb{Q}_p$ be nonzero elements and $\varepsilon > 0$. If

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, B = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } C = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \alpha \\ \beta & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} Tr_\varepsilon^C(A, B) &= \sigma(A, B) \cup \{\lambda \in \mathbb{Q}_p : |Tr({}^t C(A - \lambda B)C)|_p \leq \varepsilon\} \\ &= \{1\} \cup \{\lambda \in \mathbb{Q}_p : |\alpha^2 + \beta^2(1 - \lambda)|_p \leq \varepsilon\}. \end{aligned}$$

Let $r > 0$, $B_f(0, r) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{K} : |\lambda| \leq r\}$ is the closed ball centered at zero with radius r . We have the following theorem.

Theorem 3. Let $A, B, C \in \mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{K})$ such that $|Tr({}^t CBC)| \neq 0$ and $\varepsilon > 0$. Then

$$Tr_\delta^C(A, B) + B_f\left(0, \frac{\varepsilon}{|Tr({}^t CBC)|}\right) \subseteq Tr_\gamma^C(A, B)$$

where $\gamma = \max\{\delta, \varepsilon\}$. If $\delta < \varepsilon$, we have

$$Tr_\delta^C(A, B) + B_f\left(0, \frac{\varepsilon}{|Tr({}^t CBC)|}\right) \subseteq Tr_\varepsilon^C(A, B).$$

Proof. Let $A, B, C \in \mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{K})$ and $\varepsilon > 0$. Let $\lambda \in Tr_\delta^C(A, B) + B_f\left(0, \frac{\varepsilon}{|Tr({}^t CBC)|}\right)$, then there exists $\lambda_0 \in Tr_\delta^C(A, B)$ and $\lambda_1 \in B_f\left(0, \frac{\varepsilon}{|Tr({}^t CBC)|}\right)$ such that $\lambda = \lambda_0 + \lambda_1$, hence

$$|Tr({}^t C(A - \lambda_0 B)C)| \leq \delta$$

and

$$|\lambda_1| |Tr({}^t CBC)| \leq \varepsilon.$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} |Tr({}^t C(A - \lambda B)C)| &= |Tr({}^t C(A - \lambda_0 B - \lambda_1 B)C)| \\ &\leq \max\left\{|Tr({}^t C(A - \lambda_0 B)C)|, |\lambda_1| |Tr({}^t CBC)|\right\} \\ &\leq \max\left\{\delta, \varepsilon\right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Set $\gamma = \max \{ \delta, \varepsilon \}$. Then,

$$Tr_{\delta}^C(A, B) + B_f(0, \frac{\varepsilon}{|Tr({}^tCBC)|}) \subseteq Tr_{\gamma}^C(A, B).$$

If $\delta < \varepsilon$, then $\gamma = \varepsilon$. Consequently,

$$Tr_{\delta}^C(A, B) + B_f(0, \frac{\varepsilon}{|Tr({}^tCBC)|}) \subseteq Tr_{\varepsilon}^C(A, B).$$

□

Now we introduce the C -trace set of matrix pencils in non-Archimedean case as follows.

Definition 5. Let $A, B, C \in \mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{K})$ and $\varepsilon > 0$. The C -trace set of the matrix pencil (A, B) is denoted by $tr_{\varepsilon}^C(A, B)$ and is defined as

$$tr_{\varepsilon}^C(A, B) = \{ \lambda \in \mathbb{K} : |Tr({}^tC(A - \lambda B)C)| \leq \varepsilon \}.$$

Remark 1.

- (i) For all $\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2 > 0$ such that $\varepsilon_2 \geq \varepsilon_1$, we have $tr_{\varepsilon_1}^C(A, B) \subseteq tr_{\varepsilon_2}^C(A, B)$.
- (ii) If $C = I$, we have $tr_{\varepsilon}^C(A, B) = tr_{\varepsilon}(A, B)$.

We have the following results.

Theorem 4. Let $A, B, D \in \mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{K})$ and $\varepsilon > 0$. Then, for any $C \in \mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{K})$, not null, we have:

$$tr_{\varepsilon}^C(A, D) + tr_{\varepsilon}^C(B, D) \subseteq tr_{\varepsilon}^C(A + B, D).$$

Proof. Let $\lambda \in tr_{\varepsilon}^C(A, D) + tr_{\varepsilon}^C(B, D)$, then there exists $\lambda_0 \in tr_{\varepsilon}^C(A, D)$ and $\lambda_1 \in tr_{\varepsilon}^C(B, D)$ such that $\lambda = \lambda_0 + \lambda_1$. Then

$$|Tr({}^tC(A - \lambda_0 D)C)| \leq \varepsilon \text{ and } |Tr({}^tC(B - \lambda_1 D)C)| \leq \varepsilon.$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} |Tr({}^tC(A + B - \lambda D)C)| &= |Tr({}^tC(A + B - \lambda_0 D - \lambda_1 D)C)| \\ &= |Tr({}^tC(A - \lambda_0 D)C) + Tr({}^tC(B - \lambda_1 D)C)| \\ &\leq \max \left\{ |Tr({}^tC(A - \lambda_0 D)C)|, |Tr({}^tC(B - \lambda_1 D)C)| \right\} \\ &\leq \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, $\lambda \in tr_{\varepsilon}^C(A + B, D)$. Hence,

$$tr_{\varepsilon}^C(A, D) + tr_{\varepsilon}^C(B, D) \subseteq tr_{\varepsilon}^C(A + B, D).$$

□

Proposition 2. Let $A, B \in \mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{K})$ and $\varepsilon > 0$. Let $\lambda, \mu \in tr_{\varepsilon}^C(A, B)$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{K}$ such that $|\alpha| \leq 1$. Then $\alpha\lambda + (1 - \alpha)\mu \in tr_{\varepsilon}^C(A, B)$.

Proof. Let $A, B, C \in \mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{K})$ and $\varepsilon > 0$. Let $\lambda, \mu \in tr_\varepsilon^C(A, B)$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{K}$ such that $|\alpha| \leq 1$. Then

$$|Tr({}^tC(A - \lambda B)C)| \leq \varepsilon,$$

and

$$|Tr({}^tC(A - \mu B)C)| \leq \varepsilon.$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} |Tr({}^tC(A - (\alpha\lambda + (1 - \alpha)\mu)B)C)| &= |Tr({}^tC(A - \mu B)C) + \alpha Tr({}^tC(A - \lambda B)C) \\ &\quad - \alpha Tr({}^tC(A - \mu B)C)| \\ &\leq \max \left\{ |Tr({}^tC(A - \mu B)C)|, \right. \\ &\quad |\alpha| |Tr({}^tC(A - \lambda B)C)|, \\ &\quad \left. |\alpha| |Tr({}^tC(A - \mu B)C)| \right\} \\ &\leq \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\alpha\lambda + (1 - \alpha)\mu \in tr_\varepsilon^C(A, B).$$

□

Proposition 3. Let $A, B, C \in \mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{K})$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ such that $\|{}^tCAC\| < \varepsilon$. Let $\lambda, \mu \in tr_\varepsilon^C(A, B)$. Then

$$\lambda - \mu \in tr_\varepsilon^C(A, B).$$

Proof. Let $A, B \in \mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{K})$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ such that $\|{}^tCAC\| < \varepsilon$. Let $\lambda, \mu \in tr_\varepsilon^C(A, B)$. By Proposition 1, we have $|Tr({}^tCAC)| \leq \|{}^tCAC\|$. Then

$$|Tr(A - \lambda B)| \leq \varepsilon,$$

and

$$|Tr(A - \mu B)| \leq \varepsilon.$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} |Tr({}^tC(A - (\lambda - \mu)B)C)| &= |Tr({}^tC(A - \lambda B)C) \\ &\quad - Tr({}^tC(A - \mu B)C) + Tr({}^tCAC)| \\ &\leq \max \left\{ |Tr({}^tC(A - \lambda B)C)|, \right. \\ &\quad \left. |Tr({}^tC(A - \mu B)C)|, |Tr({}^tCAC)| \right\}, \\ &\leq \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\lambda - \mu \in tr_\varepsilon^C(A, B).$$

□

3 M -determinant pseudo-spectrum of non-Archimedean matrix pencils

We introduce the following definition.

Definition 6. Let $A, B, M \in \mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{K})$ such that $\det(M) \neq 0$ and $\varepsilon > 0$. The M -determinant pseudo-spectrum of the matrix pencil (A, B) is denoted by $d_\varepsilon^M(A, B)$ and is defined as

$$d_\varepsilon^M(A, B) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{K} : |\det(M(A - \lambda B))| \leq \varepsilon\}.$$

If $B = M = I \in \mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{K})$, we have the following:

Definition 7. Let $A \in \mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{K})$ and $\varepsilon > 0$. The determinant pseudo-spectrum $d_\varepsilon(A)$ of A is

$$d_\varepsilon(A) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{K} : |\det(A - \lambda I)| \leq \varepsilon\}.$$

From the definition of the M -determinant spectrum, we have the following remark.

Remark 2.

- (i) If $I = M$, then the Definition 6 coincides with the Definition 6. of [2].
- (ii) If $M = B = I$, the M -determinant pseudo-spectrum coincides with the determinant pseudo-spectrum, i.e., $d_\varepsilon^M(A, I) = d_\varepsilon(A)$.
- (iii) In Definition 6, if B is invertible, then for any $\varepsilon > 0$, $\sigma_\varepsilon(A, B) \subseteq d_\varepsilon^M(A, B)$ and $d_0^M(A, B) = \sigma(A, B)$.
- (iv) For all unitary matrix $C \in \mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{K})$, $d^M(A, B) = d^M({}^tCAC, {}^tCBC)$.

We have the following proposition.

Proposition 4. Let $A, B, M \in \mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{K})$. Then

- (i) For all $0 < \varepsilon_1 \leq \varepsilon_2$, we have $d_{\varepsilon_1}^M(A, B) \subseteq d_{\varepsilon_2}^M(A, B)$,
- (ii) If $\det(M) \neq 0$, then for any $\varepsilon > 0$, $d_\varepsilon^M(\alpha I, I) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{K} : |\lambda - \alpha| \leq (\frac{\varepsilon}{|\det(M)|})^{\frac{1}{n}}\}$,
- (iii) For all $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{K}, \varepsilon > 0$ such that $\beta \neq 0$, $d_\varepsilon^M(\alpha I + \beta A, I) = \alpha + \beta d_{\frac{\varepsilon}{|\beta|^n}}^M(A, I)$.

Proof. Let $A, B \in \mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{K})$ and $\varepsilon > 0$.

- (i) For $0 < \varepsilon_1 \leq \varepsilon_2$. Let $\lambda \in d_{\varepsilon_1}^M(A, B)$, then $|\det(M(A - \lambda B))| \leq \varepsilon_1 \leq \varepsilon_2$. Hence $\lambda \in d_{\varepsilon_2}^M(A, B)$.

- (ii) Let $\alpha \in \mathbb{K}$, then $|\det(M(\alpha I - \lambda I))| = |\lambda - \alpha|^n |\det(M)|$, hence

$$\begin{aligned} d_\varepsilon^M(\alpha I, I) &= \{\lambda \in \mathbb{K} : |\det(M(\alpha I - \lambda I))| \leq \varepsilon\} \\ &= \{\lambda \in \mathbb{K} : |\lambda - \alpha|^n |\det(M)| \leq \varepsilon\} \\ &= \{\lambda \in \mathbb{K} : |\lambda - \alpha| \leq (\frac{\varepsilon}{|\det(M)|})^{\frac{1}{n}}\}. \end{aligned}$$

(iii) Let $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{K}$ such that $\beta \neq 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} d_\varepsilon^M(\alpha I + \beta A, I) &= \{\lambda \in \mathbb{K} : |\det(M(\alpha I + \beta A - \lambda I))| \leq \varepsilon\} \\ &= \{\lambda \in \mathbb{K} : |\det(M(\beta A - (\lambda - \alpha)I))| \leq \varepsilon\} \\ &= \{\lambda \in \mathbb{K} : |\beta|^n |\det(M(A - \frac{(\lambda - \alpha)}{\beta}I))| \leq \varepsilon\} \\ &= \{\lambda \in \mathbb{K} : |\det(M(A - \frac{(\lambda - \alpha)}{\beta}I))| \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{|\beta|^n}\}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda \in d_\varepsilon^M(\alpha I + \beta A, I) &\iff \frac{\lambda - \alpha}{\beta} \in d_{\frac{\varepsilon}{|\beta|^n}}^M(A, I) \\ &\iff \lambda \in \alpha + \beta d_{\frac{\varepsilon}{|\beta|^n}}^M(A, I). \end{aligned}$$

□

We give some examples of the M -determinant pseudo-spectrum.

Example 4. Let $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{Q}_p$ and $\varepsilon > 0$. If

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, B = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 3 \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } M = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

It is easy to check that

$$\begin{aligned} d_\varepsilon^M(A, B) &= \{\lambda \in \mathbb{Q}_p : |\det(M(A - \lambda B))|_p \leq \varepsilon\} \\ &= \{\lambda \in \mathbb{Q}_p : |(\lambda - 1)(3\lambda - 1)|_p \leq \varepsilon\}. \end{aligned}$$

Example 5. Let $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{Q}_p$ with $p \geq 2$ and $\varepsilon > 0$. If

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, B = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } M = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} d_\varepsilon^M(A, B) &= \{\lambda \in \mathbb{Q}_p : |\det(M(A - \lambda B))|_p \leq \varepsilon\} \\ &= \{\lambda \in \mathbb{Q}_p : |2\lambda(\lambda - 2)|_p \leq \varepsilon\}. \end{aligned}$$

Example 6. Let $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{C}_p$ with $p \neq 2$ and $\varepsilon > 0$. Let $a, b \in \mathbb{C}_p$ such that $a^2 + b^2 = 1$, we consider

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} a & -b \\ b & a \end{pmatrix}, B = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } M = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 3 \end{pmatrix}.$$

It is easy to see that

$$\begin{aligned} d_\varepsilon^M(A, B) &= \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C}_p : |\det(M(A - \lambda B))|_p \leq \varepsilon\} \\ &= \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C}_p : |3|_p|(a - \lambda)(a - 2\lambda) + b^2|_p \leq \varepsilon\} \\ &= \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C}_p : |3|_p|2\lambda^2 - 3\lambda a + 1|_p \leq \varepsilon\}. \end{aligned}$$

We have the following proposition.

Proposition 5. *Let $M \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{Q}_p^n)$ such that $\det(M) \neq 0$ and $\varepsilon > 0$, let $D \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{Q}_p^n)$ be diagonal operator such that for all $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, $De_i = \lambda_i e_i$ and $\lambda_i \in \mathbb{Q}_p$. Then*

$$d_\varepsilon^M(D, I) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{Q}_p : |\lambda - \lambda_1| \cdots |\lambda - \lambda_n| \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{|\det(M)|}\}.$$

Proof. Let $\varepsilon > 0$. Then $D - \lambda I$ has the form

$$\text{for all } i \in \{1, \dots, n\}, (D - \lambda)e_i = (\lambda - \lambda_i)e_i$$

where $(e_i)_{1 \leq i \leq n}$ is the canonical base of \mathbb{Q}_p^n .

Then, $|\det(M(D - \lambda I))| = |\lambda - \lambda_1| \cdots |\lambda - \lambda_n| |\det(M)|$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} d_\varepsilon^M(D, I) &= \{\lambda \in \mathbb{Q}_p : |\det(M(D - \lambda I))| \leq \varepsilon\} \\ &= \{\lambda \in \mathbb{Q}_p : |\lambda - \lambda_1| \cdots |\lambda - \lambda_n| \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{|\det(M)|}\}. \end{aligned}$$

□

4 Non-Archimedean pseudo-spectrum of matrix pencils

We begin with the following definition.

Definition 8. [5] *Let $A, B \in \mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{K})$ and $\varepsilon > 0$. The pseudo-spectrum $\sigma_\varepsilon(A, B)$ of a matrix pencil (A, B) is defined by*

$$\sigma_\varepsilon(A, B) = \sigma(A, B) \cup \{\lambda \in \mathbb{K} : \|(A - \lambda B)^{-1}\| > \varepsilon^{-1}\}.$$

The pseudo-resolvent $\rho_\varepsilon(A, B)$ of a matrix pencil (A, B) is defined by

$$\rho_\varepsilon(A, B) = \rho(A, B) \cap \{\lambda \in \mathbb{K} : \|(A - \lambda B)^{-1}\| \leq \varepsilon^{-1}\},$$

by convention $\|(A - \lambda B)^{-1}\| = \infty$ if, and only if, $\lambda \in \sigma(A, B)$.

We have the following results.

Proposition 6. *Let $A, B \in \mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{K})$ and $\varepsilon > 0$, we have*

$$(i) \quad \sigma(A, B) = \bigcap_{\varepsilon > 0} \sigma_\varepsilon(A, B).$$

(ii) *For all ε_1 and ε_2 such that $0 < \varepsilon_1 < \varepsilon_2$, $\sigma(A, B) \subset \sigma_{\varepsilon_1}(A, B) \subset \sigma_{\varepsilon_2}(A, B)$.*

Proof. (i) By Definition 8, we have for all $\varepsilon > 0$, $\sigma(A, B) \subset \sigma_\varepsilon(A, B)$. Conversely, if $\lambda \in \bigcap_{\varepsilon > 0} \sigma_\varepsilon(A, B)$, then for all $\varepsilon > 0$, $\lambda \in \sigma_\varepsilon(A, B)$. If $\lambda \in \sigma(A, B)$, there is nothing to prove. If $\|(A - \lambda B)^{-1}\| > \varepsilon^{-1}$, taking limits as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+$, we get $\|(A - \lambda B)^{-1}\| = \infty$. Thus $\lambda \in \sigma(A, B)$.

(ii) For ε_1 and ε_2 such that $0 < \varepsilon_1 < \varepsilon_2$. Let $\lambda \in \sigma_{\varepsilon_1}(A, B)$, then $\|(A - \lambda B)^{-1}\| > \varepsilon_1^{-1} > \varepsilon_2^{-1}$. Hence $\lambda \in \sigma_{\varepsilon_2}(A, B)$. \square

Theorem 5. *Let X be a non-Archimedean finite dimensional Banach space over \mathbb{Q}_p such that $\|X\| \subseteq |\mathbb{Q}_p|$, let $A, B \in \mathcal{L}(X)$ and $\varepsilon > 0$. Then,*

$$\sigma_\varepsilon(A, B) = \bigcup_{C \in \mathcal{L}(X): \|C\| < \varepsilon} \sigma(A + C, B).$$

Proof. Let $A, B \in \mathcal{L}(X)$ and $\varepsilon > 0$, let $\lambda \in \bigcup_{C \in \mathcal{L}(X): \|C\| < \varepsilon} \sigma(A + C, B)$. We argue by contradiction. Suppose that $\lambda \in \rho(A, B)$ and $\|(A - \lambda B)^{-1}\| \leq \varepsilon^{-1}$. Consider the bounded linear operator D defined on X by

$$D = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (A - \lambda B)^{-1} \left(-C(A - \lambda B)^{-1} \right)^n.$$

It is easy to see that D can be written as follows

$$D = (A - \lambda B)^{-1} (I + C(A - \lambda B)^{-1})^{-1}.$$

Hence for all $x \in X$, $D(I + C(A - \lambda B)^{-1})x = (A - \lambda B)^{-1}x$.

Let $y = (A - \lambda B)^{-1}x$, then, for all $y \in X$, $D(A - \lambda B + C)y = y$. Moreover, we have

$$\text{for all } x \in X, (A - \lambda B + C)Dx = x.$$

Hence, we conclude that $(A - \lambda B + C)$ is invertible and $D = (A - \lambda B + C)^{-1}$, which is a contradiction. Thus $\lambda \in \sigma_\varepsilon(A, B)$.

Conversely, let $A, B, C \in \mathcal{L}(X)$ and $\varepsilon > 0$, suppose that $\lambda \in \sigma_\varepsilon(A, B)$. We discuss two cases.

First case: If $\lambda \in \sigma(A, B)$, we may put $C = 0$.

Second case: Assume that $\lambda \in \sigma_\varepsilon(A, B)$ and $\lambda \notin \sigma(A, B)$. Then, there exists $y \in X \setminus \{0\}$ such that

$$\frac{\|(A - \lambda B)^{-1}y\|}{\|y\|} > \frac{1}{\varepsilon}. \quad (1)$$

Since $\|X\| \subseteq |\mathbb{Q}_p|$, then there exists $c \in \mathbb{Q}_p \setminus \{0\}$ such $|c| = \|y\|$. Then, setting $z = c^{-1}y$, then $\|z\| = 1$. Hence, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\|(A - \lambda B)^{-1}z\| &= \|(A - \lambda B)^{-1}c^{-1}y\| \\
&= \frac{\|(A - \lambda B)^{-1}y\|}{|c|} \\
&= \frac{\|(A - \lambda B)^{-1}y\|}{\|y\|}.
\end{aligned}$$

From (1),

$$\|(A - \lambda B)^{-1}z\| > \frac{1}{\varepsilon}. \quad (2)$$

By the same reasoning above, we infer that there exists $c_0 \in \mathbb{Q}_p \setminus \{0\}$ such that $|c_0| = \|(A - \lambda B)^{-1}z\|$. Then, setting $z_0 = c_0^{-1}(A - \lambda B)^{-1}z$ which yields $z_0 \in \mathbb{Q}_p$ and $\|z_0\| = 1$. Consequently, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\|(A - \lambda B)z_0\| &= \|(A - \lambda B)(A - \lambda B)^{-1}c_0^{-1}z\| \\
&= \frac{\|z\|}{|c_0|}.
\end{aligned}$$

Using the fact that $\|z\| = 1$, we deduce from (2) that

$$\begin{aligned}
\|(A - \lambda B)z_0\| &= \|(A - \lambda B)^{-1}z\|^{-1}, \\
&< \varepsilon.
\end{aligned}$$

By Theorem 1, there exists $\phi \in X'$ such that $\phi(z_0) = 1$ and $\|\phi\| = \|z_0\|^{-1} = 1$. We consider the following linear operator given by

$$\text{for all } y \in X, \quad Cy = -\phi(y)(A - \lambda B)z_0.$$

Clearly, C is a bounded linear operator on X , since for all $y \in X$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\|Cy\| &= \|\phi(y)\|(A - \lambda B)z_0\|, \\
&< \varepsilon\|y\|.
\end{aligned}$$

Then, $\|C\| < \varepsilon$. Moreover, we have $(A - \lambda B + C)z_0 = 0$. So, $(A - \lambda B + C)$ is not invertible. Consequently,

$$\lambda \in \bigcup_{C \in \mathcal{L}(X): \|C\| < \varepsilon} \sigma(A + C, B).$$

□

We finish with some examples of the pseudo-spectrum of matrix pencils.

Example 7. Let $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{Q}_p$ and $\varepsilon > 0$. If

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 & 1 \\ 0 & \lambda_2 \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } B = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

where $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in \mathbb{Q}_p \setminus \{0\}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_\varepsilon(A, B) &= \sigma(A, B) \cup \{\lambda \in \mathbb{Q}_p : \|(A - \lambda B)^{-1}\| > \frac{1}{\varepsilon}\} \\ &= \{\lambda_1, \lambda_2\} \cup \{\lambda \in \mathbb{Q}_p : \|(A - \lambda B)^{-1}\| > \frac{1}{\varepsilon}\}, \end{aligned}$$

where $\|(A - \lambda B)^{-1}\| = \max\{\frac{1}{|\lambda_1 - \lambda|_p}, \frac{|\lambda + 1|_p}{|(\lambda_1 - \lambda)(\lambda_2 - \lambda)|_p}, \frac{1}{|\lambda_2 - \lambda|_p}\}$.

Example 8. Let $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{Q}_p$ and $\varepsilon > 0$. If

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_2 \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } B = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

where $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in \mathbb{Q}_p$. Then it is easy to see that

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_\varepsilon(A, B) &= \sigma(A, B) \cup \{\lambda \in \mathbb{Q}_p : \|(A - \lambda B)^{-1}\| > \frac{1}{\varepsilon}\} \\ &= \{\lambda_1, \lambda_2\} \cup \{\lambda \in \mathbb{Q}_p : \max\{\frac{1}{|\lambda_1 - \lambda|_p}, \frac{1}{|\lambda_2 - \lambda|_p}\} > \frac{1}{\varepsilon}\}. \end{aligned}$$

Example 9. Let $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{Q}_p$ and $\varepsilon > 0$. If

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } B = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

where $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in \mathbb{Q}_p$. Then it is easy to check that

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_\varepsilon(A, B) &= \sigma(A, B) \cup \{\lambda \in \mathbb{Q}_p : \|(A - \lambda B)^{-1}\| > \frac{1}{\varepsilon}\} \\ &= \{0, 1\} \cup \{\lambda \in \mathbb{Q}_p : \max\{\frac{1}{|\lambda|_p}, \frac{1}{|\lambda(1 - \lambda)|_p}\} > \frac{1}{\varepsilon}\}. \end{aligned}$$

Example 10. Let $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{Q}_p$ and $\varepsilon > 0$. If

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 3 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } B = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 2 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then one can see that

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_\varepsilon(A, B) &= \sigma(A, B) \\ &= \mathbb{Q}_p. \end{aligned}$$

Acknowledgement. The author would like to thank the editor and the anonymous referee for their valuable efforts, comments and suggestions which improved the quality of this paper.

References

- [1] Ammar, A., Jeribi, A. and Mahfoudhi, K., *A new spectral approach in the matrix algebra: C-Determinant Pseudospectrum*, Russian Math. **65** (2021), no. 7, 1-7.
- [2] Blali, A., El Amrani, A. and Ettayb, J., *Some spectral sets of linear operator pencils on non-Archimedean Banach spaces*, Bull. Transilv. Univ. Braşov, Ser. III, Math. Comput. Sci. **2(64)** (2022), no. 1, 41-56.
- [3] Dorselaer, J.L.M. van, *Pseudospectra for matrix pencils and stability of equilibria*, BIT (1997), 833-845.
- [4] El Amrani, A., Ettayb, J. and Blali, A., *On pencil of bounded linear operators on non-Archimedean Banach spaces*, Bol. Soc. Paran. Mat. **42** (2024), 1-10.
- [5] El Amrani, A., Ettayb, J. and Blali, A., *Pseudospectrum and condition pseudospectrum of non-archimedean matrices*, Journal of Prime Research in Mathematics, **18** (2022), no. 1, 75-82.
- [6] Ettayb, J., *Pseudospectrum and essential pseudospectrum of bounded linear operator pencils on non-Archimedean Banach spaces*, submitted.
- [7] Horn, R.A. and Johnson, C.R., *Topics in matrix analysis*, Cambridge University Press, 1991.
- [8] Ingleton, A.W., *The Hahn-Banach theorem for non-Archimedean valued fields*, Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. **48** (1952), 41-45.
- [9] Krishna Kumar, G., *Determinant spectrum: a generalization of eigenvalues*, Funct. Anal. Approx. Comput. **10** (2018), no.2, 1-12.
- [10] Möller, M. and Pivovarchik, V., *Spectral theory of operator pencils, Hermite-Biehler functions, and their applications*, Birkhäuser, 2015.
- [11] Rooij, A.C.M. van, *Non-Archimedean functional analysis*. Monographs and Textbooks in Pure and Applied Math., **51** Marcel Dekker, Inc., New York, 1978.
- [12] Trefethen, L.N. and Embree, M., *Spectra and pseudospectra: The behavior of nonnormal matrices and operators*, Princeton University Press, Princeton, 2005.

