Bulletin of the *Transilvania* University of Braşov Series III: Mathematics and Computer Science, Vol. 3(65), No. 2 - 2023, 129-142 https://doi.org/10.31926/but.mif.2023.3.65.2.11

LOGARITHMIC COEFFICIENTS FOR A CLASS OF ANALYTIC FUNCTIONS DEFINED BY SUBORDINATION

Andreea NISTOR-ŞERBAN *,1 and Dorina RĂDUCANU 2

Dedicated to Professor Radu Păltănea on the occasion of his 70th anniversary

Abstract

In this paper we consider a class of functions $\mathcal{M}_{\alpha}(\varphi)$ defined by subordination, consisting of functions $f \in \mathcal{A}$ satisfying the condition

$$(1-\alpha)\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} + \alpha \left(1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)}\right) \prec \varphi(z), \ z \in U.$$

In the study of univalent functions, estimates on the Taylor coefficients are usually given. Another significant problem deals with the estimates of logarithmic coefficients. For the class S of univalent functions no sharp bounds for the modulus of the individual logarithmic coefficients are known if $n \geq 3$. For different subclasses of S the results are not better and in most cases only the first three initial coefficients of $\log \frac{f(z)}{z}$ are considered. For the class $\mathcal{M}_{\alpha}(\varphi)$ we obtain upper bounds for the logarithmic coefficients γ_n , $n \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ and also for Γ_n , $n \in \{1, 2, 3\}$, the logarithmic coefficients of the inverse of $\mathcal{M}_{\alpha}(\varphi)$. Connections with previous known results are pointed out.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 30C45.

Key words: Analytic functions; differential subordination; logarithmic coefficients..

1 Introduction

Let \mathcal{A} be the class of analytic functions f in $\mathbb{U} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}$ of the form:

$$f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n, \ z \in \mathbb{U}.$$
 (1)

^{1*} Corresponding author, Faculty of Mathematics and Informatics, Transilvania University of Braşov, Romania, e-mail: andreea.tudor@unitbv.ro

²Faculty of Mathematics and Informatics, *Transilvania* University of Braşov, Romania, e-mail: draducanu@unitbv.ro

The subclass of \mathcal{A} consisting of univalent functions is denoted by S. For a function $f \in S$ the logarithmic coefficients γ_n are defined by the series expansion

$$\log\left(\frac{f(z)}{z}\right) = 2\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \gamma_n(f) z^n, \quad z \in \mathbb{U}.$$
 (2)

If there is no confusion, we use γ_n instead of $\gamma_n(f)$.

It is well known that the logarithmic coefficients play an important role in Milin conjecture [5], that for $f \in S$,

$$\sum_{m=1}^{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \left(k |\gamma_k|^2 - \frac{1}{k} \right) \le 0.$$

It is interesting that for the class S the sharp estimates of logarithmic coefficients are known only for the first two γ_1 and γ_2 :

$$|\gamma_1| \le 1, \ |\gamma_2| \le \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{e}$$

and it is not known for $n \geq 3$.

The situation is not better for the subclasses of S where, in most cases, only the initial coefficients of log $\frac{f(z)}{z}$ are investigated. Recently, several authors have considered the problem of finding sharp upper

Recently, several authors have considered the problem of finding sharp upper bounds for the logarithmic coefficients of univalent functions, (see, for example [1], [8]).

Equality (2) can be rewritten in the following form

$$2\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \gamma_n(f) z^n = a_2 z + a_3 z^2 + a_4 z^3 + \dots - \frac{1}{2} (a_2 z + a_3 z^2 + a_4 z^3 + \dots)^2 + \frac{1}{3} (a_2 z + a_3 z^2 + a_4 z^3 + \dots)^3 + \dots$$
(3)

Equating the coefficients of z^n , for n = 1, 2, 3 in (3), we obtain

$$\begin{cases}
2\gamma_1 = a_2 \\
2\gamma_2 = a_3 - \frac{1}{2}a_2^2 \\
2\gamma_3 = a_4 - a_2a_3 + \frac{1}{3}a_2^3
\end{cases}$$
(4)

Let F be the inverse of a function $f \in S$ defined by

$$F(w) = f^{-1}(w) = w + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} A_n w^n,$$
(5)

with $|w| < \frac{1}{4}$, from Koebe's 1/4 - theorem.

In view of (1) and (5), we have

$$\begin{cases}
A_2 = -a_2 \\
A_3 = -a_3 + 2a_2^2 \\
A_4 = -a_4 + 5a_2a_3 - 5a_2^3.
\end{cases}$$
(6)

The logarithmic coefficients Γ_n $(n \in \mathbb{N})$ of F are defined by

$$\log\left(\frac{F(z)}{z}\right) = 2\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \Gamma_n(F) w^n, \quad |w| < \frac{1}{4}.$$
(7)

When there is no confusion we consider $\Gamma_n(F) = \Gamma_n$.

In [9] Ponnusamy et al. obtained sharp upper bound of $|\Gamma_n(F)|$ for $f \in S$ and $n \geq 1$. Furthermore, in a case of a convex function, they proved that $|\Gamma_n(F)| \leq 1/(2n)$ for n = 1, 2, 3.

Making use of (7), we have

$$2\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \Gamma_n(F) w^n = A_2 w + A_3 w^2 + A_4 w^3 + \dots - \frac{1}{2} (A_2 w + A_3 w^2 + A_4 w^3 + \dots)^2 + \frac{1}{3} (A_2 w + A_3 w^2 + A_4 w^3 + \dots)^3 + \dots .$$
(8)

Equating the coefficients of w^n , for n = 1, 2, 3, we obtain

$$\begin{cases}
2\Gamma_1 = A_2 \\
2\Gamma_2 = A_3 - \frac{1}{2}A_2^2 \\
2\Gamma_3 = A_4 - A_2A_3 + \frac{1}{3}A_2^3
\end{cases}$$
(9)

Denote by \mathcal{B} denote the class of analytic functions which satisfies the conditions: $\omega(0) = 0$ and $|\omega(z)| < 1$, $z \in \mathbb{U}$. Functions in \mathcal{B} are called *Schwarz* functions.

Let f and g be analytic in U. We say that the function f is subordinated to the function g, denoted $f \prec g$, if there exists a function $\omega \in \mathcal{B}$, such that

$$f(z) = g(\omega(z)), \quad z \in \mathbb{U}.$$

Using the concept of subordination, Ma and Minda [4] defined the following two classes of functions

$$\begin{split} & \mathbb{S}^*(\varphi) = \left\{ f \in \mathcal{A} : \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \prec \varphi(z), \ z \in \mathbb{U} \right\} \\ & \mathbb{C}(\varphi) = \left\{ f \in \mathcal{A} : 1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} \prec \varphi(z), \ z \in \mathbb{U} \right\}, \end{split}$$

where φ is an analytic function with positive real part in \mathbb{U} , with $\varphi(0) = 1, \varphi'(0) > 0$ and such that $\varphi(\mathbb{U})$ is a starlike region with respect to 1 and symmetric with

respect to the real axis. The classes $S^*(\varphi)$ and $C(\varphi)$ contain, as special cases, several well-known subclasses of starlike and convex functions.

Following Ma and Minda, we consider the following class of analytic functions defined by subordination.

Definition 1. A function $f \in \mathcal{A}$ is said to be in the class $\mathcal{M}_{\alpha}(\varphi)$, $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$, if it satisfies the subordination:

$$(1-\alpha)\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} + \alpha \left(1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)}\right) \prec \varphi(z).$$
(10)

Note that $\mathcal{M}_0(\varphi) = \mathcal{S}^*(\varphi)$ and $\mathcal{M}_1(\varphi) = \mathcal{C}(\varphi)$. For $\varphi(z) = \frac{1+z}{1-z}$ the class reduces to the class \mathcal{M}_{α} of α -convex functions

$$\mathcal{M}_{\alpha} = \left\{ f \in \mathcal{A} : \Re\left[(1-\alpha) \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} + \alpha \left(1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} \right) \right] > 0, \ z \in U \right\},$$

which was first introduced by P.T. Mocanu [6].

Like in the case of \mathcal{M}_{α} , the class $\mathcal{M}_{\alpha}(\varphi)$ provides a continuous passage from the class $S^*(\varphi)$ to the class $C(\varphi)$.

In this paper we obtain upper bounds for the logarithmic coefficients γ_n of the functions in $\mathcal{M}_{\alpha}(\varphi)$ and in the same time for Γ_n , the logarithmic coefficients of the inverse of $\mathcal{M}_{\alpha}(\varphi)$. Some connections with previous known results are pointed out.

In order to prove our results, the following two lemmas will be used.

Lemma 1. [7] Assume that ω is a Schwarz function so that $\omega(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n z^n$. T

$$\begin{cases} |c_1| \le 1, \\ |c_n| \le 1 - |c_1|^2, \ n = 2, 3, \cdots \end{cases}$$

Lemma 2. [10] If $\omega(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n z^n \in \mathbb{B}$ then, for any real numbers q_1 and q_2 , the following sharp estimate holds:

$$|c_3 + q_1c_1c_2 + q_2c_1^3| \le H(q_1; q_2);$$

where

$$H(q_{1};q_{2}) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } (q_{1},q_{2}) \in D_{1} \cup D_{2} \cup (2,1); \\ |q_{2}| & \text{if } (q_{1},q_{2}) \in \cup_{k=3}^{7} D_{k}; \\ \frac{2}{3}(|q_{1}|+1) \left(\frac{|q_{1}|+1}{3|q_{1}|+1+q_{2}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} & \text{if } (q_{1},q_{2}) \in D_{8} \cup D_{9}; \\ \frac{q_{2}}{3} \left(\frac{q_{1}^{2}-4}{q_{1}^{2}-4q_{2}}\right) \left(\frac{q_{1}^{2}-4}{3(q_{2}-1)}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} & \text{if } (q_{1},q_{2}) \in D_{10} \cup D_{11} \setminus (2,1); \\ \frac{2}{3}(|q_{1}|-1) \left(\frac{|q_{1}|-1}{3|q_{1}|-1-q_{2}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} & \text{if } (q_{1},q_{2}) \in D_{12}. \end{cases}$$

The sets $D_k, \ k = 1, 2, \cdots 12$ are defined as follows:

$$\begin{array}{lll} D_1 &= \left\{ (q_1,q_2): |q_1| \leq \frac{1}{2}, \; |q_2| \leq 1 \right\}; \\ D_2 &= \left\{ (q_1,q_2): \frac{1}{2} \leq |q_1| \leq 2, \; \frac{4}{27} (|q_1|+1)^3 - (|q_1|+1) \leq |q_2| \leq 1 \right\}; \\ D_3 &= \left\{ (q_1,q_2): |q_1| \leq \frac{1}{2}, \; q_2 \leq -1 \right\}; \\ D_4 &= \left\{ (q_1,q_2): |q_1| \geq \frac{1}{2}, \; |q_2| \leq -\frac{2}{3} (|q_1|+1) \right\}; \\ D_5 &= \left\{ (q_1,q_2): |q_1| \leq 2, \; |q_2| \geq 1 \right\}; \\ D_6 &= \left\{ (q_1,q_2): |q_1| \leq 4, \; |q_2| \geq \frac{1}{12} (q_1^2+8) \right\}; \\ D_7 &= \left\{ (q_1,q_2): |q_1| \geq 4, \; |q_2| \geq \frac{2}{3} (|q_1|-1) \right\}; \\ D_8 &= \left\{ (q_1,q_2): \frac{1}{2} \leq |q_1| \leq 2, \; -\frac{2}{3} (|q_1|+1) \leq |q_2| \leq \frac{4}{27} (|q_1|+1)^3 - (|q_1|+1) \right\}; \\ D_9 &= \left\{ (q_1,q_2): |q_1| \geq 2, \; -\frac{2}{3} (|q_1|+1) \leq |q_2| \leq \frac{2|q_1||q_1+1|}{q_1^2+2|q_1|+4} \right\}; \\ D_{10} &= \left\{ (q_1,q_2): |q_1| \geq 4, \; \frac{2|q_1||q_1+1|}{q_1^2+2|q_1|+4} \leq |q_2| \leq \frac{1}{12} (q_1^2+8) \right\}; \\ D_{11} &= \left\{ (q_1,q_2): |q_1| \geq 4, \; \frac{2|q_1||q_1+1|}{q_1^2+2|q_1|+4} \leq |q_2| \leq \frac{2|q_1||q_1-1|}{q_1^2-2|q_1|+4} \right\}; \\ D_{12} &= \left\{ (q_1,q_2): |q_1| \geq 4, \; \frac{2|q_1||q_1-1|}{q_1^2-2|q_1|+4} \leq |q_2| \leq \frac{2}{3} (|q_1|-1) \right\}. \end{array} \right.$$

Unless otherwise mentioned, we assume through this paper that φ is a univalent function in U, satisfying $\varphi(0) = 1$, with series expansion of the form

$$\varphi(z) = 1 + B_1 z + B_2 z^2 + B_3 z^3 \cdots, \quad B_1 \neq 0.$$
 (11)

2 Logarithmic coefficients for $\mathcal{M}_{\alpha}(\varphi)$

In this section we obtain upper bounds of the first three logarithmic coefficients for the class $\mathcal{M}_{\alpha}(\varphi)$.

Theorem 1. Let f be a function in the class $\mathcal{M}_{\alpha}(\varphi)$. Then, the logarithmic coefficients of f satisfy the inequalities:

$$\begin{split} |\gamma_1| &\leq \frac{|B_1|}{2(1+\alpha)}; \\ |\gamma_2| &\leq \begin{cases} \frac{|B_1|}{4(1+2\alpha)} & if \quad |(1+\alpha)^2 B_2 + \alpha B_1^2| \leq (1+\alpha)^2 |B_1|; \\ \frac{|(1+\alpha)^2 B_2 + \alpha B_1^2|}{4(1+2\alpha)(1+\alpha)^2} & if \quad |(1+\alpha)^2 B_2 + \alpha B_1^2| \geq (1+\alpha)^2 |B_1|; \end{cases} \end{split}$$

and if B_1, B_2 and B_3 are real, then

$$|\gamma_3| \le \frac{|B_1|}{6(1+3\alpha)} H(q_1, q_2);$$

where $H(q_1, q_2)$ is given by Lemma 2, with

$$\begin{cases} q_1 = \frac{3\alpha}{(1+\alpha)(1+2\alpha)}B_1 + \frac{2B_2}{B_1}; \\ q_2 = \frac{3\alpha}{(1+\alpha)(1+2\alpha)}B_2 + \frac{\alpha(\alpha-1)}{(1+\alpha)^3(1+2\alpha)}B_1^2 + \frac{B_3}{B_1}. \end{cases}$$

Proof. Let $f \in \mathcal{M}_{\alpha}(\varphi)$. Then there exist a function $\omega \in \mathbb{B}$ with $\omega(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n z^n$ such that

$$(1-\alpha)\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} + \alpha \left(1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)}\right) = \varphi(\omega(z))$$

$$= 1 + B_1c_1z + (B_1c_2 + B_2c_1^2)z^2 + (B_1c_3 + 2c_1c_2B_2 + B_3c_1^3)z^3 + \cdots$$
(12)

From the Taylor expansion of f, we have:

$$(1-\alpha)\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} + \alpha \left(1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)}\right) = 1 + (1+\alpha)a_2z + [2(1+2\alpha)a_3 - (1+3\alpha)a_2^2]z^2 + [3(1+3\alpha)a_4 - 3(1+5\alpha)a_2a_3 + 1+7\alpha)a_2^3]z^3 + \cdots$$
(13)

Equating the coefficients of z^n (n = 1, 2, 3) in (12) and (13), we obtain

$$\begin{cases} (1+\alpha)a_2 = B_1c_1\\ 2(1+2\alpha)a_3 - (1+3\alpha)a_2^2 = B_1c_2 + B_2c_1^2\\ 3(1+3\alpha)a_4 - 3(1+5\alpha)a_2a_3 + (1+7\alpha)a_2^3 = B_1c_3 + 2c_1c_2B_2 + B_3c_1^3 \end{cases}$$

$$(14)$$

Substituting a_2 from (4) in (14), we obtain $\gamma_1 = \frac{B_1 c_1}{2(1+\alpha)}$. Applying Lemma 1, we get $|\gamma_1| \leq \frac{|B_1|}{2(1+\alpha)}$.

Making use of the second equalities from both (4) and (14), after elementary computations, we obtain

$$\gamma_2 = \frac{(1+\alpha)^2 B_1 c_2 + \left[(1+\alpha)^2 B_2 + \alpha B_1^2 \right] c_1^2}{4(1+2\alpha)(1+\alpha)^2}.$$

An application of Lemma 1 gives

$$|\gamma_2| \le \frac{(1+\alpha)^2 |B_1| (1-|c_1|^2) + \left| (1+\alpha)^2 B_2 + \alpha B_1^2 \right| |c_1|^2}{4(1+2\alpha)(1+\alpha)^2}$$

which yields to the required upper bound of $|\gamma_2|$.

Now, combining the last equalities from (4) and (14), we have

$$\gamma_{3} = \frac{B_{1}}{6(1+3\alpha)} \left\{ c_{3} + \left[\frac{3\alpha}{(1+\alpha)(1+2\alpha)} B_{1} + \frac{2B_{2}}{B_{1}} \right] c_{1}c_{2} + \left[\frac{3\alpha}{(1+\alpha)(1+2\alpha)} B_{2} + \frac{\alpha(\alpha-1)}{(1+\alpha)^{3}(1+2\alpha)} B_{1}^{2} + \frac{B_{3}}{B_{1}} \right] c_{1}^{3} \right\}.$$

Let

$$q_1 = \frac{3\alpha}{(1+\alpha)(1+2\alpha)}B_1 + \frac{2B_2}{B_1};$$

$$q_2 = \frac{3\alpha}{(1+\alpha)(1+2\alpha)}B_2 + \frac{\alpha(\alpha-1)}{(1+\alpha)^3(1+2\alpha)}B_1^2 + \frac{B_3}{B_1}.$$

Making use of Lemma (2), from the above equality, we get

$$|\gamma_3| \le \frac{|B_1|}{6(1+3\alpha)} |c_3 + q_1c_1c_2 + q_2c_1^3| \le \frac{B_1}{6(1+3\alpha)} H(q_1;q_2).$$

Remark 1. For $\alpha = 1$ we find the result obtained by E.A. Adegani et al. [1] in Theorem 2.

In [3] Kanas et al. investigated certain subclasses of Ma-Minda type defined with the help of function

A. Nistor-Şerban and D. Răducanu

$$q_s(z) = \frac{1}{(1-z)^s} = e^{-s\log(1-z)} = 1 + sz + \frac{s(s+1)}{2}z^2 + \frac{s(s+1)(s+2)}{6}z^3 + \cdots$$

where the branch of the logarithm is determined by $q_s(0) = 1$. This function maps the unit disk onto a domain bounded by the right branch of the hyperbola

$$H(s) = \left\{ \rho \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\varphi} : \rho = \frac{1}{(2\cos(\varphi/s))^s}, |\varphi| < \frac{\pi s}{2} \right\}.$$

If we consider $\varphi(z) = q_s(z) \ (0 < s \le 1)$ in Theorem 1 we obtain the following result.

Theorem 2. If $f \in \mathcal{M}_{\alpha}(q_s)$, then

$$\begin{split} |\gamma_1| &\leq \frac{s}{2(1+\alpha)}; \\ |\gamma_2| &\leq \begin{cases} \frac{s}{4(1+2\alpha)} & if \quad s \in \left(0, \frac{(1+\alpha)^2}{\alpha^2 + 4\alpha + 1}\right]; \\ \frac{s^2(\alpha^2 + 4\alpha + 1) + s(1+\alpha)^2}{8(1+2\alpha)(1+\alpha)^2} & if \quad s \in \left[\frac{(1+\alpha)^2}{\alpha^2 + 4\alpha + 1}, 1\right]; \end{cases}$$

and

$$|\gamma_3| \leq \begin{cases} \frac{s}{6(1+3\alpha)} & if \quad s \in \left(0, \frac{-3(1+\alpha)^2(2\alpha^2+6\alpha+1)+\sqrt{\Delta}}{2(2\alpha^4+16\alpha^3+33\alpha^2+8\alpha+1)}\right];\\ \frac{s}{6(1+3\alpha)}q_2 & if \quad s \in \left[\frac{-3(1+\alpha)^2(2\alpha^2+6\alpha+1)+\sqrt{\Delta}}{2(2\alpha^4+16\alpha^3+33\alpha^2+8\alpha+1)}, 1\right]; \end{cases}$$

where

$$q_2 = s^2 \left[\frac{3\alpha}{2(1+\alpha)(1+2\alpha)} + \frac{\alpha(\alpha-1)}{(1+\alpha)^3(1+2\alpha)} + \frac{1}{6} \right] \\ + s \left[\frac{3\alpha}{2(1+\alpha)(1+2\alpha)} + \frac{1}{2} \right] + \frac{1}{3};$$

and

$$\Delta = (a+1)^3 (100a^5 + 796a^4 + 1888a^3 + 1252a^2 + 277a + 25).$$

Proof. The estimates for $|\gamma_1|$ and $|\gamma_2|$ are obtained directly from Theorem 1, taking $B_1 = s$ and $B_2 = \frac{s(s+1)}{2}$.

To obtain the estimate of $|\gamma_3|$ we will use only a part from Lemma 2, that is

$$H(q_1; q_2) = \begin{cases} 1 & if \quad (q_1, q_2) \in D_2; \\ |q_2| & if \quad (q_1, q_2) \in D_5 \cup D_6. \end{cases}$$
we take $P_1 = a_1 P_2 = \frac{s(s+1)}{s(s+1)}$ and $P_2 = \frac{s(s+1)(s+2)}{s(s+1)(s+2)}$

If in Theorem 1, we take $B_1 = s$, $B_2 = \frac{5(3+1)}{2}$ and $B_3 = \frac{5(3+1)(3+2)}{6}$ we obtain

$$|\gamma_3| \le \frac{s}{6(1+3\alpha)}H(q_1, q_2),$$

where

$$\begin{cases} q_1 &= \frac{3\alpha}{(1+\alpha)(1+2\alpha)}s + s + 1; \\ q_2 &= s^2 \left[\frac{3\alpha}{2(1+\alpha)(1+2\alpha)} + \frac{\alpha(\alpha-1)}{(1+\alpha)^3(1+2\alpha)} + \frac{1}{6} \right] + \\ &+ s \left[\frac{3\alpha}{2(1+\alpha)(1+2\alpha)} + \frac{1}{2} \right] + \frac{1}{3}. \end{cases}$$

First, we consider

$$D_2 = \left\{ (q_1, q_2) : \frac{1}{2} \le |q_1| \le 2, \ \frac{4}{27} (|q_1| + 1)^3 - (|q_1| + 1) \le |q_2| \le 1 \right\}$$
(15)

It is easy to prove that inequality $\frac{1}{2} \le |q_1| \le 2$ holds for $s \in \left(0, \frac{(1+\alpha)(1+2\alpha)}{2\alpha^2+6\alpha+1}\right]$. Now, the first part of the second inequality in (15) is equivalent to

$$\begin{split} & \frac{4}{27} \left[\frac{3\alpha}{(1+\alpha)(1+2\alpha)} s + s + 2 \right]^3 - \left[\frac{3\alpha}{(1+\alpha)(1+2\alpha)} s + s + 2 \right] - \\ & -s^2 \left[\frac{3\alpha}{2(1+\alpha)(1+2\alpha)} + \frac{\alpha(\alpha-1)}{(1+\alpha)^3(1+2\alpha)} + \frac{1}{6} \right] - s \left[\frac{3\alpha}{(1+\alpha)(1+2\alpha)} + \frac{1}{2} \right] - \frac{1}{3} \\ & \leq 0. \end{split}$$

For $\alpha = 1$, the above inequality implies

$$\frac{1}{2}s^3 + \frac{19}{12}s^2 + \frac{5}{12}s - \frac{31}{27} \le 0,$$

and this inequality holds for $s \in (0, 0.67243...]$.

The second part of the inequality in (15) is equivalent to

$$s^{2} \left[\frac{3\alpha}{2(1+\alpha)(1+2\alpha)} + \frac{\alpha(\alpha-1)}{(1+\alpha)^{3}(1+2\alpha)} + \frac{1}{6} \right] + s \left[\frac{3\alpha}{2(1+\alpha)(1+2\alpha)} + \frac{1}{2} \right] + \frac{1}{3} \le 1,$$

that is

A. Nistor-Şerban and D. Răducanu

$$\frac{1}{6(1+\alpha)^3(1+2\alpha)} [s^2(2\alpha^4 + 16\alpha^3 + 33\alpha^2 + 8\alpha + 1) + s(6\alpha^4 + 30\alpha^3 + 45\alpha^2 + 24\alpha + 3) - (8\alpha^4 + 28\alpha^3 + 36\alpha^2 + 20\alpha + 4)] \le 0,$$

and this inequality holds for

$$s \in \left(0, \frac{-3(1+\alpha)^2(2\alpha^2 + 6\alpha + 1) + \sqrt{\Delta}}{2(2\alpha^4 + 16\alpha^3 + 33\alpha^2 + 8\alpha + 1)}\right],\tag{16}$$

where $\Delta = (a+1)^3(100a^5 + 796a^4 + 1888a^3 + 1252a^2 + 277a + 25)$. For $\alpha = 1$, the above inequality holds for $s \in (0, 0.65241...]$.

From the above results, we conclude that $(q_1, q_2) \in D_2$ for s in (16).

Next, we consider

$$D_5 = \{ (q_1, q_2) : |q_1| \le 2, \ |q_2| \ge 1 \}$$
(17)

We observe that first inequality in (17), $|q_1| \leq 2$, holds for

$$s \in \left(0, \frac{(1+\alpha)(1+2\alpha)}{2\alpha^2 + 6\alpha + 1}\right] \tag{18}$$

The second inequality in (17) is equivalent to

$$s^{2}\left[\frac{3\alpha}{2(1+\alpha)(1+2\alpha)} + \frac{\alpha(\alpha-1)}{(1+\alpha)^{3}(1+2\alpha)} + \frac{1}{6}\right] + s\left[\frac{3\alpha}{2(1+\alpha)(1+2\alpha)} + \frac{1}{2}\right] + \frac{1}{3} \ge 1,$$

which holds for

$$s \in \left[\frac{-3(1+\alpha)^2(2\alpha^2+6\alpha+1)+\sqrt{\Delta}}{2(2\alpha^4+16\alpha^3+33\alpha^2+8\alpha+1)}, 1\right].$$
(19)

Therefore, from (18) and (19), $(q_1, q_2) \in D_5$ for

$$s \in \left(0, \frac{(1+\alpha)(1+2\alpha)}{2\alpha^2 + 6\alpha + 1}\right] \cap \left[\frac{-3(1+\alpha)^2(2\alpha^2 + 6\alpha + 1) + \sqrt{\Delta}}{2(2\alpha^4 + 16\alpha^3 + 33\alpha^2 + 8\alpha + 1)}, 1\right],$$

that is

$$s \in \left[\frac{-3(1+\alpha)^2(2\alpha^2+6\alpha+1)+\sqrt{\Delta}}{2(2\alpha^4+16\alpha^3+33\alpha^2+8\alpha+1)}, \frac{(1+\alpha)(1+2\alpha)}{2\alpha^2+6\alpha+1}\right].$$
 (20)

Finaly, we consider

$$D_6 = \left\{ (q_1, q_2) : 2 \le |q_1| \le 4, \ |q_2| \ge \frac{1}{12} (q_1^2 + 8) \right\}$$
(21)

After some simple computation we observe that first inequality, $2 \le q_1 \le 4$, holds for

$$s \in \left(\frac{(1+\alpha)(1+2\alpha)}{2\alpha^2 + 6\alpha + 1}, 1\right]$$
(22)

The second inequality in (21) is equivalent to

$$\begin{split} s^2 \left[\frac{3\alpha}{2(1+\alpha)(1+2\alpha)} + \frac{\alpha(\alpha-1)}{(1+\alpha)^3(1+2\alpha)} + \frac{1}{6} \right] + s \left[\frac{3\alpha}{2(1+\alpha)(1+2\alpha)} + \frac{1}{2} \right] - \\ - \frac{1}{12} \left[\frac{2\alpha^2 + 6\alpha + 1}{(1+\alpha)(1+2\alpha)} s + 1 \right]^2 - \frac{1}{3} \ge 0, \end{split}$$

which holds for

$$\left[\frac{(1+\alpha)(1+2\alpha)\left[2(1+\alpha)(2\alpha^2+6\alpha+1)+\sqrt{\Delta}\right]}{4\alpha^5+40\alpha^4+100\alpha^3+46\alpha^2+7\alpha+1},1\right]$$
(23)

where $\Delta = 3(1 + \alpha)(12\alpha^5 + 104\alpha^4 + 252\alpha^3 + 146\alpha^2 + 29\alpha + 3)$. For $\alpha = 1$, the above inequality holds for $s \in [0.64352, 1]$. Therefore, from (22) and (23), $(q_1, q_2) \in D_6$ for

$$s \in \left(\frac{(1+\alpha)(1+2\alpha)}{2\alpha^2 + 6\alpha + 1}, 1\right]$$
(24)

In view of the conditions from (20) and (24) it follows that $(q_1, q_2) \in D_5 \cup D_6$ for

$$s \in \left[\frac{-3(1+\alpha)^2(2\alpha^2+6\alpha+1)+\sqrt{\Delta}}{2(2\alpha^4+16\alpha^3+33\alpha^2+8\alpha+1)}, 1\right].$$

Remark 2. For $\alpha = 1$ we find the result obtained by A. Ebadian et al. [2] in Theorem 2.

3 Logarithmic coefficients of the inverse of $\mathcal{M}_{\alpha}(\varphi)$

Motivated by the results obtained in [9], in this section, we investigate the upper bounds of the logarithmic coefficients of an inverse function of $\mathcal{M}_{\alpha}(\varphi)$.

Theorem 3. Let $f \in \mathcal{M}_{\alpha}(\varphi)$ and let F, given by (5), be the inverse function of f. Then, the logarithmic coefficients Γ_n , n = 1, 2, 3, of F satisfy the inequalities:

$$|\Gamma_1| \le \frac{|B_1|}{2(1+\alpha)};$$

A. Nistor-Şerban and D. Răducanu

$$|\Gamma_{2}| \leq \begin{cases} \frac{|B_{1}|}{4(1+2\alpha)} & \text{if } |(1+\alpha)^{2}B_{2} - (2+3\alpha)B_{1}^{2}| \\ \leq (1+\alpha)^{2}|B_{1}|; \\ \frac{|(1+\alpha)^{2}B_{2} - (2+3\alpha)B_{1}^{2}|}{4(1+2\alpha)(1+\alpha)^{2}} & \text{if } |(1+\alpha)^{2}B_{2} - (2+3\alpha)B_{1}^{2}| \\ \geq (1+\alpha)^{2}|B_{1}|; \end{cases}$$

and if B_1, B_2 and B_3 are real, then

$$|\Gamma_3| \le \frac{|B_1|}{6(1+3\alpha)} H(q_1, q_2);$$

where $H_1(q_1, q_2)$ is given by Lemma 2, with

$$\begin{cases} q_1 &= \frac{2B_2}{B_1} - \frac{3(3+7\alpha)}{2(1+\alpha)(1+2\alpha)}B_1; \\ q_2 &= \frac{29\alpha^2 + 34\alpha + 9}{2(1+\alpha)^3(1+2\alpha)}B_1^2 - \frac{3(3+7\alpha)}{2(1+\alpha)(1+2\alpha)}B_2 + \frac{B_3}{B_1} \end{cases}$$

Proof. Let $f \in \mathcal{M}_{\alpha}(\varphi)$. Proceeding as in the proof of Theorem 1, we have the equalities (14).

Next, replacing A_2, A_3 and A_4 from (6) in (9), we obtain

$$\begin{cases} 2\Gamma_1 = -a_2 \\ 4\Gamma_2 = -2a_3 + 3a_2^2 \\ 6\Gamma_3 = -3a_4 + 12a_2a_3 - 10a_2^3 \end{cases}$$
(25)

Substituting a_2 from (25) in (14), we obtain $\Gamma_1 = \frac{B_1 c_1}{-2(1+\alpha)}$ and applying Lemma 1, we get $|\Gamma_1| \leq \frac{|B_1|}{2(1+\alpha)}$.

Making use of the second equalities from both (25) and (14), and after elementary computations, we have

$$\Gamma_2 = \frac{(1+\alpha)^2 B_1 c_2 + \left[(1+\alpha)^2 B_2 - (2+3\alpha) B_1^2 \right] c_1^2}{-4(1+2\alpha)(1+\alpha)^2}.$$

Taking into account Lemma 1, we obtain

$$|\Gamma_2| \le \frac{(1+\alpha)^2 |B_1| (1-|c_1|^2) + |(1+\alpha)^2 B_2 - (2+3\alpha) B_1^2| |c_1|^2}{4(1+2\alpha)(1+\alpha)^2},$$

from which, with $|c_1| \leq 1$, we obtain the required upper bound. In order to obtain the estimate of $|\Gamma_3|$, we use the last equalities from (25) and (14), and we get

140

$$\begin{split} \Gamma_{3} &= \frac{B_{1}}{-6(1+3\alpha)} \left\{ c_{3} + \left[\frac{2B_{2}}{B_{1}} - \frac{3(3+7\alpha)B_{1}}{2(1+\alpha)(1+2\alpha)} \right] c_{1}c_{2} + \\ &+ \left[\frac{29\alpha^{2} + 34\alpha + 9}{2(1+\alpha)^{3}(1+2\alpha)} B_{1}^{2} - \frac{3(3+7\alpha)}{2(1+\alpha)(1+2\alpha)} B_{2} + \frac{B_{3}}{B_{1}} \right] c_{1}^{3} \right\}. \end{split}$$
Consider
$$q_{1} &= \frac{2B_{2}}{B_{1}} - \frac{3(3+7\alpha)B_{1}}{2(1+\alpha)(1+2\alpha)} \quad \text{and} \quad q_{2} = \frac{29\alpha^{2} + 34\alpha + 9}{2(1+\alpha)^{3}(1+2\alpha)} B_{1}^{2} - \\ \frac{3(3+7\alpha)}{2(1+\alpha)(1+2\alpha)} B_{2} + \frac{B_{3}}{B_{1}}. \quad \text{An application of Lemma (2) yields} \\ &|\Gamma_{3}| \leq \frac{|B_{1}|}{6(1+3\alpha)} |c_{3} + q_{1}c_{1}c_{2} + q_{2}c_{1}^{3}| \leq \frac{B_{1}}{6(1+3\alpha)} H(q_{1};q_{2}). \end{split}$$

For $\alpha = 1$ we obtain the following corollary:

Corollary 1. Let $f \in \mathcal{C}(\varphi)$ and let F, given by (5), be the inverse function of f. Then, the logarithmic coefficients Γ_n , n = 1, 2, 3, of F satisfy the inequalities:

$$\begin{aligned} |\Gamma_1| &\leq \frac{|B_1|}{4}; \\ |\Gamma_2| &\leq \begin{cases} \frac{|B_1|}{12} & if \quad |4B_2 - 5B_1^2| \leq 4|B_1|; \\ \\ \frac{|4B_2 - 5B_1^2|}{48} & if \quad |4B_2 - 5B_1^2| \geq 4|B_1|; \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

and if B_1, B_2 and B_3 are real, then

$$|\Gamma_3| \le \frac{|B_1|}{24} H(q_1, q_2)$$

where $H_1(q_1, q_2)$ is given by Lemma 2, with

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{rrrr} q_1 & = & \frac{2B_2}{B_1} - \frac{5}{2}B_1; \\ \\ q_2 & = & \frac{3}{2}B_1^2 - \frac{5}{2}B_2 + \frac{B_3}{B_1}. \end{array} \right.$$

Remark 3. For $\varphi(z) = \frac{1 + (1 - 2\alpha)z}{1 - z}$ in the above corollary, we find the result obtained by S. Ponnusamy et al. [9] in Theorems 10,11 and 13.

Conclusions.

In this paper we consider a class $\mathcal{M}_{\alpha}(\varphi)$ of analytic functions defined by subordination. For the class $\mathcal{M}_{\alpha}(\varphi)$ we investigated the upper bounds for the logarithmic coefficients γ_n , $n \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ and also for Γ_n , $n \in \{1, 2, 3\}$, the logarithmic coefficients for the inverse of $\mathcal{M}_{\alpha}(\varphi)$. The results obtained in this paper could be a subject of further investigation related to logarithmic coefficients γ_n and Γ_n for $n \geq 4$.

References

- Adegani, E. A., Cho, N. E. and Jafari, M., Logarithmic coefficients for univalent functions defined by subordination, Mathematics 7 (2019), no. 5, Article number 408.
- [2] Ebadian, A., Bulboacă, T. and Adegani, E. A., Coefficients bounds and differential subordinations for analytic functions associated with starlike functions, Rev. R. Acad. Cienc. Exactas F´ıs. Nat. Ser. A Math. RACSAM Ăâbf 114Î (2020), Article number 128.
- [3] Kanas, S., Masih, V. S. and Ebadian, A., Relations of a planar domain bounded by hyperbolas with families of holomorphic functions, J. Inequal. Appl. 246 (2019), 1-14.
- [4] Ma, W. C. and Minda, D., A unified treatment of some special classes of univalent functions, In: Proceedings of Conference on Complex Analysis (Tianjin, 1992), Internat. Press, Cambridge, MA, USA, 157-169, 1992.
- [5] Milin, M. I., Univalent functions and orthnormal systems, (in Russian), Nauka, Moscow 1971, English translation: Amer. Math. Soc., Providence 1977.
- [6] Mocanu, P. T., Une propriété de convexité généralisée dans la théorie de la représentation conforme, Mathematica, Cluj Napoca, 11(34) (1969), 127-133.
- [7] Nehari, Z., Conformal mapping, McGraw-Hill, New York, NY, USA, 1952.
- [8] Ponnusamy, S., Sharma, N. L. and Wirths, K. J., Logarithmic coefficients problems in families related to starlike and convex functions, J. Aust. Math. Soc. (2018), 1-20.
- [9] Ponnusamy, S., Sharma, N. L. and Wirths, K. J., Logarithmic coefficients of the inverse of univalent functions, Results. Math. 73 (2018), Article number 160.
- [10] Prokhorov, D.V. and Szynal, J., *Inverse coefficients for* $(\alpha, beta)$ -convex functions, Ann. Univ. Mariae Curie-Sklodowska Sect. A **35** (1984), 125:143.