

## $(p, q)$ -POST-WIDDER OPERATORS OF SEMI EXPONENTIAL TYPE

Gunjan AGRAWAL<sup>\*,1</sup> and Vijay GUPTA<sup>2</sup>

*Dedicated to Professor Radu Păltănea on the occasion of his 70th anniversary*

### Abstract

We deal here with the  $(p, q)$ -variant of the Post-Widder operators of semi-exponential type. By using the basic properties of post-quantum properties, we calculate the moments of these operators and obtain some direct findings for such  $(p, q)$ -semi-exponential Post-Widder operators.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: 41A25, 41A30 .

*Key words*:  $(p, q)$ -Semi-exponential type Post-Widder operators, moments, direct results, Lipschitz condition

## 1 $(p, q)$ -Variant of Post-Widder operators

Professor Radu Păltănea is a renowned researcher in the area of approximation theory concerning linear positive operators and has produced excellent work on a variety of operators. The papers [13], [14] and [15] contain examples of his work. In [13], the author has dealt with the approximation properties of a modified family of Szász-Mirakjan operators. In the study [14], the author has generated a generic weighted modulus from a class of “admissible” functions and obtained an estimate relevant to general positive linear operators. Also discussed in [15] are the shape-preserving property and the simultaneous approximation by a sequences of Durrmeyer type modifications of Szász-Mirakjan operators with a parameter.

---

<sup>1\*</sup> *Corresponding author*, Department of Mathematics, Netaji Subhas University of Technology, Sector 3 Dwarka, New Delhi 110078, India, e-mail: [gunjan.guptaa88@gmail.com](mailto:gunjan.guptaa88@gmail.com)

<sup>2</sup>Department of Mathematics, Netaji Subhas University of Technology, Sector 3 Dwarka, New Delhi 110078, India, e-mail: [vijaygupta2001@hotmail.com](mailto:vijaygupta2001@hotmail.com)

Tyliba and Wachnicki [16] first generalized the exponential-type operators given in [11], and they captured the semi-exponential Szász-Mirakyan and Gauss-Weierstrass operators. Very recently, Monika Herzog [10] captured one more semi-exponential type operators namely Post-Widder operators, which for  $x \in \mathbf{N} \cup \{0\}$  and  $\varsigma \in \mathbf{N}$  is defined as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} (P_{\varsigma}^{\beta} f)(x) &= \frac{\varsigma^{\varsigma}}{x^{\varsigma} e^{\beta x}} \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\varsigma \beta)^l}{l! \Gamma(l + \varsigma)} \int_0^{\infty} e^{-\varsigma \tau / x} \tau^{l + \varsigma - 1} f(\tau) d\tau \\ &=: \int_0^{\infty} k_{\varsigma}^{\beta}(x, \tau) f(\tau) d\tau. \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

The below mentioned partial differential equation is satisfied by the kernel:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x} k_{\varsigma}^{\beta}(x, \tau) = \left[ \frac{-\varsigma(x - \tau)}{x^2} - \beta \right] k_{\varsigma}^{\beta}(x, \tau). \quad (2)$$

This prerequisite must be met for an operator to be of the semi-exponential type. Further, for  $\beta = 0$ , the PDE (2) for kernel reduces to the condition of exponential type operators and (1) becomes the Post-Widder operators [11, (3.9)] defined by

$$(P_{\varsigma} f)(x) = \frac{\varsigma^{\varsigma}}{x^{\varsigma} \Gamma(\varsigma)} \int_0^{\infty} e^{-\varsigma \tau / x} \tau^{\varsigma - 1} f(\tau) d\tau. \quad (3)$$

Its not obvious to introduce the semi-exponential type operators from existing exponential-type operators. Recently Abel et al [1] captured and provided all possible semi-exponential type operators.

In order to investigate the extension of quantum calculus, Acar [2] introduced  $(p, q)$ -Szász-Mirakyan operators. Recently,  $(p, q)$ -Szász-Durrmeyer operators were introduced by Aral and Gupta [3], who also established some direct results. In [8] and [12], authors also looked into Durrmeyer-style alterations to the Bernstein operators. The  $(p, q)$ -Szász-Baskakov operators were recently introduced by Gupta [7], who also produced some direct findings. [6] defined the Kantorovich variation of the  $(p, q)$ -Baskakov operators. Certain basic properties of  $(p, q)$  calculus is discussed in [9]. The following list includes some fundamental  $(p, q)$ -calculus notations, where  $0 < q < p \leq 1$ :

The  $(p, q)$ -numbers are expressed as

$$[\varsigma]_{p,q} = \frac{p^{\varsigma} - q^{\varsigma}}{p - q}.$$

It is obvious to observe that  $[\varsigma]_{p,q} = p^{\varsigma - 1} [\varsigma]_{q/p}$ . The definition of  $(p, q)$ -factorial is

$$[\varsigma]_{p,q}! = \prod_{l=1}^{\varsigma} [l]_{p,q}, \varsigma \geq 1, \quad [0]_{p,q}! = 1.$$

$$\left[ \begin{matrix} \varsigma \\ l \end{matrix} \right]_{p,q} = \frac{[\varsigma]_{p,q}!}{[\varsigma-l]_{p,q}! [l]_{p,q}!}, \quad 0 \leq l \leq \varsigma$$

represents the  $(p, q)$ -binomial coefficient. The  $(p, q)$ -variants of exponential functions, i.e.  $e_{p,q}(x)$  and  $E_{p,q}(x)$  are given as

$$e_{p,q}(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{p^{i(i-1)/2}}{[i]_{p,q}!} x^i \quad \text{and} \quad E_{p,q}(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{q^{j(j-1)/2}}{[j]_{p,q}!} x^j.$$

It is evident that functions

$$e_{p,q}(x) E_{p,q}(-x) = 1.$$

For any  $\varsigma \in \mathbf{N}$ , we suggest  $(p, q)$ -Gamma function as

$$\Gamma_{p,q}(\varsigma) = \int_0^{\infty} p^{(\varsigma-1)(\varsigma-2)/2} x^{\varsigma-1} E_{p,q}(-qx) d_{p,q}x. \quad (4)$$

It can be seen that  $\Gamma_{p,q}(\varsigma + 1) = [\varsigma]_{p,q}!$ ,  $\varsigma \in \mathbf{N}$ .

Let  $0 < q < p \leq 1$ , then  $(p, q)$ -Semi exponential Post-Widder operators can be defined as:

$$\begin{aligned} (P_{\varsigma}^{\beta,p,q} f)(x) &= \frac{[\varsigma]_{p,q}}{E_{p,q}(\beta x)} \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \frac{q^{l(l-1)/2} (\beta x)^l}{[l]_{p,q}! \Gamma_{p,q}(\varsigma + l)} \times \\ &\times \int_0^{\infty} p^{(\varsigma+l-1)(l+\varsigma-2)/2} E_{p,q}(-q[\varsigma]_{p,q}u) ([\varsigma]_{p,q}u)^{l+\varsigma-1} f(xuq^{1-\varsigma-l}p^{\varsigma+l}) d_{p,q}u \end{aligned}$$

For  $p = q = 1$ , we immediately get the semi-exponential Post-Widder operators (1).

## 2 Moment estimation

**Lemma 1.** For  $x \in [0, \infty)$ ,  $0 < q < p \leq 1$ , the following holds:

$$\begin{aligned} (P_{\varsigma}^{\beta,p,q} e_0)(x) &= 1 \\ (P_{\varsigma}^{\beta,p,q} e_1)(x) &= q^{1-\varsigma} px + \frac{q^{-\varsigma} \beta x^2 p^{\varsigma+1}}{[\varsigma]_{p,q}} \\ (P_{\varsigma}^{\beta,p,q} e_2)(x) &= q^{(3-2\varsigma)} px^2 + \frac{1}{[\varsigma]_{p,q}} \left( q^{2(1-\varsigma)} x^2 p^{\varsigma+1} + \beta x^3 p^{\varsigma+1} q^{-2\varsigma+1} (p+q) \right) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{[\varsigma]_{p,q}^2} \left( \beta x^3 p^{\varsigma+1} q^{-2\varsigma} (p+q) + \beta^2 x^4 q^{-2\varsigma-1} p^{2\varsigma+3} \right). \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* By  $(p, q)$ -Gamma function (4), we have

$$\begin{aligned} (P_{\zeta}^{\beta, p, q} e_0)(x) &= \frac{[\zeta]_{p, q}}{E_{p, q}(\beta x)} \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \frac{q^{l(l-1)/2} (\beta x)^l}{[l]_{p, q}! \Gamma_{p, q}(\zeta + l)} \\ &\quad \int_0^{\infty} p^{(l+\zeta-1)(l+\zeta-2)/2} E_{p, q}(-q[\zeta]_{p, q} u) ([\zeta]_{p, q} u)^{l+\zeta-1} d_{p, q} u \\ &= \frac{1}{E_{p, q}(\beta x)} E_{p, q}(\beta x) = 1 \end{aligned}$$

and by  $[\zeta + l]_{p, q} = q^l [\zeta]_{p, q} + p^{\zeta} [l]_{p, q}$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} (P_{\zeta}^{\beta, p, q} e_1)(x) &= \frac{[\zeta]_{p, q}}{E_{p, q}(\beta x)} \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \frac{q^{l(l-1)/2} (\beta x)^l}{[l]_{p, q}! \Gamma_{p, q}(\zeta + l)} \times \\ &\quad \times \int_0^{\infty} p^{(l+\zeta-1)(l+\zeta-2)/2} E_{p, q}(-q[\zeta]_{p, q} u) ([\zeta]_{p, q} u)^{l+\zeta-1} x u q^{1-\zeta-l} p^{\zeta+l} d_{p, q} u \\ &= \frac{x[\zeta]_{p, q}}{[\zeta]_{p, q} E_{p, q}(\beta x)} \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} q^{1-\zeta-l} p \frac{q^{l(l-1)/2} (\beta x)^l}{[l]_{p, q}! \Gamma_{p, q}(\zeta + l)} \\ &\quad \int_0^{\infty} p^{(\zeta+l)(l+\zeta-1)/2} E_{p, q}(-q[\zeta]_{p, q} u) ([\zeta]_{p, q} u)^{\zeta+l} d_{p, q} u \\ &= \frac{x}{[\zeta]_{p, q} E_{p, q}(\beta x)} \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} q^{1-\zeta-l} p \frac{q^{l(l-1)/2} (\beta x)^l}{[l]_{p, q}! \Gamma_{p, q}(\zeta + l)} \Gamma_{p, q}(l + \zeta + 1) \\ &= \frac{x}{[\zeta]_{p, q} E_{p, q}(\beta x)} \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} q^{1-\zeta-l} p \frac{q^{l(l-1)/2} (\beta x)^l}{[l]_{p, q}!} [\zeta + l]_{p, q} \\ &= \frac{x}{[\zeta]_{p, q} E_{p, q}(\beta x)} \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} q^{1-\zeta-l} p \frac{q^{l(l-1)/2} (\beta x)^l}{[l]_{p, q}!} (q^l [\zeta]_{p, q} + p^{\zeta} [l]_{p, q}) \\ &= q^{1-\zeta} p x + \frac{\beta x^2 p^{\zeta+1}}{[\zeta]_{p, q} E_{p, q}(\beta x)} \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} q^{-\zeta-l} \frac{q^{l(l+1)/2} (\beta x)^l}{[l]_{p, q}!} \\ &= q^{1-\zeta} p x + \frac{q^{-\zeta} \beta x^2 p^{\zeta+1}}{[\zeta]_{p, q}} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} (P_{\zeta}^{\beta, p, q} e_2)(x) &= \frac{[\zeta]_{p, q}}{E_{p, q}(\beta x)} \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \frac{q^{l(l-1)/2} (\beta x)^l}{[l]_{p, q}! \Gamma_{p, q}(\zeta + l)} \times \\ &\quad \times \int_0^{\infty} p^{(\zeta+l-1)(l+\zeta-2)/2} E_{p, q}(-q[\zeta]_{p, q} u) ([\zeta]_{p, q} u)^{l+\zeta-1} x^2 u^2 q^{2(1-\zeta-l)} p^{2(\zeta+l)} d_{p, q} u \\ &= \frac{x^2 [\zeta]_{p, q}}{[\zeta]_{p, q}^2 E_{p, q}(\beta x)} \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} q^{2(1-\zeta-l)} p \frac{q^{l(l-1)/2} (\beta x)^l}{[l]_{p, q}! \Gamma_{p, q}(\zeta + l)} \\ &\quad \int_0^{\infty} p^{(l+\zeta+1)(\zeta+l)/2} E_{p, q}(-q[\zeta]_{p, q} u) ([\zeta]_{p, q} u)^{l+\zeta+1} d_{p, q} u \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \frac{x^2}{[\zeta]_{p,q}^2 E_{p,q}(\beta x)} \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} q^{2(1-\zeta-l)} p \frac{q^{l(l-1)/2} (\beta x)^l}{[l]_{p,q}! \Gamma_{p,q}(\zeta+l)} \Gamma_{p,q}(l+\zeta+2) \\
&= \frac{x^2}{[\zeta]_{p,q}^2 E_{p,q}(\beta x)} \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} q^{2(1-\zeta-l)} p \frac{q^{l(l-1)/2} (\beta x)^l}{[l]_{p,q}!} [l+\zeta+1]_{p,q} [\zeta+l]_{p,q} \\
&= \frac{x^2}{[\zeta]_{p,q}^2 E_{p,q}(\beta x)} \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} q^{2(1-\zeta-l)} p \frac{q^{l(l-1)/2} (\beta x)^l}{[l]_{p,q}!} \\
&\quad \left[ q^{2l} [\zeta+1]_{p,q} [\zeta]_{p,q} + p^\zeta q^l [l]_{p,q} \left( p [\zeta]_{p,q} + [\zeta+1]_{p,q} + \frac{p^{\zeta+1}}{q} \right) \right. \\
&\quad \left. + p^{2\zeta+2} [l]_{p,q} [l-1]_{p,q} \right] \\
&= \frac{[\zeta+1]_{p,q} [\zeta]_{p,q} x^2}{[\zeta]_{p,q}^2} q^{2(1-\zeta)} p + \frac{\beta x^3 p^{\zeta+1} q^{-2\zeta}}{[\zeta]_{p,q}^2} (pq [\zeta]_{p,q} + q [\zeta+1]_{p,q} + p^{\zeta+1}) \\
&\quad + \frac{\beta^2 x^4 q^{-2\zeta-1} p^{2\zeta+3}}{[\zeta]_{p,q}^2} \\
&= q^{(3-2\zeta)} p x^2 + \frac{1}{[\zeta]_{p,q}} \left( q^{2(1-\zeta)} x^2 p^{\zeta+1} + \beta x^3 p^{\zeta+1} q^{-2\zeta+1} (p+q) \right) \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{[\zeta]_{p,q}^2} \left( \beta x^3 p^{\zeta+1} q^{-2\zeta} (p+q) + \beta^2 x^4 q^{-2\zeta-1} p^{2\zeta+3} \right).
\end{aligned}$$

□

**Lemma 2.** *If the  $m$ -th order central moment is denoted by  $\mu_{\zeta,m}^{\beta,p,q}(x) = (P_{\zeta}^{\beta,p,q}(e_1 - xe_0)^m)(x)$ , then*

$$\begin{aligned}
\mu_{\zeta,0}^{\beta,p,q}(x) &= 1 \\
\mu_{\zeta,1}^{\beta,p,q}(x) &= (q^{1-\zeta} p - 1)x + \frac{q^{-\zeta} \beta x^2 p^{\zeta+1}}{[\zeta]_{p,q}} \\
\mu_{\zeta,2}^{\beta,p,q}(x) &= x^2 (q^{3-2\zeta} p - 2q^{1-\zeta} + 3) + \frac{x^2 p^{\zeta+1} q^{-\zeta}}{[\zeta]_{p,q}} \left( q^{2-\zeta} + \beta x q^{-\zeta+1} (p+q) - 2x\beta \right) \\
&\quad + \frac{\beta x^3 p^{2\zeta+1} q^{-2\zeta}}{[\zeta]_{p,q}^2} \left( q + p + \beta x q^{-1} p^2 \right).
\end{aligned}$$

### 3 Direct estimates

Let's use the symbol  $H_{x^4} [0, \infty)$  to represent the collection of all functions  $f$  defined on the positive real axis that meet the statement  $|f(x)| \leq K_{g\eta} (1+x^4)$ , where  $K_{g\eta}$  is an absolute constant dependent on  $f$ . The subspace of continuous functions that are a part of  $H_{x^4} [0, \infty)$  is referred to as  $C_{x^4} [0, \infty)$ . Additionally, let  $C_{x^4}^* [0, \infty)$  be the subspace of all functions  $f \in C_{x^4} [0, \infty)$ , for which  $\lim_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(x)}{1+x^4}$

has finite value. The norm of the class  $C_{x^4}^*[0, \infty)$  is

$$\|f\|_{x^4} = \sup_{x \in [0, \infty)} \frac{|f(x)|}{1+x^4}.$$

The weighted approximation theorem, which holds that the the approximation formula is accurate along the positive real axis, is covered below (refer [5]).

**Theorem 1.** *Assume  $p = p_\varsigma$  and  $q = q_\varsigma$  satisfy the conditions  $0 < q_\varsigma < p_\varsigma \leq 1$  and for sufficiently large  $\varsigma$ ,  $q_\varsigma^\zeta \rightarrow a$ ,  $p_\varsigma^\zeta \rightarrow b$  and  $p_\varsigma \rightarrow 1$ ,  $q_\varsigma \rightarrow 1$ . For each  $f \in C_{x^4}^*[0, \infty)$ , we have*

$$\lim_{\varsigma \rightarrow \infty} \left\| (P_\varsigma^{\beta, p_\varsigma, q_\varsigma} f) - f \right\|_{x^4} = 0.$$

*Proof.* It is enough to confirm the following three requirements using Korovkin's theorem:

$$\lim_{\varsigma \rightarrow \infty} \left\| (P_\varsigma^{\beta, p_\varsigma, q_\varsigma} e_\nu) - e_\nu \right\|_{x^4} = 0, \nu = 0, 1, 2. \quad (5)$$

For  $\nu = 0$ , the first condition of the above equality is satisfied since  $(P_\varsigma^{\beta, p, q} e_0)(x) = 1$ .

Now, for  $\varsigma \in \mathbf{N}$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| (P_\varsigma^{\beta, p_\varsigma, q_\varsigma} e_1) - e_1 \right\|_{x^4} &\leq (q_\varsigma^{1-\varsigma} p_\varsigma - 1) \sup_{x \in [0, \infty)} \frac{x}{1+x^4} \\ &\quad + \frac{q_\varsigma^{-\varsigma} \beta p_\varsigma^{\varsigma+1}}{[\varsigma]_{p_\varsigma, q_\varsigma}^2} \sup_{x \in [0, \infty)} \frac{x^2}{1+x^4} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| (P_\varsigma^{\beta, p_\varsigma, q_\varsigma} e_2) - e_2 \right\|_{x^4} &\leq \left( \frac{[\varsigma+1]_{p_\varsigma, q_\varsigma} [\varsigma]_{p_\varsigma, q_\varsigma}}{[\varsigma]_{p_\varsigma, q_\varsigma}^2} q_\varsigma^{2(1-\varsigma)} p_\varsigma - 1 \right) \sup_{x \in [0, \infty)} \frac{x^2}{1+x^4} \\ &\quad + \frac{\beta p_\varsigma^{\varsigma+1} q_\varsigma^{-2\varsigma}}{[\varsigma]_{p_\varsigma, q_\varsigma}^2} (q_\varsigma [\varsigma+1]_{p_\varsigma, q_\varsigma} + p_\varsigma q_\varsigma [\varsigma]_{p_\varsigma, q_\varsigma} + p_\varsigma^{\varsigma+1}) \sup_{x \in [0, \infty)} \frac{x^3}{1+x^4} \\ &\quad + \frac{\beta^2 q_\varsigma^{-2\varsigma-1} p_\varsigma^{2\varsigma+3}}{[\varsigma]_{p_\varsigma, q_\varsigma}^2} \sup_{x \in [0, \infty)} \frac{x^4}{1+x^4}, \end{aligned}$$

which implies that for  $\nu = 1, 2$

$$\lim_{\varsigma \rightarrow \infty} \left\| (P_\varsigma^{\beta, p_\varsigma, q_\varsigma} e_\nu) - e_\nu \right\|_{x^4} = 0.$$

Thus the proof is completed.  $\square$

Let a function  $g \in C[0, \infty)$ , then  $g$  is considered to meet Lipschitz condition  $Lip_\eta$  in  $I$ ,  $\eta \in (0, 1]$ ,  $I \subset [0, \infty)$  provided

$$|g(t) - g(x)| \leq K_{g\eta} |t - x|^\eta, \quad x \in I, \quad t \in [0, \infty),$$

where  $K_{g\eta}$  is a constant that depends on  $\eta$  and  $g$ .

**Theorem 2.** Let  $g \in Lip_\eta$  on  $I \subset [0, \infty)$  and  $\eta \in (0, 1]$ , then

$$\left| (P_\zeta^{\beta,p,q} g)(x) - g(x) \right| \leq K_{g\eta} \left( \left( \mu_{\zeta,2}^{\beta,p,q}(x) \right)^{\frac{\eta}{2}} + 2(d(x,I))^\eta \right)$$

where the distance between  $x$  and  $I$  is shown by the expression  $d(x, I)$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\bar{I}$  represent the set  $I$ 's closure. Then, for  $x_0 \in \bar{I}$ , where  $x_0$  is the closest point of  $\bar{I}$  from  $x$  and  $x \in [0, \infty)$ , we have

$$|g(t) - g(x)| \leq |g(x_0) - g(x)| + |g(t) - g(x_0)|, \quad t \in [0, \infty).$$

By definition of Lipschitz class, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \left| (P_\zeta^{\beta,p,q} g)(x) - g(x) \right| &\leq |g(x_0) - g(x)| + (P_\zeta^{\beta,p,q} |g(t) - g(x_0)|)(x) \\ &\leq K_{g\eta} |x_0 - x|^\eta + K_{g\eta} (P_\zeta^{\beta,p,q} |t - x_0|^\eta)(x). \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

As  $(P_\zeta^{\beta,p,q})$  is monotone

$$(P_\zeta^{\beta,p,q} |t - x_0|^\eta)(x) \leq |x_0 - x|^\eta + \left( (P_\zeta^{\beta,p,q} |t - x|^2)(x) \right)^{\frac{\eta}{2}}.$$

Then, using Hölder's inequality with  $p := \frac{2}{\eta}$  and  $\frac{1}{r} := 1 - \frac{1}{p}$ , we are led to

$$(P_\zeta^{\beta,p,q} |t - x|^\eta)(x) \leq \left( (P_\zeta^{\beta,p,q} e_0)(x) \right)^{1 - \frac{\eta}{2}} \left( (P_\zeta^{\beta,p,q} |t - x|^2)(x) \right)^{\frac{\eta}{2}}. \quad (7)$$

Using (6), (7) and Lemma 2, we immediately get the desired result.  $\square$

**Theorem 3.** Let  $f \in C_B[0, \infty)$ , the class of continuous and bounded functions defined on  $R^+ \cup \{0\}$  and  $0 < q < p \leq 1$ . Then for all natural numbers  $\zeta$  and  $x \in R^+ \cup \{0\}$ , the absolute constant  $C > 0$  exists in such a way that

$$\left| (P_\zeta^{\beta,p,q} f)(x) - f(x) \right| \leq \omega \left( f, \mu_{\zeta,1}^{\beta,p,q}(x) \right) + C\omega_2 \left( f, \sqrt{\mu_{\zeta,2}^{\beta,p,q}(x) + \left( \mu_{\zeta,1}^{\beta,p,q}(x) \right)^2} \right).$$

*Proof.* Let  $h \in W_\infty^2 = \{h \in C_B[0, \infty) : h', h'' \in C_B[0, \infty)\}$  Using Taylor's formula, we get

$$h(t) = h(x) + h'(x)(t - x) + \int_x^t (t - u)h''(u)du, \quad (8)$$

where  $x, t \in [0, \infty)$ . Consider the following operator:

$$\left(\check{P}_\zeta^{\beta,p,q} f\right)(x) = \left(P_\zeta^{\beta,p,q} f\right)(x) - f\left(q^{1-\zeta} px + \frac{q^{-\zeta} \beta x^2 p^{\zeta+1}}{[\zeta]_{p,q}}\right) + f(x). \quad (9)$$

Applying the operators  $\check{P}_\zeta^{\beta,p,q}$  on (8), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\check{P}_\zeta^{\beta,p,q} h\right)(x) - h(x) &= h'(x) \left(\check{P}_\zeta^{\beta,p,q}(t-x)\right)(x) + \\ &+ \left(\check{P}_\zeta^{\beta,p,q} \left(\int_x^t (t-u) h''(u) du\right)\right)(x). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \left|\left(\check{P}_\zeta^{\beta,p,q} h\right)(x) - h(x)\right| &\leq \left(P_\zeta^{\beta,p,q} \left|\int_x^t |t-u| h''(u) du\right|\right)(x) + \\ &+ \left|\int_x^{q^{1-\zeta} px + \frac{q^{-\zeta} \beta x^2 p^{\zeta+1}}{[\zeta]_{p,q}}} \left(q^{1-\zeta} px + \frac{q^{-\zeta} \beta x^2 p^{\zeta+1}}{[\zeta]_{p,q}} - u\right) h''(u) du\right| \\ &\leq \left\{ \mu_{\beta,\zeta,2}^{p,q}(x) + \left((q^{1-\zeta} p - 1)x + \frac{q^{-\zeta} \beta x^2 p^{\zeta+1}}{[\zeta]_{p,q}}\right)^2 \right\} \|h''\|, \end{aligned}$$

where norm- $\|\cdot\|$  is the supremum norm. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \left|\left(P_\zeta^{\beta,p,q} f\right)(x) - f(x)\right| &\leq \left|\left(\check{P}_\zeta^{\beta,p,q}(f-h)\right)(x) - (f-h)(x)\right| + \\ &+ \left|\left(\check{P}_\zeta^{\beta,p,q} h\right)(x) - h(x)\right| + \left|f\left(q^{1-\zeta} px + \frac{q^{-\zeta} \beta x^2 p^{\zeta+1}}{[\zeta]_{p,q}}\right) - f(x)\right| \\ &\leq 2\|f-h\| + \left\{ \mu_{\beta,\zeta,2}^{p,q}(x) + \left((q^{1-\zeta} p - 1)x + \frac{q^{-\zeta} \beta x^2 p^{\zeta+1}}{[\zeta]_{p,q}}\right)^2 \right\} \|h''\| + \\ &+ \omega\left(f, \left|(q^{1-\zeta} p - 1)x + \frac{q^{-\zeta} \beta x^2 p^{\zeta+1}}{[\zeta]_{p,q}}\right|\right). \end{aligned}$$

Finally taking the infimum over all  $h \in W_\infty^2$  and using the Peetre's K-functional:

$$K_2(f, \delta) = \inf\{\|f-h\| + \delta\|h''\| : h \in W_\infty^2\}$$

Then apply the inequality  $K_2(f, \delta) \leq C\omega_2(f, \delta^{1/2})$ ,  $\delta > 0$  (see [4, pp. 177]), the required result follows.  $\square$

## Acknowledgements

The authors are extremely thankful to the reviewers for their valuable suggestions, leading to overall improvements in the manuscript.

## References

- [1] Abel, U., Gupta, V. and Sisodia, M., *Some new semi-exponential type operators*, Rev. R. Acad. Cienc. Exactas Fis. Nat. Ser. A Math. RACSAM **116**, 87 (2022).
- [2] Acar, T.,  *$(p, q)$ -Generalization of Szász–Mirakjan operators*, Math. Methods Appl. Sci. **39** (2016), no. 10, 2685–2695.
- [3] Aral, A. and Gupta, V., *Applications of  $(p, q)$ -Gamma function to Szász Durrmeyer operators*, Publ. Inst. Math. (Beograd) **102** (116) (2017), 211–220.
- [4] DeVore, R. A. and Lorentz, G. G., *Constructive Approximation*, Springer, Berlin, 1993.
- [5] Gadzhiev, A. D., *Theorems of the type of P. P. Korovkin type theorems*, Math. Zametki **20** (1976), no. 5, 781–786.
- [6] Gupta, V.,  *$(p, q)$ -Baskakov–Kantorovich operators*, Appl. Math. Inform. Sci. **10** (2016), no. 4, 1551–1556.
- [7] Gupta, V.,  *$(p, q)$ -Szász–Mirakjan–Baskakov operators*, Complex Anal. Oper. Theory **12** (2018), 17–25 .
- [8] Gupta, V. and Aral, A., *Bernstein Durrmeyer operators based on two parameters*, Facta Univ Ser. Math. Infor. **31** (2016), no.1, 79–95.
- [9] Gupta, V., Rassias, T. M., Agrawal, P. N. and Acu, A. M., *Basics of Post-quantum Calculus*, In: *Recent advances in constructive approximation theory*, Springer, Optimization and Its Applications, **138**, Springer, Berlin, Germany, 73–89.
- [10] Herzog, M., *Semi-exponential operators*, Symmetry, **13**, 637 (2021).
- [11] Ismail, M. and May, C. P., *On a family of approximation operators*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **63** (1978), no. 1, 446–462.
- [12] Milovanović, G. V., Gupta, V. and Malik, N.,  *$(p, q)$ -Beta functions and applications in approximation*, Boletín de la Sociedad Matemática Mexicana **24** (2018), no. 1, 219–237.
- [13] Păltănea, R., *Modified Szász–Mirakjan operators of integral form*, Carpathian J. Math. **24** (2008), no. 3, 378–385.
- [14] Păltănea, R., *Estimates of approximation in terms of a weighted modulus of continuity*, Bull. Transilvania Univ. Braşov, **54** (2011), no. 4, 67–74.
- [15] Păltănea, R., *Simultaneous approximation by a class of Szász Mirakjan operators*, J. Appl. Funct. Anal. **9** (2014), no. 3-4, 356–368.

- [16] Tyliba, A. and Wachnicki, E., *On some class of exponential type operators*, Comment. Math. **45** (2005), 59-73.