

NONLINEAR DIFFERENTIAL POLYNOMIALS SHARING A SET OF VALUES

Samar HALDER¹² and Pulak SAHOO³⁴

Abstract

In this article, we investigate the uniqueness problem of meromorphic functions when certain nonlinear differential polynomials generated by them share a set of values with finite weight and obtain some results that generalize the recent results due to P. Sahoo and G. Biswas [Filomat 32 (2018), 457-472]. Our results also improve and generalize the results due to H.Y. Xu [J. Comput. Anal. Appl., 16 (2014), 942-954].

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: Primary 30D35.

Key words: meromorphic function, shared set, uniqueness, differential polynomial.

1 Introduction, Definitions and Results

Throughout the paper, by a meromorphic function we shall always mean a function that is meromorphic in the complex plane \mathbb{C} . In what follows, we assume that the reader is familiar with the standard notations of Nevanlinna value distribution theory such as $T(r, f)$, $m(r, f)$, $N(r, f)$, $\overline{N}(r, f)$, $S(r, f)$ and so on, that can be found, for instance, in [5, 10, 20].

Let f and g be any two nonconstant meromorphic functions. For $a \in \mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\} = \overline{\mathbb{C}}$ and $S \subset \overline{\mathbb{C}}$, we define

$$E(S, f) = \bigcup_{a \in S} \{z : f(z) - a = 0, \text{ counting multiplicities}\},$$
$$\overline{E}(S, f) = \bigcup_{a \in S} \{z : f(z) - a = 0, \text{ ignoring multiplicities}\}.$$

¹Department of Mathematics, University of *Kalyani*, West Bengal-741235, India, e-mail: samarhalder.mtmh@gmail.com

²The first author is supported by UGC-NFSC scheme of research fellowship.

³Department of Mathematics, University of *Kalyani*, West Bengal-741235, India, e-mail: sahoopulak1@gmail.com

⁴Corresponding author, the author is thankful to DST-PURSE for financial assistance.

If $E(S, f) = E(S, g)$, we say that f and g share the set S CM; if $\overline{E}(S, f) = \overline{E}(S, g)$, we say that f and g share the set S IM. As a special case, let $S = \{a\}$, then we say that f and g share the value a CM (resp. IM), provided that $E(S, f) = E(S, g)$ (resp. $\overline{E}(S, f) = \overline{E}(S, g)$) (see [4]).

For a positive integer m , we denote by $E_m(a; f)$ the set of all a -points of f with multiplicities not greater than m , where an a -point is counted according to its multiplicities. Also by $\overline{E}_m(a; f)$ we denote the set of distinct a -points of f with multiplicities not exceeding m . If $E_\infty(a; f) = E_\infty(a; g)$ for $a \in \overline{\mathbb{C}}$, we say that f and g share the value a CM. We also define $E_m(S, f) = \bigcup_{a \in S} E_m(a; f)$ and $\overline{E}_m(S, f) = \bigcup_{a \in S} \overline{E}_m(a; f)$ for any positive integer m . The research on the unique-

ness theory related to meromorphic functions has brought out a good number of interesting results due to sharing of values by different functions. In fact, uniqueness problems regarding differential polynomials and their shared values have been studied in a large extent (see [1, 6, 9, 12, 15, 17, 18]). Recently, there has been an increasing interest to consider the differential polynomials with the shared set of values.

In 1997, C.C. Yang and X.H. Hua [19] proved the following result.

Theorem A. *Let f and g be two nonconstant meromorphic functions, $n \geq 11$ an integer and $a \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$. If $f^n f'$ and $g^n g'$ share the value a CM, then either $f = tg$ for some $(n+1)$ th root of unity t or $g(z) = c_1 e^{cz}$, $f(z) = c_2 e^{-cz}$, where c, c_1, c_2 are constants satisfying $(c_1 c_2)^{n+1} c^2 = -a^2$.*

Regarding Theorem A, one may ask the following question:

Question 1.1. *Whether there exists a differential polynomial d such that for any pair of nonconstant meromorphic functions f and g we can get $f \equiv g$ whenever $d(f)$ and $d(g)$ share one value CM?*

Some of the earlier works in this direction can be found in [2, 3, 6, 8, 9, 11]. Among them, Fang-Fang [2] and Lin-Yi [11] gave an affirmative answer to the above question and proved the following results respectively.

Theorem B. *Let f and g be two nonconstant meromorphic functions and n be a positive integer. If $E_k(1, f^n(f-1)^2 f') = E_k(1, g^n(g-1)^2 g')$ and one of the following conditions is satisfied: (a) $k \geq 3$, $n \geq 13$, (b) $k = 2$, $n \geq 15$, (c) $k = 1$, $n \geq 23$, then $f \equiv g$.*

Theorem C. *Let f and g be two nonconstant meromorphic functions satisfying $\Theta(\infty, f) > 2/(n+1)$, $n \geq 12$ be an integer. If $f^n(f-1)f'$ and $g^n(g-1)g'$ share 1 CM, then $f \equiv g$.*

In 2014, H.Y. Xu [16] investigated the uniqueness of meromorphic functions for the case of two nonlinear differential polynomials sharing a set S_m containing m roots of unity, namely $S_m = \{1, \omega, \omega^2, \dots, \omega^{m-1}\}$, where $\omega = \exp(\frac{2\pi}{m}i)$ (m being an integer) and obtained the following two results:

Theorem D. Let f and g be two nonconstant meromorphic functions such that one of f and g has only multiple poles, n and $m(\geq 2)$ be two positive integers. For any distinct $a, b \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$, let $E_k(S_m, f^n(f-a)(f-b)f') = E_k(S_m, g^n(g-a)(g-b)g')$ and let the expressions $\frac{a+b}{n+2}g \sum_{j=0}^{n+1} \left(\frac{f}{g}\right)^j - \frac{ab}{n+1} \sum_{j=0}^n \left(\frac{f}{g}\right)^j$ and $\sum_{j=0}^{n+2} \left(\frac{f}{g}\right)^j$ have no common simple zeros. If one of the following conditions is satisfied:

- (a) $k \geq 3 : n > 4 + \frac{8}{m}$ when $2 \leq m \leq 3$ and $n > 4 + \frac{4}{m}$ when $m \geq 4$;
- (b) $k = 2 : n > 4 + \frac{11}{m}$ when $2 \leq m \leq 3$ and $n > 4 + \frac{4}{m}$ when $m \geq 4$;
- (c) $k = 1 : n > 4 + \frac{20}{m}$ when $2 \leq m \leq 3$ and $n > 4 + \frac{4}{m}$ when $m \geq 4$,

then $f \equiv g$.

Theorem E. Let f and g be two nonconstant meromorphic functions, $n, m(\geq 2)$ be two positive integers. If $E_k(S_m, f^n(f-a)^2f') = E_k(S_m, g^n(g-a)^2g')$ and one of the following conditions is satisfied:

- (a) $k \geq 3 : n > 4 + \frac{8}{m}$;
- (b) $k = 2 : n > \max\{4 + \frac{4}{m}, 2 + \frac{10}{m}\}$;
- (c) $k = 1 : n > 4 + \frac{20}{m}$ when $2 \leq m \leq 3$ and $n > 4 + \frac{4}{m}$ when $m \geq 4$,

then $f \equiv g$.

Remark 1.1. It is to be noticed that there are some lacunas in Lemma 2.3 (see [16]) as well as in the lower bound of n in Theorem E (see [16]). In the proof of Theorem E (though not given in details), Case 1 of Lemma 2.4 is needed, where the lower bound of n is taken as $n \geq 8$.

Recently, P. Sahoo and G. Biswas [14], overcoming the lacunas, extended Theorems D and E and obtained the following results.

Theorem F. Let f and g be two nonconstant meromorphic functions such that one of f and g has only multiple poles, n and $m(\geq 2)$ be two positive integers. For any distinct $a, b, c \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$, let $E_k(S_m, f^n(f-a)(f-b)(f-c)f') = E_k(S_m, g^n(g-a)(g-b)(g-c)g')$ and let the expressions $\frac{a+b+c}{n+3}g^2 \sum_{j=0}^{n+2} \left(\frac{f}{g}\right)^j - \frac{ab+bc+ca}{n+2}g \sum_{j=0}^{n+1} \left(\frac{f}{g}\right)^j + \frac{abc}{n+1} \sum_{j=0}^n \left(\frac{f}{g}\right)^j$ and $\sum_{j=0}^{n+3} \left(\frac{f}{g}\right)^j$ have no common simple zeros. If one of the following conditions is satisfied:

- (a) $k \geq 3 : n > 5 + \frac{8}{m}$ when $2 \leq m \leq 3$ and $n > 5 + \frac{3}{m}$ when $m \geq 4$;
- (b) $k = 2 : n > 5 + \frac{23}{2m}$ when $2 \leq m \leq 3$ and $n > 5 + \frac{3}{m}$ when $m \geq 4$;
- (c) $k = 1 : n > 5 + \frac{22}{m}$ when $2 \leq m \leq 3$ and $n > 5 + \frac{3}{m}$ when $m \geq 4$;

then $f \equiv tg$, where $t^m = 1$.

Theorem G. Let f and g be two nonconstant meromorphic functions such that one of f and g has only multiple poles, n and $m(\geq 2)$ be two positive integers. For any distinct $a, b \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$, let $E_k(S_m, f^n(f-a)^2(f-b)f') = E_k(S_m, g^n(g-a)^2(g-b)g')$ and let the expressions $\frac{2a+b}{n+3}g^2 \sum_{j=0}^{n+2} \left(\frac{f}{g}\right)^j - \frac{a^2+2ab}{n+2}g \sum_{j=0}^{n+1} \left(\frac{f}{g}\right)^j + \frac{a^2b}{n+1} \sum_{j=0}^n \left(\frac{f}{g}\right)^j$ and $\sum_{j=0}^{n+3} \left(\frac{f}{g}\right)^j$ have no common simple zeros. If one of the following conditions is satisfied:

- (a) $k \geq 3 : n > 3 + \frac{8}{m}$ when $2 \leq m \leq 3$ and $n > 5 + \frac{2}{m}$ when $m \geq 4$;

(b) $k = 2 : n > 3 + \frac{11}{m}$ when $2 \leq m \leq 3$ and $n > 5 + \frac{2}{m}$ when $m \geq 4$;
 (c) $k = 1 : n > 3 + \frac{20}{m}$ when $2 \leq m \leq 3$ and $n > 5 + \frac{2}{m}$ when $m \geq 4$;
 then $f \equiv tg$, where $t^m = 1$.

Theorem H. Let f and g be two nonconstant meromorphic functions such that one of f and g has only multiple poles, n and $m(\geq 2)$ be two positive integers. For $a \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$, let $E_k(S_m, f^n(f-a)^3 f') = E_k(S_m, g^n(g-a)^3 g')$ and let the expressions $\frac{3a}{n+3} g^2 \sum_{j=0}^{n+2} \left(\frac{f}{g}\right)^j - \frac{3a^2}{n+2} g \sum_{j=0}^{n+1} \left(\frac{f}{g}\right)^j + \frac{a^3}{n+1} \sum_{j=0}^n \left(\frac{f}{g}\right)^j$ and $\sum_{j=0}^{n+3} \left(\frac{f}{g}\right)^j$ have no common simple zeros. If one of the following conditions is satisfied:

- (a) $k \geq 3 : n > \max\{2 + \frac{8}{m}, 10\}$ when $2 \leq m \leq 3$ and $n > \max\{\frac{8}{m}, 10\}$ when $m \geq 4$;
 - (b) $k = 2 : n > \max\{2 + \frac{10}{m}, 10\}$ when $2 \leq m \leq 3$ and $n > \max\{\frac{10}{m}, 10\}$ when $m \geq 4$;
 - (c) $k = 1 : n > \max\{2 + \frac{16}{m}, 10\}$ when $2 \leq m \leq 3$ and $n > \max\{\frac{16}{m}, 10\}$ when $m \geq 4$;
- then $f \equiv tg$, where $t^m = 1$.

In connection to Theorems D-H the following question is inevitable.

Question 1.2. Is it possible to deduce a generalized result in which all the Theorems D-H will be included?

In this paper, we will concentrate on the above question and give a positive answer by considering differential polynomials in a generalized form with respect to that of Theorems D-H. Henceforth, we assume that $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_\mu \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ such that $a_i \neq a_j$ ($i, j = 1, 2, \dots, \mu$) and $\mu(\geq 2)$, $s(\geq \mu)$, $\eta, s_i(\geq 1)$ ($i = 1, 2, \dots, \mu$) are nonnegative integers satisfying $\sum_{i=1}^\mu s_i = s$ and $P(z) = (z - a_1)^{s_1} (z - a_2)^{s_2} \dots (z - a_\mu)^{s_\mu}$ is a nonzero polynomial of degree s such that P has exactly η roots with multiplicity greater than 1.

We collect all the a_i 's counting multiplicities and arrange them in a sequence according to their monotonically increasing subscripts. In what follows, by a'_i we shall mean the i -th term of the sequence $\{a'_i\}_{i=1}^s$, where

$$\begin{aligned} a'_i &= a_1, & 1 \leq i \leq s_1 \\ &= a_2, & s_1 + 1 \leq i \leq s_1 + s_2 \\ &\dots \\ &= a_\mu, & 1 + \sum_{j=1}^{\mu-1} s_j \leq i \leq s. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $P(z) = (z - a_1)^{s_1} (z - a_2)^{s_2} \dots (z - a_\mu)^{s_\mu} = (z - a'_1)(z - a'_2) \dots (z - a'_s)$. However, f' has its usual meaning for any meromorphic function f .

We now state our main results of the paper.

Theorem 1.1. Let f and g be two nonconstant meromorphic functions with one of them having only multiple poles, $n, m(\geq 2)$ be positive integers and $E_k(S_m, f^n P(f) f')$

$$= E_k(S_m, g^n P(g)g'). \text{ If } \frac{\sum_{i=1}^s a'_i}{n+s} g^{s-1} \sum_{\zeta=0}^{n+s-1} \left(\frac{f}{g}\right)^\zeta - \frac{\sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq s} a'_i a'_j}{n+s-1} g^{s-2} \sum_{\zeta=0}^{n+s-2} \left(\frac{f}{g}\right)^\zeta + \dots + \frac{(-1)^{s-1} a'_1 a'_2 \dots a'_s}{n+1} \sum_{\zeta=0}^n \left(\frac{f}{g}\right)^\zeta \text{ and } \sum_{\zeta=0}^{n+s} \left(\frac{f}{g}\right)^\zeta \text{ have no common simple zero and}$$

one of the following conditions is satisfied:

(i) $k \geq 3 : n > \max\{\mu' + 2 + \frac{8}{m}, s + 2 + \max\{0, \frac{3-s+\mu}{m}\}\}$ when $2 \leq m \leq 3$ and $n > s + 2 + \max\{0, \frac{3-s+\mu}{m}\}$ when $m \geq 4$;

(ii) $k = 2 : n > \max\{\mu' + 2 + \frac{20+\mu}{2m}, s + 2 + \max\{0, \frac{3-s+\mu}{m}\}\}$ when $2 \leq m \leq 3$ and $n > s + 2 + \max\{0, \frac{3-s+\mu}{m}\}$ when $m \geq 4$;

(iii) $k = 1 : n > \max\{\mu' + 2 + \frac{16+2\mu}{m}, s + 2 + \max\{0, \frac{3-s+\mu}{m}\}\}$ when $2 \leq m \leq 3$ and $n > s + 2 + \max\{0, \frac{3-s+\mu}{m}\}$ when $s > 2, m \geq 4$,

then $f \equiv tg$, where $t^m = 1$ and $\mu' = \mu - \eta$.

Taking $s_1 = s_2 = \dots = s_\mu = 1$ and $\mu = s$ in the above theorem we can obtain the following corollary.

Corollary 1.1. *Let f and g be two nonconstant meromorphic functions with one of them having only multiple poles, $s(\geq 2), n, m(\geq 2)$ be positive integers. For all distinct $a_i \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$, let $E_k(S_m, f^n(f-a_1)(f-a_2)\dots(f-a_s)f') = E_k(S_m, g^n(g-a_1)(g-a_2)\dots(g-a_s)g')$. If*

$$\frac{\sum_{i=1}^s a_i}{n+s} g^{s-1} \sum_{\zeta=0}^{n+s-1} \left(\frac{f}{g}\right)^\zeta - \frac{\sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq s} a_i a_j}{n+s-1} g^{s-2} \sum_{\zeta=0}^{n+s-2} \left(\frac{f}{g}\right)^\zeta + \dots + \frac{(-1)^{s-1} a_1 a_2 \dots a_s}{n+1} \sum_{\zeta=0}^n \left(\frac{f}{g}\right)^\zeta \text{ and } \sum_{\zeta=0}^{n+s} \left(\frac{f}{g}\right)^\zeta \text{ have no common simple zero and}$$

one of the following conditions is satisfied:

(i) $k \geq 3 : n > s + 2 + \frac{8}{m}$ when $2 \leq m \leq 3$ and $n > s + 2 + \frac{3}{m}$ when $m \geq 4$;

(ii) $k = 2 : n > s + 2 + \frac{20+s}{2m}$ when $2 \leq m \leq 3$ and $n > s + 2 + \frac{3}{m}$ when $m \geq 4$;

(iii) $k = 1 : n > s + 2 + \frac{16+2s}{m}$ when $2 \leq m \leq 3$ and $n > s + 2 + \frac{3}{m}$ when $s > 2, m \geq 4$, then $f \equiv tg$, where $t^m = 1$.

Theorem 1.2. *Let f and g be two nonconstant meromorphic functions with one of f and g having only multiple poles and $n, m(\geq 2), s(> 2)$ be positive integers. Let $E_k(S_m, f^n(f-a)^s f') = E_k(S_m, g^n(g-a)^s g')$ where $a \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$. If*

$$\text{the expressions } {}^s C_1 \frac{a}{n+s} g^{s-1} \sum_{\zeta=0}^{n+s-1} \left(\frac{f}{g}\right)^\zeta - {}^s C_2 \frac{a^2}{n+s-1} g^{s-2} \sum_{\zeta=0}^{n+s-2} \left(\frac{f}{g}\right)^\zeta + \dots + \frac{(-1)^{s-1} a^s}{n+1} \sum_{\zeta=0}^n \left(\frac{f}{g}\right)^\zeta \text{ and } \sum_{\zeta=0}^{n+s} \left(\frac{f}{g}\right)^\zeta \text{ have no common simple zero and one of the}$$

following conditions is satisfied:

(i) $k \geq 3 : n > \max\{2 + \frac{8}{m}, 3s + 1\}$ when $2 \leq m \leq 3$ and $n > \max\{\frac{8}{m}, 3s + 1\}$ when $m \geq 4$;

(ii) $k = 2 : n > \max\{2 + \frac{10}{m}, 3s + 1\}$ when $2 \leq m \leq 3$ and $n > \max\{\frac{10}{m}, 3s + 1\}$ when $m \geq 4$;

(iii) $k = 1 : n > \max\{2 + \frac{16}{m}, 3s + 1\}$ when $2 \leq m \leq 3$ and $n > \max\{\frac{16}{m}, 3s + 1\}$

when $m \geq 4$,
then $f \equiv tg$, where $t^m = 1$.

Remark 1.2. If we take $s = 2$ in Corollary 1.1, then it reduces to Theorem D with a little improvement of lower bound of n for $m \geq 4, k \geq 2$. Thus Corollary 1.1 generalizes and improves Theorem D except for the case $k = 1$ when $m \geq 4$. Also Corollary 1.1 generalizes Theorem F.

Remark 1.3. If we take $s = 3, \mu = 2, \eta = 1$ in Theorem 1.1, then it reduces to Theorem G. Thus Theorem 1.1 generalizes Theorem G.

Remark 1.4. Theorem 1.2 generalizes Theorem H, since taking $s = 3$ in Theorem 1.2 it reduces to Theorem H. Again Theorem 1.2 is an extension of Theorem E.

Note 1.1. By following our technique it follows that for $s = 2$ in Theorem 1.2, the bounds of n in case of $k = 1$ are obtained as: $n > \max\{2 + \frac{18}{m}, 3s + 1\}$ when $2 \leq m \leq 3$ and $n > \max\{\frac{18}{m}, 3s + 1\}$ when $m \geq 4$.

Although the standard definitions and notations of the value distribution theory are available in [5, 20], we explain the following definitions which are used in the paper.

Definition 1. [7] Let $a \in \mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\}$. We denote by $N(r, a; f | = 1)$ the counting function of simple a -points of f . For a positive integer p we denote by $N(r, a; f | \leq p)$ the counting function of those a -points of f (counted with proper multiplicities) whose multiplicities are not greater than p . By $\bar{N}(r, a; f | \leq p)$ we denote the corresponding reduced counting function.

Analogously we can define $N(r, a; f | \geq p)$ and $\bar{N}(r, a; f | \geq p)$.

Definition 2. [7] Let k be positive integer or infinity. We denote by $N_k(r, a; f)$ the counting function of a -points of f , where an a -point of multiplicity m is counted m times if $m \leq k$ and k times if $m > k$. Then

$$N_k(r, a; f) = \bar{N}(r, a; f) + \bar{N}(r, a; f | \geq 2) + \dots + \bar{N}(r, a; f | \geq k).$$

Clearly $N_1(r, a; f) = \bar{N}(r, a; f)$.

Definition 3. [20, p. 222] Let f and g be nonconstant meromorphic functions such that f and g share 1 IM. We denote by $N_E^1(r, 1; f)$ the counting function of common simple 1-points of f and g .

2 Lemmas

In order to prove our results, we need the following lemmas.

Lemma 2.1. [20, p. 36, p. 39] Suppose that f is a nonconstant meromorphic function in the complex plane and k is a positive integer. Then

$$T(r, f^{(k)}) \leq T(r, f) + k\bar{N}(r, \infty; f) + S(r, f).$$

and

$$N(r, 0; f^{(k)}) \leq N(r, 0; f) + k\bar{N}(r, \infty; f) + S(r, f).$$

Lemma 2.2. [13] Let f be a nonconstant meromorphic function, $a_i (i = 0, 1, 2, \dots, p)$, $b_j (j = 0, 1, 2, \dots, q)$ be constants such that $a_p \neq 0$ and $b_q \neq 0$ and let $\wp(f) = \frac{\sum_{i=0}^p a_i f^i}{\sum_{j=0}^q b_j f^j}$ be an irreducible rational function in f . Then $T(r, \wp(f)) = dT(r, f) + S(r, f)$, where $d = \max\{p, q\}$.

Lemma 2.3. Let f and g be two nonconstant meromorphic functions and $s (\geq 2)$, n, m be positive integers such that $n > \frac{2}{m} + \frac{4}{m^2} - 1$. If f or g is meromorphic function having only multiple poles and the two expressions

$$\frac{\sum_{i=1}^s a_i}{n+s} g^{s-1} \sum_{\zeta=0}^{n+s-1} \left(\frac{f}{g}\right)^\zeta - \frac{\sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq s} a_i a_j}{n+s-1} g^{s-2} \sum_{\zeta=0}^{n+s-2} \left(\frac{f}{g}\right)^\zeta + \dots + \frac{(-1)^{s-1} a_1 a_2 \dots a_s}{n+1} \sum_{\zeta=0}^n \left(\frac{f}{g}\right)^\zeta \text{ and } \sum_{\zeta=0}^{n+s} \left(\frac{f}{g}\right)^\zeta$$

have no common simple zero, and

$$\left(\frac{f^{n+s+1}}{n+s+1} - \frac{\sum_{i=1}^s a_i}{n+s} f^{n+s} + \frac{\sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq s} a_i a_j}{n+s-1} f^{n+s-1} - \dots + \frac{(-1)^s a_1 a_2 \dots a_s}{n+1} f^{n+1} \right)^m \equiv \left(\frac{g^{n+s+1}}{n+s+1} - \frac{\sum_{i=1}^s a_i}{n+s} g^{n+s} + \frac{\sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq s} a_i a_j}{n+s-1} g^{n+s-1} - \dots + \frac{(-1)^s a_1 a_2 \dots a_s}{n+1} g^{n+1} \right)^m,$$

where $a_i \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, s$, then $f \equiv tg$, where $t^m = 1$.

Proof. From the assumption of the lemma it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{f^{n+s+1}}{n+s+1} - \frac{\sum_{i=1}^s a_i}{n+s} f^{n+s} + \frac{\sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq s} a_i a_j}{n+s-1} f^{n+s-1} - \dots + \frac{(-1)^s a_1 a_2 \dots a_s}{n+1} f^{n+1} \\ \equiv & t \left(\frac{g^{n+s+1}}{n+s+1} - \frac{\sum_{i=1}^s a_i}{n+s} g^{n+s} + \frac{\sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq s} a_i a_j}{n+s-1} g^{n+s-1} - \dots + \frac{(-1)^s a_1 a_2 \dots a_s}{n+1} g^{n+1} \right), \end{aligned} \tag{2.1}$$

where $t^m = 1$. From (2.1) we get that f and g share ∞ CM. Without loss of generality, from the assumption of the lemma we may suppose that g has some multiple poles. Let $h = \frac{f}{g}$. Suppose that h is not constant. Then from (2.1), we have

$$A_0 g^s (h^{n+s+1} - t) + A_1 g^{s-1} (h^{n+s} - t) + \dots + A_{s-1} g (h^{n+2} - t) + A_s (h^{n+1} - t) \equiv 0,$$

$$\text{i.e., } A_0 g^s = -A_1 g^{s-1} \frac{(h^{n+s} - t)}{(h^{n+s+1} - t)} - A_2 g^{s-2} \frac{(h^{n+s-1} - t)}{(h^{n+s+1} - t)} - \dots$$

$$-A_s \frac{(h^{n+1} - t)}{(h^{n+s+1} - t)}, \tag{2.2}$$

where $A_0 = \frac{1}{n+s+1}$, $A_1 = -\frac{\sum_{i=1}^s a_i}{n+s}$, $A_2 = \frac{\sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq s} a_i a_j}{n+s-1}$, \dots , $A_s = \frac{(-1)^s a_1 a_2 \dots a_s}{n+1}$.

Let z_0 be a pole of g with multiplicity $p_0 (\geq 2)$, which is not a zero of $h - v_{k,r}$, where $(v_{k,r})^{n+s+1} = t = \omega^r$ ($k = 0, 1, 2, \dots, n+s; r = 0, 1, 2, \dots, m-1$) such that $\omega = \cos \frac{2\pi}{m} + i \sin \frac{2\pi}{m}$. Then from (2.2), we have $sp_0 = (s-1)p_0$ i.e., $p_0 = 0$. Thus we arrive at a contradiction. Therefore, we see that the poles of g are precisely the zeros of $h - v_{k,r}$.

Let z_1 be a zero of $h - v_{k,r}$ with multiplicity q_1 , which is a pole of g with multiplicity p_1 . Then from (2.2), we get $sp_1 = q_1 + (s-1)p_1$ i.e., $p_1 = q_1$. Since g has no simple pole, it follows that such points are multiple zeros of $h - v_{k,r}$. Now, for $r = 0, 1, 2, \dots, m-1$, we obtain from (2.2) that

$$A_0 g^s = -\frac{A_1 g^{s-1}(h^{n+s} - \omega^r) + A_2 g^{s-2}(h^{n+s-1} - \omega^r) + \dots + A_s (h^{n+1} - \omega^r)}{h^{n+s+1} - \omega^r}. \tag{2.3}$$

Suppose that z_2 is a simple zero of $h - v_{k,r}$ ($k = 0, 1, 2, \dots, n+s; r = 0, 1, 2, \dots, m-1$) which is also a zero of multiplicity $q_2 (\geq 2)$ of numerator of right hand side of (2.3). Then from (2.3), we see that z_2 would be a zero of g^s of order $(q_2 - 1)$. Therefore z_2 would be a zero of $(h^{n+1} - \omega^r)$. We observe that the number of common factors of $(h^{n+1} - \omega^r)$ and $(h^{n+s+1} - \omega^r)$ are less than or equal to the number of common factors of $(h^{m(n+1)} - 1)$ and $(h^{m(n+s+1)} - 1)$ for $r = 0, 1, 2, \dots, m-1$. Since the greatest common divisor of $m(n+1)$ and $m(n+s+1)$ cannot exceed sm , it follows that $h^{n+1} - \omega^r$ and $h^{n+s+1} - \omega^r$ may have at most sm common factors for $r = 0, 1, 2, \dots, m-1$. Moreover, a nonconstant meromorphic function cannot have more than two Picard exceptional values. Therefore we see that $h - v_{k,r}$ has multiple zeros for at least $m(n+s+1) - sm - 2$ values of $k \in \{0, 1, 2, \dots, m(n+s+1) - 1\}$ when $r = 0, 1, 2, \dots, m-1$. Thus, $\Theta(v_{k,r}; h) \geq \frac{1}{2}$ for at least $m(n+s+1) - sm - 2$ values of $k \in \{0, 1, 2, \dots, m(n+s+1) - 1\}$ where $r = 0, 1, 2, \dots, m-1$, which is a contradiction as $n > \frac{2}{m} + \frac{4}{m^2} - 1$. Hence h is a constant. If $h \neq t$, then from (2.2), it follows that the function g becomes a constant, which is impossible. Hence we get $f \equiv tg$, where $t^m = 1$. This completes the proof of the lemma. \square

Lemma 2.4. (see [2]) *Let f and g be two nonconstant meromorphic functions, and let k be a positive integer. If $E_k(1, f) = E_k(1, g)$, then one of the following cases holds:*

$$\begin{aligned} (i) \quad T(r, f) + T(r, g) &\leq N_2(r, \infty; f) + N_2(r, 0; f) + N_2(r, \infty; g) + N_2(r, 0; g) \\ &\quad + \bar{N}(r, 1; f) + \bar{N}(r, 1; g) - \bar{N}_E^1(r, 1; f) \\ &\quad + \bar{N}(r, 1; |f| \geq k+1) + \bar{N}(r, 1; |g| \geq k+1) \\ &\quad + S(r, f) + S(r, g), \end{aligned}$$

$$(ii) \quad f = \frac{(B+1)g+(A-B-1)}{Bg+(A-B)}, \text{ where } A(\neq 0), B \text{ are constants.}$$

Lemma 2.5. *Let f and g be two nonconstant meromorphic functions and $n, m, \mu, s(\geq \mu), s_i(i = 1, 2, \dots, \mu)$ be any positive integers such that $n + s > 1 + \frac{4s}{\mu}$. Then $(f^n(f - a_1)^{s_1}(f - a_2)^{s_2} \dots (f - a_\mu)^{s_\mu} f')^m (g^n(g - a_1)^{s_1}(g - a_2)^{s_2} \dots (g - a_\mu)^{s_\mu} g')^m \neq 1$, where $a_i \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}, a_i \neq a_j (i, j = 1, 2, \dots, \mu)$ and $\sum_{i=1}^\mu s_i = s$.*

Proof. Let, if possible

$$(f^n(f - a_1)^{s_1}(f - a_2)^{s_2} \dots (f - a_\mu)^{s_\mu} f')^m (g^n(g - a_1)^{s_1}(g - a_2)^{s_2} \dots (g - a_\mu)^{s_\mu} g')^m \equiv 1.$$

Therefore we must have

$$f^n(f - a_1)^{s_1}(f - a_2)^{s_2} \dots (f - a_\mu)^{s_\mu} f' g^n(g - a_1)^{s_1}(g - a_2)^{s_2} \dots (g - a_\mu)^{s_\mu} g' \equiv t, \quad (2.4)$$

where $t^m = 1$.

Since each $a_i \neq a_j (i, j = 1, 2, \dots, \mu)$, we may count the zeros and poles of f and g as follows: Let z_0 be a zero of f with multiplicity $p_0(\geq 1)$. Then, from (2.4) it follows that z_0 is a pole of g with multiplicity $q_0(\geq 1)$, say. Then $(n + 1)p_0 - 1 = (n + s + 1)q_0 + 1$ i.e., $sq_0 = (n + 1)(p_0 - q_0) - 2 \geq n - 1$, i.e., $q_0 \geq \frac{n-1}{s}$. Hence

$$(n + 1)p_0 \geq \frac{(n + s + 1)(n - 1)}{s} + 2 \text{ i.e., } p_0 \geq \frac{n + s - 1}{s}. \quad (2.5)$$

Let z_i be a zero of $f - a_i (i = 1, 2, \dots, \mu)$ with multiplicity $p_i(\geq 1)$. Then it is a pole of g with multiplicity $q_i(\geq 1)$, say. Therefore we have $s_i p_i + p_i - 1 = (n + s + 1)q_i + 1 \geq n + s + 2$

$$\text{i.e., } p_i \geq \frac{n + s + 3}{s_i + 1} \text{ for } i = 1, 2, \dots, \mu. \quad (2.6)$$

Since a pole of f is either a zero of $g(g - a_1)(g - a_2) \dots (g - a_\mu)$ or a zero of g' , using (2.5), (2.6) and $\sum_{i=1}^\mu s_i = s$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{N}(r, \infty; f) &\leq \overline{N}(r, 0; g) + \sum_{i=1}^\mu \overline{N}(r, a_i; g) + \overline{N}_0(r, 0; g') + S(r, f) + S(r, g) \\ &\leq \frac{s}{n + s - 1} N(r, 0; g) + \sum_{i=1}^\mu \frac{s_i + 1}{n + s + 3} N(r, a_i; g) + \overline{N}_0(r, 0; g') \\ &\quad + S(r, f) + S(r, g) \\ &\leq \left(\frac{s}{n + s - 1} + \frac{\mu + s}{n + s + 3} \right) T(r, g) + \overline{N}_0(r, 0; g') + S(r, f) \\ &\quad + S(r, g), \end{aligned} \quad (2.7)$$

where $\overline{N}_0(r, 0; g')$ denotes the reduced counting function of those zeros of g' which are not the zeros of $g(g - a_1)(g - a_2) \dots (g - a_\mu)$. By the second fundamental theorem of Nevanlinna and from (2.5)-(2.7) we get

$$\mu T(r, f) \leq \overline{N}(r, \infty; f) + \overline{N}(r, 0; f) + \sum_{i=1}^\mu \overline{N}(r, a_i; f) - \overline{N}_0(r, 0; f') + S(r, f)$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq \left(\frac{s}{n+s-1} + \frac{\mu+s}{n+s+3} \right) \{T(r, f) + T(r, g)\} + \bar{N}_0(r, 0; g') \\ &\quad - \bar{N}_0(r, 0; f') + S(r, f) + S(r, g). \end{aligned} \tag{2.8}$$

Similarly, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \mu T(r, g) &\leq \left(\frac{s}{n+s-1} + \frac{\mu+s}{n+s+3} \right) \{T(r, f) + T(r, g)\} + \bar{N}_0(r, 0; f') \\ &\quad - \bar{N}_0(r, 0; g') + S(r, f) + S(r, g). \end{aligned} \tag{2.9}$$

Adding (2.8) and (2.9) we obtain

$$\left(\mu - \frac{2s}{n+s-1} - \frac{2(\mu+s)}{n+s+3} \right) \{T(r, f) + T(r, g)\} \leq S(r, f) + S(r, g).$$

Since $n + s > 1 + \frac{4s}{\mu}$, we arrive at a contradiction. This proves the lemma. \square

Lemma 2.6. *Let f and g be two nonconstant meromorphic functions with one of f, g has only multiple poles and $n, m (\geq 2), \mu (\geq 2), s (\geq \mu)$ be positive integers such that $n > s + 2 + \max\{0, \frac{3-s+\mu}{m}\}$. Let $F = f^n P(f) f'$ and $G = g^n P(g) g'$ and let*

$$\frac{\sum_{i=1}^s a'_i}{n+s} g^{s-1} \sum_{\zeta=0}^{n+s-1} \left(\frac{f}{g}\right)^\zeta - \frac{\sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq s} a'_i a'_j}{n+s-1} g^{s-2} \sum_{\zeta=0}^{n+s-2} \left(\frac{f}{g}\right)^\zeta + \dots + \frac{(-1)^{s-1} a'_1 a'_2 \dots a'_s}{n+1} \sum_{\zeta=0}^n \left(\frac{f}{g}\right)^\zeta$$

and $\sum_{\zeta=0}^{n+s} \left(\frac{f}{g}\right)^\zeta$ have no common simple zero, and

$$F^m = \frac{(B+1)G^m + A - B - 1}{BG^m + A - B}, \tag{2.10}$$

where $A (\neq 0), B$ are constants, then $f \equiv tg$, where $t^m = 1$.

Proof. Let

$$\begin{aligned} R(z) &= \frac{z^{n+s+1}}{n+s+1} - \frac{\sum_{i=1}^s a'_i}{n+s} z^{n+s} + \frac{\sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq s} a'_i a'_j}{n+s-1} z^{n+s-1} \\ &\quad - \dots + \frac{(-1)^s a'_1 a'_2 \dots a'_s}{n+1} z^{n+1}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.11}$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} F &= (R(f))' = f^n (f - a_1)^{s_1} (f - a_2)^{s_2} \dots (f - a_\mu)^{s_\mu} f', \\ G &= (R(g))' = g^n (g - a_1)^{s_1} (g - a_2)^{s_2} \dots (g - a_\mu)^{s_\mu} g'. \end{aligned} \tag{2.12}$$

Using Lemmas 2.1, 2.2 and $\sum_{i=1}^\mu s_i = s$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} T(r, F) &\leq T(r, f^n (f - a_1)^{s_1} (f - a_2)^{s_2} \dots (f - a_\mu)^{s_\mu}) + T(r, f') \\ &\leq (n + s + 2)T(r, f) + S(r, f). \end{aligned}$$

Since $\sum_{i=1}^{\mu} s_i = s$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (n+s)T(r, f) &= T(r, f^n(f-a_1)^{s_1}(f-a_2)^{s_2}\dots(f-a_{\mu})^{s_{\mu}}) \\ &\leq T(r, F) + T(r, f') + O(1) \\ &\leq T(r, F) + 2T(r, f) + S(r, f). \end{aligned}$$

So, we have

$$(n+s-2)T(r, f) + S(r, f) \leq T(r, F) \leq (n+s+2)T(r, f) + S(r, f).$$

Hence we get $S(r, F) = S(r, f)$. Similarly, we can get $S(r, G) = S(r, g)$.

Now employing Lemma 2.2 we get

$$\begin{aligned} (n+s+1)T(r, f) &= T(r, R(f)) \\ &\leq T(r, (R(f))') + N(r, 0; R(f)) - N(r, 0; (R(f))') + S(r, f) \\ &= T(r, F) + N(r, 0; f) + \sum_{j=1}^s N(r, \alpha_j; f) - \sum_{i=1}^{\mu} s_i N(r, a_i; f) \\ &\quad - N(r, 0; f') + S(r, f), \end{aligned} \tag{2.13}$$

where $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_s$ are the roots of the equation

$$\frac{1}{n+s+1}z^s = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^s a'_i}{n+s}z^{s-1} - \frac{\sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq s} a'_i a'_j}{n+s-1}z^{s-2} + \dots + (-1)^{s-1} \frac{a'_1 a'_2 \dots a'_s}{n+1}.$$

Similarly, we get

$$\begin{aligned} (n+s+1)T(r, g) &\leq T(r, G) + N(r, 0; g) + \sum_{j=1}^s N(r, \alpha_j; g) - \sum_{i=1}^{\mu} s_i N(r, a_i; g) \\ &\quad - N(r, 0; g') + S(r, g). \end{aligned} \tag{2.14}$$

Now, without any loss of generality we suppose that there exists a set M with infinite measure such that $T(r, g) \leq T(r, f), r \in M$. We now consider three cases as follows:

Case I. Suppose $B \neq 0, -1$. Then from (2.10), we see that $\bar{N}(r, \frac{B+1}{B}; F^m) = \bar{N}(r, \infty; G^m)$. By the second fundamental theorem of Nevanlinna and $S(r, F^m) = S(r, f)$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} mT(r, F) &= T(r, F^m) \\ &\leq \bar{N}(r, \infty; F^m) + \bar{N}(r, 0; F^m) + \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{B+1}{B}; F^m\right) + S(r, f) \\ &= \bar{N}(r, \infty; F^m) + \bar{N}(r, 0; F^m) + \bar{N}(r, \infty; G^m) + S(r, f) \\ &\leq \bar{N}(r, \infty; f) + \bar{N}(r, 0; f) + \sum_{i=1}^{\mu} \bar{N}(r, a_i; f) + \bar{N}(r, 0; f') \end{aligned}$$

$$+\bar{N}(r, \infty; g) + S(r, f). \quad (2.15)$$

Using (2.13), (2.15) and noting that $\sum_{i=1}^{\mu} s_i = s$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} (n + s + 1)T(r, f) &\leq \frac{1}{m}\bar{N}(r, \infty; f) + \left(1 + \frac{1}{m}\right)N(r, 0; f) + \sum_{j=1}^s N(r, \alpha_j; f) \\ &\quad + \sum_{i=1}^{\mu} \left(\frac{1}{m} - s_i\right)N(r, a_i; f) + \frac{1}{m}\bar{N}(r, \infty; g) + S(r, f) \\ &\leq \left(s + 1 + \frac{2}{m}\right)T(r, f) + \frac{1}{m}T(r, g) + S(r, f) \end{aligned}$$

i.e., $(n - \frac{3}{m})T(r, f) \leq S(r, f)$, a contradiction as $n > s + 2 + \max\{0, \frac{3-s+\mu}{m}\} > \frac{3}{m}$.

Case II. Suppose that $B = 0$. From (2.10), we get that $\bar{N}(r, \frac{A-1}{A}; F^m) = \bar{N}(r, 0; G^m)$. We consider the following two subcases:

Subcase (i). $A \neq 1$. Then similarly as in (2.15), using Nevanlinna's second fundamental theorem and $S(r, F^m) = S(r, f)$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} mT(r, F) &\leq \bar{N}(r, \infty; F^m) + \bar{N}(r, 0; F^m) + \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{A-1}{A}; F^m\right) + S(r, f) \\ &\leq \bar{N}(r, \infty; f) + \bar{N}(r, 0; f) + \sum_{i=1}^{\mu} \bar{N}(r, a_i; f) + \bar{N}(r, 0; f') + \bar{N}(r, 0; g) \\ &\quad + \sum_{i=1}^{\mu} \bar{N}(r, a_i; g) + \bar{N}(r, 0; g') + S(r, f). \end{aligned} \quad (2.16)$$

Using $\sum_{i=1}^{\mu} s_i = s$ we get from (2.13) and (2.16),

$$\begin{aligned} (n + s + 1)T(r, f) &\leq \left(s + 1 + \frac{2}{m}\right)T(r, f) + \left(\frac{\mu + 3}{m}\right)T(r, g) + S(r, f) \\ &\leq \left(s + 1 + \frac{\mu + 5}{m}\right)T(r, f) + S(r, f) \end{aligned}$$

i.e., $(n - \frac{5+\mu}{m})T(r, f) \leq S(r, f)$, a contradiction as $n > s + 2 + \max\{0, \frac{3-s+\mu}{m}\} > \frac{5+\mu}{m}$.

Subcase (ii). $A = 1$. Then from (2.10), we obtain $F^m = G^m$, i.e., $F = tG$, where $t^m = 1$. Integrating we get, $R(f) = tR(g) + t_0$, where t_0 is a constant.

If $t_0 \neq 0$, by Nevanlinna's second fundamental theorem and Lemma 2.2 we get

$$\begin{aligned} (n + s + 1)T(r, f) &= T(r, R(f)) \\ &\leq \bar{N}(r, \infty; R(f)) + \bar{N}(r, 0; R(f)) + \bar{N}(r, t_0; R(f)) + S(r, f) \\ &\leq \bar{N}(r, \infty; R(f)) + \bar{N}(r, 0; R(f)) + \bar{N}(r, 0; R(g)) + S(r, f) \\ &\leq \bar{N}(r, \infty; f) + N(r, 0; f) + \sum_{j=1}^s N(r, \alpha_j; f) + N(r, 0; g) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & + \sum_{j=1}^s N(r, \alpha_j; g) + S(r, f) \\
 & \leq (s + 2)T(r, f) + (s + 1)T(r, g) + S(r, f) \\
 & \leq (2s + 3)T(r, f) + S(r, f)
 \end{aligned}$$

i.e., $(n - s - 2)T(r, f) \leq S(r, f)$, a contradiction as $n > s + 2 + \max\{0, \frac{3-s+\mu}{m}\}$. Thus $t_0 = 0$ and hence $R(f) = tR(g)$. Then by Lemma 2.3, we get $f \equiv tg$, where $t^m = 1$.

Case III. Let $B = -1$. Proceeding in a similar manner as in the proof of Case II, we can get $F^m G^m \equiv 1$, a contradiction by Lemma 2.5. This completes the proof of the lemma. \square

Lemma 2.7. *Let f and g be two nonconstant meromorphic functions and $n, m, s (> 1)$ be positive integers such that $n > 3s + 1$. Let $F = f^n(f - a)^s f'$ and $G = g^n(g - a)^s g'$, where $a \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$, and let one of f and g is meromorphic function having and only having multiple poles. If the two expressions ${}^s C_1 \frac{a}{n+s} g^{s-1} \sum_{\zeta=0}^{n+s-1} \left(\frac{f}{g}\right)^\zeta - {}^s C_2 \frac{a^2}{n+s-1} g^{s-2} \sum_{\zeta=0}^{n+s-2} \left(\frac{f}{g}\right)^\zeta + \dots + \frac{(-1)^{s-1} a^s}{n+1} \sum_{\zeta=0}^n \left(\frac{f}{g}\right)^\zeta$ and $\sum_{\zeta=0}^{n+s} \left(\frac{f}{g}\right)^\zeta$ have no common simple zero, and (2.10) holds, then $f \equiv tg$, where $t^m = 1$.*

Proof. Let

$$\begin{aligned}
 R_1(z) = & \frac{1}{n+s+1} z^{n+s+1} - {}^s C_1 \frac{a}{n+s} z^{n+s} + {}^s C_2 \frac{a^2}{n+s-1} z^{n+s-1} \\
 & - \dots + \frac{(-1)^s a^s}{n+1} z^{n+1}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Then we have $F = (R_1(f))' = f^n(f - a)^s f'$ and $G = (R_1(g))' = g^n(g - a)^s g'$. Now proceeding similarly as in the proof of Lemma 2.6, and using Lemmas 2.3 and 2.5 we can deduce the conclusion of the lemma. \square

3 Proof of the Theorems

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Let F and G be given as in equation (2.12) and $R(z)$ as in (2.11). From the assumptions of Theorem 1.1 we have, $E_k(S_m, F) = E_k(S_m, G)$ i.e., $E_k(1, F^m) = E_k(1, G^m)$. It is obvious that

$$\begin{aligned}
 N_2(r, 0; F^m) + N_2(r, \infty; F^m) & \leq 2N(r, 0; f) + 2 \sum_{i=1}^{\mu} \bar{N}(r, a_i; f) + 2\bar{N}(r, 0; f') \\
 & \quad + 2\bar{N}(r, \infty; f) + S(r, f),
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.1}$$

and

$$N_2(r, 0; G^m) + N_2(r, \infty; G^m) \leq 2N(r, 0; g) + 2 \sum_{i=1}^{\mu} \bar{N}(r, a_i; g) + 2\bar{N}(r, 0; g')$$

$$+2\bar{N}(r, \infty; g) + S(r, g). \quad (3.2)$$

Without loss of generality we may assume that the first η elements in the sequence $\{s_1, s_2, \dots, s_\mu\}$ are greater than 1. We now discuss the following three cases.

Case 1. Let $k \geq 3$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} & \bar{N}(r, 1; F^m) + \bar{N}(r, 1; G^m) + \bar{N}(r, 1; F^m) \geq k + 1 \\ & + \bar{N}(r, 1; G^m) \geq k + 1 - \bar{N}_E^1(r, 1; F^m) \\ \leq & \frac{1}{2}N(r, 1; F^m) + \frac{1}{2}N(r, 1; G^m) + S(r, F^m) + S(r, G^m) \\ \leq & \frac{m}{2}T(r, F) + \frac{m}{2}T(r, G) + S(r, F) + S(r, G). \end{aligned} \quad (3.3)$$

Let us suppose that F^m and G^m satisfy (i) of Lemma 2.4. Then using Lemma 2.2 and (3.3) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} mT(r, F) + mT(r, G) &= T(r, F^m) + T(r, G^m) \\ &\leq \frac{m}{2}T(r, F) + \frac{m}{2}T(r, G) + N_2(r, 0; F^m) + N_2(r, \infty; F^m) \\ &\quad + N_2(r, 0; G^m) + N_2(r, \infty; G^m) + S(r, F) + S(r, G) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{i.e., } T(r, F) + T(r, G) &\leq \frac{2}{m}N_2(r, \infty; F^m) + \frac{2}{m}N_2(r, 0; F^m) + \frac{2}{m}N_2(r, \infty; G^m) \\ &\quad + \frac{2}{m}N_2(r, 0; G^m) + S(r, F) + S(r, G). \end{aligned} \quad (3.4)$$

We now consider the following two subcases.

Subcase 1.1 Assume that $2 \leq m \leq 3$. Then $(\frac{4}{m} - s_i) \leq 0$, when $s_i \geq 2$ ($i = 1, 2, \dots, \eta$) and $(\frac{4}{m} - s_i) \leq 1$, when $s_i = 1$ ($i = \eta + 1, \eta + 2, \dots, \mu$). From (2.13), (2.14), (3.1), (3.2), (3.4) and noting that $\mu' = \mu - \eta$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & (n + s + 1)T(r, f) + (n + s + 1)T(r, g) \\ \leq & \left(1 + \frac{4}{m}\right)N(r, 0; f) + N(r, 0; f') + \sum_{j=1}^s N(r, \alpha_j; f) + \sum_{i=\eta+1}^{\mu} N(r, a_i; f) \\ & + \frac{4}{m}\bar{N}(r, \infty; f) + \left(1 + \frac{4}{m}\right)N(r, 0; g) + N(r, 0; g') + \sum_{j=1}^s N(r, \alpha_j; g) \\ & + \sum_{i=\eta+1}^{\mu} N(r, a_i; g) + \frac{4}{m}\bar{N}(r, \infty; g) + S(r, f) + S(r, g) \\ \leq & \left(s + \mu' + 3 + \frac{8}{m}\right)T(r, f) + \left(s + \mu' + 3 + \frac{8}{m}\right)T(r, g) + S(r, f) + S(r, g), \end{aligned}$$

which implies

$$\left(n - \mu' - 2 - \frac{8}{m}\right) \{T(r, f) + T(r, g)\} \leq S(r, f) + S(r, g). \quad (3.5)$$

Since $n > \max\{\mu' + 2 + \frac{8}{m}, s + 2 + \max\{0, \frac{3-s+\mu}{m}\}\}$, we get a contradiction from (3.5). Then from Lemma 2.4, we have

$$F^m = \frac{(B + 1)G^m + A - B - 1}{BG^m + A - B},$$

where $A(\neq 0), B$ are constants. Thus, by Lemma 2.6 and $n > \max\{\mu' + 2 + \frac{8}{m}, s + 2 + \max\{0, \frac{3-s+\mu}{m}\}\}$, we get $f \equiv tg$, where $t^m = 1$.

Subcase 1.2 Next we assume that $m \geq 4$. Then $(\frac{4}{m} - s_i) \leq 0$ for $s_i \geq 1$ ($i = 1, 2, \dots, \mu$). From (2.13), (2.14), (3.1), (3.2) and (3.4) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & (n + s + 1)T(r, f) + (n + s + 1)T(r, g) \\ \leq & \left(1 + \frac{4}{m}\right) N(r, 0; f) + \sum_{j=1}^s N(r, \alpha_j; f) + \frac{4}{m} \bar{N}(r, \infty; f) + \left(1 + \frac{4}{m}\right) N(r, 0; g) \\ & + \sum_{j=1}^s N(r, \alpha_j; g) + \frac{4}{m} \bar{N}(r, \infty; g) + S(r, f) + S(r, g) \\ \leq & \left(s + 1 + \frac{8}{m}\right) T(r, f) + \left(s + 1 + \frac{8}{m}\right) T(r, g) + S(r, f) + S(r, g) \end{aligned}$$

From this we obtain

$$\left(n - \frac{8}{m}\right) \{T(r, f) + T(r, g)\} \leq S(r, f) + S(r, g), \tag{3.6}$$

a contradiction since $n > s + 2 + \max\{0, \frac{3-s+\mu}{m}\} > \frac{8}{m}$. So from Lemma 2.4, we obtain that (2.10) holds. Thus, by Lemma 2.6, we have $f \equiv tg$, where $t^m = 1$.

Case 2. Let $k = 2$. We can easily see that

$$\begin{aligned} & \bar{N}(r, 1; F^m) + \bar{N}(r, 1; G^m) + \frac{1}{2} \bar{N}(r, 1; |F^m| \geq 3) + \frac{1}{2} \bar{N}(r, 1; |G^m| \geq 3) \\ & - \bar{N}_E^{-1}(r, 1; F^m) \\ \leq & \frac{1}{2} N(r, 1; F^m) + \frac{1}{2} N(r, 1; G^m) + S(r, F) + S(r, G) \\ \leq & \frac{m}{2} T(r, F) + \frac{m}{2} T(r, G) + S(r, F) + S(r, G). \end{aligned} \tag{3.7}$$

Assume that F^m and G^m satisfy (i) of Lemma 2.4. Then using Lemma 2.2 and (3.7) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} mT(r, F) + mT(r, G) &= T(r, F^m) + T(r, G^m) \\ &\leq \frac{m}{2} T(r, F) + \frac{m}{2} T(r, G) + N_2(r, 0; F^m) + N_2(r, \infty; F^m) \\ &\quad + N_2(r, 0; G^m) + N_2(r, \infty; G^m) + \frac{1}{2} \bar{N}(r, 1; |F^m| \geq 3) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \bar{N}(r, 1; |G^m| \geq 3) + S(r, F) + S(r, G), \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{i.e., } T(r, F) + T(r, G) &\leq \frac{2}{m}N_2(r, \infty; F^m) + \frac{2}{m}N_2(r, 0; F^m) + \frac{2}{m}N_2(r, \infty; G^m) \\
&\quad + \frac{2}{m}N_2(r, 0; G^m) + \frac{1}{m}\overline{N}(r, 1; F^m | \geq 3) \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{m}\overline{N}(r, 1; G^m | \geq 3) + S(r, F) + S(r, G). \quad (3.8)
\end{aligned}$$

Now

$$\begin{aligned}
\overline{N}(r, 1; F^m | \geq 3) &\leq \frac{1}{2}N\left(r, \infty; \frac{F^m}{(F^m)'}\right) \leq \frac{1}{2}N\left(r, \infty; \frac{(F^m)'}{F^m}\right) + S(r, F) \\
&\leq \frac{1}{2}\overline{N}(r, \infty; F^m) + \frac{1}{2}\overline{N}(r, 0; F^m) + S(r, F) \\
&\leq \frac{1}{2}\overline{N}(r, \infty; f) + \frac{1}{2}\overline{N}(r, 0; f) + \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^{\mu}\overline{N}(r, a_i; f) \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{2}\overline{N}(r, 0; f') + S(r, f). \quad (3.9)
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned}
\overline{N}(r, 1; G^m | \geq 3) &\leq \frac{1}{2}\overline{N}(r, \infty; g) + \frac{1}{2}\overline{N}(r, 0; g) + \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^{\mu}\overline{N}(r, a_i; g) \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{2}\overline{N}(r, 0; g') + S(r, g). \quad (3.10)
\end{aligned}$$

We now consider the following two subcases.

Subcase 2.1 We assume that $2 \leq m \leq 3$. Then $(\frac{4}{m} - s_i) \leq 0$, when $s_i \geq 2$ ($i = 1, 2, \dots, \eta$) and $(\frac{4}{m} - s_i) \leq 1$, when $s_i = 1$ ($i = \eta + 1, \eta + 2, \dots, \mu$). From (2.13), (2.14), (3.1), (3.2) and (3.8)-(3.10) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&(n + s + 1)T(r, f) + (n + s + 1)T(r, g) \\
&\leq \left(1 + \frac{9}{2m}\right)N(r, 0; f) + \sum_{j=1}^s N(r, \alpha_j; f) + \sum_{i=\eta+1}^{\mu} N(r, a_i; f) + \frac{1}{2m} \sum_{i=1}^{\mu} N(r, a_i; f) \\
&\quad + \frac{9}{2m}\overline{N}(r, \infty; f) + \left(1 + \frac{1}{2m}\right)N(r, 0; f') + \sum_{j=1}^s N(r, \alpha_j; g) + \sum_{i=\eta+1}^{\mu} N(r, a_i; g) \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{2m} \sum_{i=1}^{\mu} N(r, a_i; g) + \frac{9}{2m}\overline{N}(r, \infty; g) + \left(1 + \frac{9}{2m}\right)N(r, 0; g) \\
&\quad + \left(1 + \frac{1}{2m}\right)N(r, 0; g') + S(r, f) + S(r, g) \\
&\leq \left(s + \mu' + 3 + \frac{20 + \mu}{2m}\right)T(r, f) + \left(s + \mu' + 3 + \frac{20 + \mu}{2m}\right)T(r, g) \\
&\quad + S(r, f) + S(r, g).
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore we have

$$\left(n - \mu' - 2 - \frac{20 + \mu}{2m}\right) \{T(r, f) + T(r, g)\} \leq S(r, f) + S(r, g),$$

contradicts with $n > \max\{\mu' + 2 + \frac{20+\mu}{2m}, s + 2 + \max\{0, \frac{3-s+\mu}{m}\}\}$. Thus by Lemma 2.4, we obtain (2.10). Hence $f \equiv tg$, by Lemma 2.6, where $t^m = 1$.

Subcase 2.2 Next we assume that $m \geq 4$. Then $(\frac{4}{m} - s_i) \leq 0$ for $s_i \geq 1$ ($i = 1, 2, \dots, \mu$). Proceeding similarly as in Subcase 1.2, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & (n + s + 1)T(r, f) + (n + s + 1)T(r, g) \\ \leq & \left(1 + \frac{9}{2m}\right) N(r, 0; f) + \sum_{j=1}^s N(r, \alpha_j; f) + \frac{1}{2m} \sum_{i=1}^{\mu} N(r, a_i; f) + \frac{9}{2m} \bar{N}(r, \infty; f) \\ & + \frac{1}{2m} N(r, 0; f') + \left(1 + \frac{9}{2m}\right) N(r, 0; g) + \sum_{j=1}^s N(r, \alpha_j; g) + \frac{1}{2m} \sum_{i=1}^{\mu} N(r, a_i; g) \\ & + \frac{9}{2m} \bar{N}(r, \infty; g) + \frac{1}{2m} N(r, 0; g') + S(r, f) + S(r, g) \\ \text{i.e.,} & \quad \left(n - \frac{20 + \mu}{2m}\right) \{T(r, f) + T(r, g)\} \leq S(r, f) + S(r, g). \end{aligned}$$

Since $n > s + 2 + \max\{0, \frac{3-s+\mu}{m}\} > \frac{20+\mu}{2m}$, we get a contradiction. Therefore, by (ii) of Lemma 2.4 and Lemma 2.6, we obtain $f \equiv tg$, where $t^m = 1$.

Case 3. Let $k = 1$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} & \bar{N}(r, 1; F^m) + \bar{N}(r, 1; G^m) - \bar{N}_E^1(r, 1; F^m) \\ \leq & \frac{1}{2} N(r, 1; F^m) + \frac{1}{2} N(r, 1; G^m) + S(r, F) + S(r, G) \\ \leq & \frac{m}{2} T(r, F) + \frac{m}{2} T(r, G) + S(r, F) + S(r, G). \end{aligned} \tag{3.11}$$

Suppose that F^m and G^m satisfy (i) of Lemma 2.4. Then by Lemma 2.2 and (3.11) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} mT(r, F) + mT(r, G) & = T(r, F^m) + T(r, G^m) \\ & \leq \frac{m}{2} T(r, F) + \frac{m}{2} T(r, G) + N_2(r, 0; F^m) + N_2(r, \infty; F^m) \\ & \quad + N_2(r, 0; G^m) + N_2(r, \infty; G^m) + \bar{N}(r, 1; F^m | \geq 2) \\ & \quad + \bar{N}(r, 1; G^m | \geq 2) + S(r, F) + S(r, G). \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{i.e.,} \quad T(r, F) + T(r, G) & \leq \frac{2}{m} N_2(r, \infty; F^m) + \frac{2}{m} N_2(r, 0; F^m) + \frac{2}{m} N_2(r, \infty; G^m) \\ & \quad + \frac{2}{m} N_2(r, 0; G^m) + \frac{2}{m} \bar{N}(r, 1; F^m | \geq 2) \\ & \quad + \frac{2}{m} \bar{N}(r, 1; G^m | \geq 2) + S(r, F) + S(r, G). \end{aligned} \tag{3.12}$$

Now

$$\bar{N}(r, 1; F^m | \geq 2) \leq N\left(r, \infty; \frac{F^m}{(F^m)'}\right) \leq N\left(r, \infty; \frac{(F^m)'}{F^m}\right) + S(r, F)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq \bar{N}(r, \infty; F^m) + \bar{N}(r, 0; F^m) + S(r, F) \\
&\leq \bar{N}(r, \infty; f) + \bar{N}(r, 0; f) + \sum_{i=1}^{\mu} \bar{N}(r, a_i; f) \\
&\quad + \bar{N}(r, 0; f') + S(r, f). \tag{3.13}
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned}
\bar{N}(r, 1; G^m | \geq 2) &\leq \bar{N}(r, \infty; g) + \bar{N}(r, 0; g) + \sum_{i=1}^{\mu} \bar{N}(r, a_i; g) \\
&\quad + \bar{N}(r, 0; g') + S(r, g). \tag{3.14}
\end{aligned}$$

Subcase 3.1 We assume that $2 \leq m \leq 3$. Then $(\frac{4}{m} - s_i) \leq 0$, when $s_i \geq 2$ ($i = 1, 2, \dots, \eta$) and $(\frac{4}{m} - s_i) \leq 1$, when $s_i = 1$ ($i = \eta + 1, \eta + 2, \dots, \mu$). From (2.13), (2.14), (3.1), (3.2) and (3.12)-(3.14) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&(n + s + 1)T(r, f) + (n + s + 1)T(r, g) \\
&\leq \left(1 + \frac{6}{m}\right) N(r, 0; f) + \sum_{j=1}^s N(r, \alpha_j; f) + \sum_{i=\eta+1}^{\mu} N(r, a_i; f) + \frac{2}{m} \sum_{i=1}^{\mu} N(r, a_i; f) \\
&\quad + \frac{6}{m} \bar{N}(r, \infty; f) + \left(1 + \frac{2}{m}\right) N(r, 0; f') + \left(1 + \frac{6}{m}\right) N(r, 0; g) \\
&\quad + \sum_{j=1}^s N(r, \alpha_j; g) + \sum_{i=\eta+1}^{\mu} N(r, a_i; g) + \frac{2}{m} \sum_{i=1}^{\mu} N(r, a_i; g) + \frac{6}{m} \bar{N}(r, \infty; g) \\
&\quad + \left(1 + \frac{2}{m}\right) N(r, 0; g') + S(r, f) + S(r, g) \\
&\leq \left(s + \mu' + 3 + \frac{16 + 2\mu}{m}\right) T(r, f) + \left(s + \mu' + 3 + \frac{16 + 2\mu}{m}\right) T(r, g) \\
&\quad + S(r, f) + S(r, g),
\end{aligned}$$

which implies

$$\left(n - \mu' - 2 - \frac{16 + 2\mu}{m}\right) \{T(r, f) + T(r, g)\} \leq S(r, f) + S(r, g).$$

Since $n > \max\{\mu' + 2 + \frac{16 + 2\mu}{m}, s + 2 + \max\{0, \frac{3 - s + \mu}{m}\}\}$, we get a contradiction. Then from Lemma 2.4, we conclude that (2.10) holds. Thus, applying Lemma 2.6 we obtain $f \equiv tg$, where $t^m = 1$.

Subcase 3.2 Next we suppose that $m \geq 4$. Then proceeding similarly as in Subcase 1.2 and Subcase 3.1 we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&(n + s + 1)T(r, f) + (n + s + 1)T(r, g) \\
&\leq \left(1 + \frac{6}{m}\right) N(r, 0; f) + \sum_{j=1}^s N(r, \alpha_j; f) + \frac{2}{m} \sum_{i=1}^{\mu} N(r, a_i; f) + \frac{6}{m} \bar{N}(r, \infty; f)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \frac{2}{m} N(r, 0; f') + \left(1 + \frac{6}{m}\right) N(r, 0; g) + \sum_{j=1}^s N(r, \alpha_j; g) + \frac{2}{m} \sum_{i=1}^{\mu} N(r, a_i; g) \\
& + \frac{6}{m} \bar{N}(r, \infty; g) + \frac{2}{m} N(r, 0; g') + S(r, f) + S(r, g).
\end{aligned}$$

From this we have

$$\left(n - \frac{16 + 2\mu}{m}\right) \{T(r, f) + T(r, g)\} \leq S(r, f) + S(r, g),$$

a contradiction as $n > s + 2 + \max\{0, \frac{3-s+\mu}{m}\} \geq \frac{16+2\mu}{m}$ for $m \geq 4$ and for all s satisfying $s > 2, s \geq \mu$. Then using (ii) of Lemma 2.4 and Lemma 2.6 we obtain $f \equiv tg$, where $t^m = 1$. This proves Theorem 1.1. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.2. Arguing similarly as in the proof of Theorem 1.1 and applying Lemma 2.7, we can obtain the conclusion of the theorem. Here we omit the details. \square

Acknowledgement. The authors are thankful to the referee and the editor for reading the manuscript carefully and making valuable comments and suggestions towards the improvement of the paper.

References

- [1] Banerjee, A., *On uniqueness of meromorphic functions when two differential monomials share one value*, Bull. Korean Math. Soc. **44** (2007), 607-622.
- [2] Fang, C.Y., and Fang, M.L., *Uniqueness of meromorphic functions and differential polynomials*, Comput. Math. Appl. **44** (2002), 607-617.
- [3] Fang, M.L., and Hong, W., *A unicity theorem for entire functions concerning differential polynomials*, Indian J. Pure Appl. Math. **32** (2001), 1343-1348.
- [4] Gundersen, G.G., *Meromorphic functions that share three or four values*, J. London Math. Soc. **20** (1979), 457-466.
- [5] Hayman, W.K., *Meromorphic Functions*, The Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1964.
- [6] Lahiri, I., *Uniqueness of meromorphic functions when two linear differential polynomials share the same 1-points*, Ann. Polon. Math. **71** (1999), 113-128.
- [7] Lahiri, I., *Weighted value sharing and uniqueness of meromorphic functions*, Complex Var. Theory Appl. **46** (2001), 241-253.
- [8] Lahiri, I., and Pal, R., *Nonlinear differential polynomials sharing 1-points*, Bull. Korean Math. Soc. **43** (2006), 161-168.

- [9] Lahiri, I., and Sahoo, P., *Uniqueness of nonlinear differential polynomials sharing 1-points*, Georgian Math J. **12** (2005), 131-138.
- [10] Laine, I., *Nevanlinna Theory and Complex Differential Equations*, Walter de Gruyter, Berlin, New York, 1993.
- [11] Lin, W.C., and Yi, H.X., *Uniqueness theorems for meromorphic functions*, Indian J. Pure Appl. Math. **35** (2004), 121-132.
- [12] Meng, C., *Uniqueness of meromorphic functions sharing one value*, Applied Math. E-Notes **7** (2007), 199-205.
- [13] Mokhon'ko, A.Z., *On the Nevanlinna characteristics of some meromorphic functions*, Teor. Funct. Funct. Anal. Prilozh. **14** (1971), 83-87.
- [14] Sahoo, P., and Biswas, G., *Differential polynomials of meromorphic functions sharing a set of values*, Filomat **32** (2018), 457-472.
- [15] Sahoo, P., and Saha, B., *Uniqueness of meromorphic functions whose certain differential polynomials share a small function*, Acta Math Vietnamica **41** (2016), 25-36.
- [16] Xu, H.Y., *The shared set of meromorphic functions and differential polynomials*, J. Comput. Anal. Appl. **16** (2014), 942-954.
- [17] Xu, H.Y., Cao, T.B., and Liu, S., *Uniqueness results of meromorphic functions whose nonlinear differential polynomials have one nonzero pseudo value*, Mat. Vesnik **64** (2012), 1-16.
- [18] Xu, H.Y., Yi, C.F., and Cao, T.B., *Uniqueness of meromorphic functions and differential polynomials sharing one value with finite weight*, Ann. Polon. Math. **95** (2009), 55-66.
- [19] Yang, C.C., and Hua, X.H., *Uniqueness and value sharing of meromorphic functions*, Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Math. **22** (1997), 395-406.
- [20] Yang, C.C., and Yi, H.X., *Uniqueness theory of meromorphic functions*, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, Boston, London, 2003.