

## NEW FIXED POINT RESULTS ABOUT $F$ -CONTRACTIONS IN A COMPLETE METRIC SPACE

Alexandrina Maria PROCA<sup>\*,1</sup>

### Abstract

In this paper, we extend the results of Wardowski by applying some new conditions on the self map on a complete metric space, concerning the  $F$ -contractions defined by Wardowski. We present some fixed point results of Wardowski type. An example is given to demonstrate the novelty of our work.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: 47H10, 54H25.

*Key words*: : fixed point theory,  $F$  contraction, metric space, Banach contraction.

## 1 Introduction and preliminaries

It is known that the contraction mapping principle formulated by Banach in 1920 in his Ph.D dissertation and published in 1922 in [1] is one of the most important theorems in classical functional analysis. Because of its importance in mathematical theory many authors gave generalisations [2]-[15] of it in many directions. One of the most well-known generalisation of the Banach contraction principle is the Wardowski fixed point theorem [14].

Following this direction of research, in this paper, we will present some fixed point results of Wardowski type for self-mappings on complete metric spaces. Moreover, an example is given to illustrate the usability of these results.

**Definition 1.** A self-map  $T$  on a metric space  $(X, d)$  is said to be an  $F$ -contraction, if there exists  $F \in \mathcal{F}$  and  $\tau \in (0, \infty)$  such that

$$[d(Tx, Ty) > 0 \Rightarrow \tau + F(d(Tx, Ty)) \leq F(d(x, y))], \quad (\forall) x, y \in X,$$

where  $\mathcal{F}$  is the family of all functions  $F : (0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that

(F1)  $F$  is strictly increasing, i.e. for all  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}_+$ ,  $x < y \Rightarrow F(x) < F(y)$ ;

(F2) For each sequence  $\{\alpha_n\}$  of positive numbers,  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_n = 0$  if and only if

$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F(\alpha_n) = -\infty$ ;

(F3) There exists  $k \in (0, 1)$  such that  $\lim_{\alpha \rightarrow 0^+} \alpha^k F(\alpha) = 0$ .

---

<sup>1\*</sup> *Corresponding author*, Faculty of Mathematics and Informatics, *Transilvania* University of Braşov, Romania, e-mail: alexproca@unitbv.ro

Every  $F$ -contraction is contractive and necessarily continuous map.

**Theorem 1.** [14] Let  $(X, d)$  be a metric space and  $T : X \rightarrow X$  be an  $F$ -contraction. Then  $T$  has a unique fixed point  $x^* \in X$  and  $\{T^n x\} \rightarrow x^*$ .

Later, Wardowski and Van Dung [15] introduced the concept of an  $F$ -weak contraction as follows.

**Definition 2.** Let  $(X, d)$  be a metric space. A mapping  $T : X \rightarrow X$  is said to be an  $F$ -weak contraction on  $(X, d)$  if there exists  $F \in \mathcal{F}$  and  $\tau > 0$  such that,  $(\forall) x, y \in X$

$$d(Tx, Ty) > 0 \Rightarrow \tau + F(d(Tx, Ty)) \leq F(M(x, y)),$$

where

$$M(x, y) = \max \left\{ d(x, y), d(x, Tx), d(y, Ty), \frac{d(x, Ty) + d(y, Tx)}{2} \right\}.$$

**Theorem 2.** [15] Let  $(X, d)$  be a complete metric space and  $T : X \rightarrow X$  be a  $F$ -weak contraction. If  $T$  or  $F$  is continuous, then  $T$  has a unique fixed point  $x^* \in X$  and for all  $x \in X$ , the sequence  $\{T^n x\}$  converges to  $x^*$ .

Dung and Hang introduced the notation of a modified generalised  $F$ -contraction and proved new fixed point theorem. They generalised  $F$ -weak contraction as follows.

**Definition 3.** [5] Let  $(X, d)$  be a metric space. A mapping  $T : X \rightarrow X$  is said to be a generalised  $F$ -contraction on  $(X, d)$  if there exist  $F \in \mathcal{F}$  and  $\tau > 0$  such that

$$\forall x, y \in X, [d(Tx, Ty) > 0 \implies \tau + F(d(Tx, Ty)) \leq F(N(x, y))]$$

where

$$N(x, y) = \max \left\{ d(x, y), d(x, Tx), d(y, Ty), \frac{d(x, Ty) + d(y, Tx)}{2}, \frac{d(T^2x, x) + d(T^2x, Ty)}{2}, d(T^2x, Tx), d(T^2x, y), d(T^2x, Ty) \right\}$$

In 2016 Piri and Kumam replaced condition  $(F_3)$  in the definition of  $F$ -contraction introduced by Wardowski with

$(F'_3)$   $F$  is continuous  $(0, \infty)$ .

Using the family of all functions which satisfy conditions  $(F_1, F_2, F'_3)$ , they proved some Wardowski and Suzuki type fixed point theorem. [9]

## 2 Main results

The aim of this paper is to give another type of generalisation for Wardowski fixed point theorem. We give an example to show that our result is a proper extension of classical Wardowski fixed point theorem.

**Definition 4.** Let  $(X, d)$  be a metric space.  $T : X \rightarrow X$  is a Picard operator if and only if:

- (1)  $(\exists!) x^* \in X$  a fixed point for  $T$ ,
- (2)  $\{T^n x\}$  converges to  $x^*$ ,  $(\forall) x \in X$ .

**Theorem 3.** Let  $(X, d)$  be a complete metric space. Let  $T : X \rightarrow X$  and  $\tau > 0$  be such

$$(\forall) x, y \in X, [d(Tx, Ty) > 0 \Rightarrow \tau + F(d(Tx, Ty)) \leq F(M^*(x, y))] \quad (1)$$

where

1.  $F : (0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is strictly increasing:  $(\forall) x, y \in (0, \infty), x < y \Rightarrow F(x) < F(y)$ , and

$$M^*(x, y) = \max \left\{ \begin{aligned} & d(x, y) + |d(x, Tx) - d(y, Ty)|, \\ & d(x, Tx) + |d(y, Ty) - d(x, y)|, \\ & d(y, Ty) + |d(x, y) - d(x, Tx)|, \\ & \frac{d(x, Ty) + d(y, Tx) + |d(x, Tx) - d(y, Ty)|}{2} \end{aligned} \right\}. \quad (2)$$

2. There exists  $\tau > 0$ , such that  $\tau + \liminf_{u \rightarrow u_0} F(u) > \limsup_{u \rightarrow u_0} F(u)$ , for every  $u_0 > 0$ .

Then  $T$  is a Picard operator.

*Proof.* Let  $x_0 \in X$ . Put  $x_{n+1} = T^n x_0$ ,  $x_0 \in X$ , for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . If there exists  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $x_{n+1} = x_n$ , then  $x_{n+1} = Tx_n = x_n$ . That is  $x_n$  is a fixed point of  $T$ .

Now, we suppose that  $x_{n+1} \neq x_n$ , for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then  $d(x_n, x_{n+1}) > 0$ ,  $(\forall) n \in \mathbb{N}$ . We denote by

$$d_n = d(x_n, x_{n+1}), \quad (\forall) n \in \mathbb{N}. \quad (3)$$

If we put  $x = x_n$ ,  $y = x_{n+1}$  in (1), we deduce:

$$\begin{aligned} \tau + F(d(Tx_n, Tx_{n+1})) &= \tau + F(d(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2})) \\ &= \tau + F(d_{n+1}) \leq F(M^*(x_n, x_{n+1})), \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
 M^*(x_n, x_{n+1}) &= \max \left\{ d(x_n, x_{n+1}) + |d(x_n, Tx_n) - d(x_{n+1}, Tx_{n+1})|, \right. \\
 &\quad d(x_n, Tx_n) + |d(x_{n+1}, Tx_{n+1}) - d(x_n, x_{n+1})|, \\
 &\quad d(x_{n+1}, Tx_{n+1}) + |d(x_n, x_{n+1}) - d(x_n, Tx_n)|, \\
 &\quad \left. \frac{d(x_n, Tx_{n+1}) + d(x_{n+1}, Tx_n) + |d(x_n, Tx_n) - d(x_{n+1}, Tx_{n+1})|}{2} \right\} \\
 &= \max \left\{ d_n + |d_n - d_{n+1}|; d_n + |d_{n+1} - d_n|; d_{n+1} + |d_n - d_n|; \right. \\
 &\quad \left. \frac{d(x_n, x_{n+2}) + d(x_{n+1}, x_{n+1}) + |d_n - d_{n+1}|}{2} \right\} \quad (5) \\
 &= \max \left\{ d_n + |d_n - d_{n+1}|; d_{n+1}; \right. \\
 &\quad \left. \frac{d(x_n, x_{n+2}) + |d_n - d_{n+1}|}{2} \right\}.
 \end{aligned}$$

If  $d_n < d_{n+1}$ ,  $(\forall) n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$M^*(x_n, x_{n+1}) = \max \left\{ d_{n+1}; \frac{d(x_n, x_{n+2}) + d_{n+1} - d_n}{2} \right\}.$$

Using the triangle inequality

$$\frac{d(x_n, x_{n+2}) + d_{n+1} - d_n}{2} \leq \frac{d_n + d_{n+1} + d_{n+1} - d_n}{2} = d_{n+1}$$

so

$$M^*(x_n, x_{n+1}) = d_{n+1}.$$

From (4) we deduce

$$\tau + F(d_{n+1}) \leq F(d_{n+1}),$$

which is false for  $\tau > 0$ .

So,  $d_n \geq d_{n+1}$ ,  $(\forall) n \in \mathbb{N}$  and from (5)

$$\begin{aligned}
 M^*(x_n, x_{n+1}) &= \max \left\{ d_n + d_n - d_{n+1}; d_{n+1}; \right. \\
 &\quad \left. \frac{d(x_n, x_{n+2}) + d_n - d_{n+1}}{2} \right\}.
 \end{aligned}$$

But,  $d_n + d_n - d_{n+1} \geq d_n$ ,  $d_{n+1} \leq d_n$  and

$$\frac{d(x_n, x_{n+2}) + d_n - d_{n+1}}{2} \leq \frac{d_n + d_{n+1} + d_n - d_{n+1}}{2} = d_n,$$

then

$$M^*(x_n, x_{n+1}) = 2d_n - d_{n+1}. \quad (6)$$

By the assumption of the Theorem 3, specially from relation (1) we have the following result:

$$\tau + F(d_{n+1}) \leq F(2d_n - d_{n+1}). \quad (7)$$

We known that  $d_n \geq d_{n+1}$  and  $d_n > 0$ ,  $(\forall) n \in \mathbb{N}$  so  $\{d_n\}$  is convergent. Let now  $d = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d_n$ , and we suppose that  $d > 0$ . Taking the limit as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , we get

$$d_{n+1} \searrow d$$

and

$$2d_n - d_{n+1} \searrow d.$$

Because  $F$  is strictly increasing,

$$\tau + F(d + 0) \leq F(d + 0). \tag{8}$$

The contradiction obtained shows that

$$d_n \searrow 0 \tag{9}$$

We claim now, that  $\{x_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence on  $(X, d)$  wich is complete metric space. Suppose, on the contrary, that there exist  $\varepsilon > 0$  and sequences  $\{n(k)\}$ ,  $\{m(k)\}$  of positive integers such that  $n(k) > m(k) > k$ , and

$$d(x_{n(k)}, x_{m(k)}) > \varepsilon, \quad (\forall) k \geq 1 \tag{10}$$

$$d(x_{n(k)-1}, x_{m(k)}) \leq \varepsilon, \quad (\forall) k \geq 1. \tag{11}$$

Using the triangle inequality and (10) and (11), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon &< d(x_{n(k)}, x_{m(k)}) \\ &\leq d(x_{n(k)}, x_{n(k)-1}) + d(x_{n(k)-1}, x_{m(k)}). \end{aligned}$$

Taking the limit as  $k \rightarrow \infty$  in this inequality and using (9) we deduce

$$d(x_{n(k)}, x_{m(k)}) \searrow \varepsilon. \tag{12}$$

But

$$d(x_{n(k)-1}, x_{m(k)-1}) \leq d(x_{n(k)-1}, x_{n(k)}) + d(x_{n(k)}, x_{m(k)}) + d(x_{m(k)}, x_{m(k)-1})$$

so

$$|d(x_{n(k)-1}, x_{m(k)-1}) - d(x_{n(k)}, x_{m(k)})| \leq d(x_{n(k)-1}, x_{n(k)}) + d(x_{m(k)}, x_{m(k)-1}). \tag{13}$$

Taking the limit as  $k \rightarrow \infty$  in (13) we deduce

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} d(x_{n(k)-1}, x_{m(k)-1}) = \varepsilon. \tag{14}$$

In relation (1), we put  $x = x_{n(k)-1}$ ,  $y = x_{m(k)-1}$  and obtain

$$\tau + F(d(x_{n(k)}, x_{m(k)})) \leq F(M^*(x_{n(k)-1}, x_{m(k)-1})), \tag{15}$$

where

$$M^*(x_{n(k)-1}, x_{m(k)-1}) =$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \max \left\{ d(x_{n(k)-1}, x_{m(k)-1}) + \left| d(x_{n(k)-1}, Tx_{n(k)-1}) - d(x_{m(k)-1}, Tx_{m(k)-1}) \right|; \right. \\
&\quad d(x_{n(k)-1}, Tx_{n(k)-1}) + \left| d(x_{m(k)-1}, Tx_{m(k)-1}) - d(x_{n(k)-1}, x_{m(k)-1}) \right|; \\
&\quad d(x_{m(k)-1}, Tx_{m(k)-1}) + \left| d(x_{n(k)-1}, x_{m(k)-1}) - d(x_{n(k)-1}, Tx_{n(k)-1}) \right|; \\
&\quad \frac{1}{2} \left[ d(x_{n(k)-1}, Tx_{m(k)-1}) + d(x_{m(k)-1}, Tx_{n(k)-1}) + \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \left| d(x_{n(k)-1}, Tx_{n(k)-1}) - d(x_{m(k)-1}, Tx_{m(k)-1}) \right| \right] \left. \right\} \tag{16} \\
&= \max \left\{ d(x_{n(k)-1}, x_{m(k)-1}) + \left| d(x_{n(k)-1}, x_{n(k)}) - d(x_{m(k)-1}, x_{m(k)}) \right|; \right. \\
&\quad d(x_{n(k)-1}, x_{n(k)}) + \left| d(x_{m(k)-1}, x_{m(k)}) - d(x_{n(k)-1}, x_{m(k)-1}) \right|; \\
&\quad d(x_{m(k)-1}, x_{m(k)}) + \left| d(x_{n(k)-1}, x_{m(k)-1}) - d(x_{n(k)-1}, x_{n(k)}) \right|; \\
&\quad \frac{1}{2} \left[ d(x_{n(k)-1}, x_{m(k)}) - d(x_{m(k)-1}, x_{n(k)}) + \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \left| d(x_{n(k)-1}, x_{n(k)}) - d(x_{m(k)-1}, x_{m(k)}) \right| \right] \left. \right\}.
\end{aligned}$$

We will see that for  $k \rightarrow \infty$ , all the four terms which are in  $M^*$  tends to  $\varepsilon$ , be taking into account relation (9).

Taking the limit  $k \rightarrow \infty$  in (16) and using (12) and (14) we have  $M^*(x_{n(k)-1}, x_{m(k)-1}) \rightarrow \varepsilon$ , then it follows that

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} F(M^*(x_{n(k)-1}, x_{m(k)-1})) \leq F(\varepsilon + 0)$$

Also, taking the limit  $k \rightarrow \infty$  in (15), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\tau + \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} F((x_{n(k)}, x_{m(k)})) &\leq \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} F(M^*(x_{n(k)-1}, x_{m(k)-1})) \leq \\
&\leq \limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} F(M^*(x_{n(k)-1}, x_{m(k)-1})) \leq F(\varepsilon + 0), \text{ so} \\
\tau + F(\varepsilon + 0) &\leq F(\varepsilon + 0) \tag{17}
\end{aligned}$$

This is a contradiction. Therefore,  $\{x_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence and  $(X, d)$  is a complete metric space, so  $\{x_n\}$  converges to some point  $x^* \in X$ .

We shall prove that  $x^*$  is a fixed point of  $T$ . If there exist a sequence  $\{l(n)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  of a natural numbers such that  $x_{l(n)+1} = Tx_{l(n)} = Tx^*$ , then  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_{l(n)+1} = x^*$ , so  $Tx^* = x^*$ . Otherwise, there exist  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ , such that  $x_{n+1} = Tx_n \neq Tx^*, \forall n \geq N$ . Assume that  $Tx^* \neq x^*$ . For the assumption of the Theorem 3, for  $x = x_n, y = x^*$  we obtain

$$\tau + F(d(x_{n+1}, Tx^*)) \leq F(M^*(x_n, x^*)) \tag{18}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
M^*(x_n, x^*) &= \max \left\{ d(x_n, x^*) + \left| d(x_n, x_{n+1}) - d(x^*, Tx^*) \right|; \right. \\
&\quad d(x_n, x_{n+1}) + \left| d(x^*, Tx^*) - d(x_n, x^*) \right|; \\
&\quad d(x^*, Tx^*) + \left| d(x_n, x^*) - d(x_n, x_{n+1}) \right|; \\
&\quad \frac{1}{2} \left[ d(x_n, Tx^*) + d(x^*, x_{n+1}) + \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \left| d(x_n, x_{n+1}) - d(x^*, Tx^*) \right| \right] \left. \right\} \tag{19}
\end{aligned}$$

For  $x_n \rightarrow x^*$  it clear that  $M^*(x_n, x^*) \rightarrow d(x^*, Tx^*)$ , and

$$\tau + \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} F(d(x_{n+1}, Tx^*)) \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} F(M^*(x_n, x^*)) \leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} F(M^*(x_n, x^*))$$

For  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , we have  $d(x_{n+1}, Tx^*) \rightarrow d(x^*, Tx^*)$ , and  $M(x_n, x^*) \rightarrow d(x^*, Tx^*)$ , hence

$$\tau + \liminf_{u \rightarrow d(x^*, Tx^*)} F(u) \leq \liminf_{u \rightarrow d(x^*, Tx^*)} F(u) \leq \limsup_{u \rightarrow d(x^*, Tx^*)} F(u)$$

wich contradicts the second condition of the Theorem 3. Hence  $Tx^* = x^*$ .

Let now,  $x^*, y^*$  be two fixed points of  $T$  and suppose that  $x^* \neq y^*$ . It folows that  $d(Tx^*, Ty^*) > 0$  and from the hypotesis of the Theorem 3:

$$\tau + F(d(Tx^*, Ty^*)) \leq F(M^*(x^*, y^*)) \tag{20}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} M^*(x^*, y^*) &= \max \{d(x^*, y^*) + |d(x^*, Tx^*) - d(y^*, Ty^*)|; \\ &\quad d(x^*, Tx^*) + |d(y^*, Ty^*) - d(x^*, y^*)|; \\ &\quad d(y^*, Ty^*) + |d(x^*, y^*) - d(x^*, Tx^*)|; \\ &\quad \frac{1}{2} [d(x^*, Ty^*) + d(y^*, Tx^*) + \\ &\quad \quad + |d(x^*, Tx^*) - d(y^*, Ty^*)|]\} \\ &= d(x^*, y^*). \end{aligned} \tag{21}$$

We obtain a contradiction, which shows that condition  $x^* \neq y^*$  is false:

$$\tau + F(d(x^*, y^*)) \leq F(d(x^*, y^*))$$

so,

$$d(x^*, y^*) = 0 \Rightarrow x^* = y^*.$$

This proves than the fixed point of  $T$  is unique. □

**Example 1.** Let  $X = [0, 1]$ ,  $Tx = \begin{cases} 1, & x = 0 \\ \frac{1}{6}, & x \in (0, 1] \end{cases}$  and  $F(x) = \ln x$ ,  $d(x, y) = |x - y|$ . Then  $(X, d)$  is a complet metric space.

We choosing  $F(\alpha) = \ln \alpha$ ,  $\alpha \in (0, \infty)$  and. Since  $T$  is not continuous,  $T$  is not a contraction.

From definition of mapping  $T$ , we observe that  $d(Tx, Ty) > 0$ , only for two situations:

1.  $x \in (0, 1]$  and  $y = 0$  and
2.  $x = 0$ , and  $y \in (0, 1]$ , which can be reduced to only one, from symmetry of  $d(x, y)$ .

First we show that  $T$  does not satisfy the conditions of Theorem 1. Indeed, let  $\tau > 0$  arbitrary. We can write  $\tau = \ln a$ , with  $a > 1$ . If we take  $x = \frac{1}{2}$  and  $y = 0$ , then inequality

$$\tau + F(d(Tx, Ty)) \leq F(d(x, y))$$

is equivalent with

$$\ln a \cdot \frac{5}{6} \leq \ln \frac{1}{2} \quad (\text{false}).$$

So that  $T$  is not a  $F$ -weak contraction,

On the other hand, if we take  $\tau = \ln \frac{11}{10}$ , the conditions of Theorem 3 are satisfied. Indeed, first we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} M^*(x, 0) &= \max \{d(x, 0) + |d(x, Tx) - d(0, T0)|, \\ &\quad d(x, Tx) + |d(0, T0) - d(x, 0)|, \\ &\quad d(0, T0) + |d(x, 0) - d(x, Tx)|, \\ &\quad \frac{1}{2} [d(0, Tx) + d(x, T0) + \\ &\quad + |d(x, Tx) - d(0, T0)|]\} \\ &= \max \left\{ x + \left| \left| x - \frac{1}{6} \right| - 1 \right|, \right. \\ &\quad \left( \left| x - \frac{1}{6} \right| + |x - 1| \right), \left( 1 + \left| \left| x - \frac{1}{6} \right| - x \right| \right), \\ &\quad \left. \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{1}{6} + 1 - x + \left| \left| x - \frac{1}{6} \right| - 1 \right| \right) \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

We have the following cases:

1)  $x \in (0, \frac{1}{12}]$

$$\begin{aligned} M^*(x, 0) &= \max \left\{ x + \left| \left| x - \frac{1}{6} \right| - 1 \right|, \left| x - \frac{1}{6} \right| + |x - 1|, 1 + \left| \left| x - \frac{1}{6} \right| - x \right|, \right. \\ &\quad \left. \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{1}{6} + 1 - x + \left| \left| x - \frac{1}{6} \right| - 1 \right| \right) \right\} = \\ &= \max \left( 2x + \frac{5}{6}; \frac{7}{6} - 2x; \frac{7}{6} - 2x; 1 \right) = \frac{7}{6} - 2x \end{aligned}$$

So, relation (1) from Theorem 3 became  $\ln \frac{11}{10} \cdot \frac{5}{6} < \ln \left( \frac{7}{6} - 2x \right)$ , which is true for every  $x \in (0, \frac{1}{12}]$ .

2)  $x \in (\frac{1}{12}; \frac{1}{6}]$ ,  $M^*(x, 0) = \max \{2x + \frac{5}{6}; \frac{7}{6} - 2x; 2x + \frac{5}{6}; 1\}$

$M^*(x, 0) = 2x + \frac{5}{6}$ , so relation (1) from Theorem 3 became

$$\ln \frac{11}{10} \cdot \frac{5}{6} < \ln \left( 2x + \frac{5}{6} \right),$$

which is true for every  $x \in (\frac{1}{12}; \frac{1}{6}]$



3)  $\frac{1}{6} < x \leq 1$

$$M^*(x, 0) = \max \left\{ \frac{7}{6}, \frac{5}{6}, \frac{7}{6}, \frac{7}{6} - x \right\} = \frac{7}{6},$$

and relation (1) from Theorem 3 is true

$$\ln \frac{11}{10} \cdot \frac{5}{6} < \ln \frac{7}{6}$$

The second condition of Theorem 3 is satisfied by  $F(\alpha)$ , which is continuous,  $\forall \alpha > 0$ .

Since the conditions of Theorem 3 are satisfied, then  $T$  has a unique fixed point

## References

- [1] Banach, S., *Sur les operations dans les ensembles abstraits et leur application aux equations integrales*, Fundamenta Mathematicae **3** (1922), 133-181.
- [2] Berinde, V. and Vetro, F., *Common fixed points of mappings satisfying implicit contractive conditions*, Fixed Point Theory and Applications **2012** (2012), article ID 105.
- [3] Berinde, V. and Vetro, F., *Fixed point for cycling  $(\Psi, C)$ -contractions in  $O$ -complete partial metric spaces*, Filomat **27** (2013) 1405-1413.
- [4] Concertino, M., Vetro, P. *Fixed point results for  $F$ -Contractive Mappings of Hardy-Rogers type*, Filomat **28** (2014), no. 4, 715-722.
- [5] Van Dung, N. Hang, V.L.. *A fixed point theorem for generalised  $F$ -contractions on complete metric space*, Vietnam J. Math, **43**, (2015), 743-753.
- [6] Fulga, A. Proca, A.M., *Fixed point for Geraghty  $\varphi_E$ -contractions*, J. Non-linear Sci. Appl **10** (2017), no. 9, 5125-5131.
- [7] Popescu, O., *Some new fixed point theorems for  $\alpha$ -Geraghty contraction type maps in metric spaces*, Fixed Point Theory Appl. **2013** (2013), Article ID 329.
- [8] Piri, H. and Kumam, P., *Some fixed point theorems concerning  $F$ -contraction in complete metric spaces*, Fixed Point Theory Appl., **2014**, (2014), article ID 210.
- [9] Piri, H. and Kumam, P., *Wardowski type fixed point theorem in complete metric space*, Fixed Point Theory Appl. **2016** (2016), article 45.
- [10] Secelean, N.A., *Iterated function systems consisting of  $F$ -contractions*, Fixed Point Theory Appl. 2013, Article ID 277.
- [11] Suzuki, T. *A new type of fixed point theorem in metric spaces*, Nonlinear Anal. **71** (2009) 5313-5317 .

- [12] Suzuki, T., *Generalized distance and existence theorems in complete metric spaces*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **253**, 440-458 (2001).
- [13] Suzuki, T., *Several fixed point theorems concerning  $\tau$ -distance*, Fixed Point Theory Appl. **2004**, (2004) 195-209.
- [14] Wardowski, D., *Fixed points of a new type of contractive mappings in complete metric spaces*, Fixed Point Theory and Applications **2012**, (2012), article ID 94.
- [15] Wardowski, D., and Van Dung, N., *Fixed points of  $F$ -weak contractions on complete metric space*, Demonstr. Math. **47** (2014) 146-155.