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#### Abstract

The purpose of this paper is to construct a polynomial $R_{n}$ of degree at most $3 n-2$ satisfying weighted ( 0,$2 ; 0$ ) interpolation under certain conditions at the zeroes of $H_{n}$ and $H_{n}^{\prime}$, where $H_{n}$ stands for Hermite polynomial. Furthermore, we prove a convergence theorem for $R_{n}$.
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## 1 Introduction

In 1961, J Balázs [1] initiated the study of weighted ( 0,2 ) interpolation on the zeroes of $n^{\text {th }}$ ultraspherical polynomials. L. Szili [14] extended his study by taking roots as the zeroes of $n^{\text {th }}$ Hermite polyomials. In 1975, L.G. Pál [8] introduced a modification of Hermite-Fejér interpolation in which the function values and first derivatives were prescribed on two set of nodes $\left\{x_{k}\right\}_{k=1}^{n}$ and $\left\{y_{k}\right\}_{k=1}^{n}$. He considered they are distributed on the real line such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\infty<x_{1}<y_{1}<x_{2}<\ldots . .<x_{k}<y_{k} \ldots .<y_{n-1}<x_{n}<+\infty \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

He proved that, there exists a unique polynomial $P_{n}(x)$ of degree at most $2 n-1$ satisfying the following condition:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{c}
P_{n}\left(x_{k}\right)=\alpha_{k} \quad(k=1,2, \ldots, n),  \tag{2}\\
P_{n}^{\prime}\left(y_{k}\right)=\beta_{k} \quad(k=1,2, \ldots, n-1),
\end{array}\right.
$$

[^0]with initial condition $P_{n}\left(x_{0}\right)=0$, where $x_{0}$ is a given point different from the nodal points and $\left\{\alpha_{k}\right\}_{k=1}^{n},\left\{\beta_{k}\right\}_{k=1}^{n-1}$ are arbitrary numbers. Later, S.A. Eneduanya [2] proved the convergence for $P_{n}(x)$ on the roots of $\pi_{n}(x)$.

In 1985, L. Szili [15] applied this interpolation process by taking the mixed zeroes of $H_{n}(x)$ and its derivative on infinite interval. For $n$ even, he showed that there exists a uniquely determined polynomial $P_{n}^{*}(x)$ of degree $\leq 2 n-1$, satisfying the conditions:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\{\begin{array}{c}
P_{n}^{*}\left(x_{k}\right)=\alpha_{k}^{*} \quad(k=1,2, \ldots, n), \\
P_{n}^{* \prime}\left(y_{k}\right)=\beta_{k}^{*} \quad(k=1,2, \ldots, n-1),
\end{array}\right.  \tag{3}\\
& P_{n}^{*}(0)=-2 \sum_{i=0}^{n} \alpha_{k}^{*}\left[\frac{H_{n}(o)}{H_{n}^{\prime}\left(x_{k}\right)}\right]^{2} \tag{4}
\end{align*}
$$

which is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{n}^{*}(x)=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_{k}^{*} A_{k}(x)+\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \beta_{k}^{*} B_{k}(x) \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

and uniqueness does not hold for taking $n$ odd. Furthermore, he proved the convergence theorem for $P_{n}^{*}(x)$. In 1994, I. Joó [5] improved Szili [14] result by modifying the estimate of the fundamental polynomials.

In 1999, Z.F. Sebestyen [9] improved the result of L. Szili [14] and I. Zoo[5] by replacing the condition with an interpolatory condition $P_{n}^{*}(0)=\alpha_{0}$ for $n$ even, where $\alpha_{0}$ is an arbitrary number.

Srivastava and Mathur [12], studied mixed type weighted $(0 ; 0,2)$ interpolation on the mixed zeroes of $H_{n}(x)$ and its derivative which means to determine a polynomial $R_{n}^{*}(x)$ of degree at most $3 n-2$ satisfies the following conditions:

$$
\left\{\begin{align*}
P_{n}^{* *}\left(x_{k}\right)=\alpha_{*}^{* *} \quad(k=1 \ldots . . n)  \tag{6}\\
P_{n}^{* *}\left(y_{k}\right)=\beta_{k}^{* *} \quad(k=1, .2, \ldots, n-1), \\
\left(e^{\frac{-x^{2}}{2}} P_{n}^{* *}\right)^{\prime \prime}\left(y_{k}\right)=\gamma_{k}^{* *} \quad(k=1,2, \ldots, n-1),
\end{align*}\right.
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{n}^{* *}(0)=\sum_{i=0}^{n} \alpha_{k}^{* *} \frac{H_{n}^{\prime \prime}(o) l_{k}^{2}(0)}{H_{n}^{\prime}\left(x_{k}\right)} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $n$ even, they proved that, there exists a unique polynomial of degree at most $3 n-2$ satisfying (6)-(7) and for $n$ odd, uniqueness does not exist. Furthermore they proved the convergence theorem for $R_{n}^{*}(x)$.

Also, several authors [12], [10], [6] have studied mixed type interpolation with different conditions on different nodes.

In this paper, we studying the $(0,2 ; 0)$ - interpolation on the zeroes of $H_{n}(x)$ and its derivative with Z.F Sebestyen's[9] conditions.

We have given the following problem.

## Problem:

Let $x_{0}=0$ be a real number differing from the interscaled system of nodal points (1) where $\left\{x_{k}\right\}_{k=1}^{n}$ and $\left\{y_{k}\right\}_{k=1}^{n-1}$ are the zeroes of $H_{n}(x)$ and $H_{n}^{\prime}(x)$ respectively. We search for a possible minimal degree polynomial $R_{n}(x)$ which satisfies the following interpolation conditions:

$$
\left\{\begin{align*}
R_{n}\left(x_{k}\right)=g_{k} & (k=0,1 \ldots . n)  \tag{8}\\
R_{n}\left(y_{k}\right)=g_{k}^{*} & (k=1, .2, \ldots, n-1), \\
\left(e^{\frac{-x^{2}}{2}} R_{n}\right)^{\prime \prime}\left(y_{k}\right)=g_{k}^{* *} & (k=1,2, \ldots, n-1)
\end{align*}\right.
$$

## 2 Preliminaries

In this section, we gave some well-known results, which we will use to prove Theorem 1, Lemma 1, Lemma 2, Lemma 3 and Theorem 2.

The differential equation satisfied by $H_{n}(x)$ is given by

$$
\begin{gather*}
H_{n}^{\prime \prime}(x)-2 x H_{n}^{\prime}(x)+2 n H_{n}(x)=0  \tag{9}\\
H_{n}^{\prime}(x)=2 n H_{n-1}(x) \tag{10}
\end{gather*}
$$

The fundamental polynomials of Lagrange interpolation corresponding to the nodal point $x_{k}$ and $y_{k}$ are given by

$$
\begin{gather*}
l_{k}(x)=\frac{H_{n}(x)}{H_{n}^{\prime}\left(x_{k}\right)\left(x-x_{k}\right)} \quad k=1 \ldots . n  \tag{11}\\
L_{k}(x)=\frac{H_{n}^{\prime}(x)}{H_{n}^{\prime \prime}\left(y_{k}\right)\left(x-y_{k}\right)} \quad k=1 \ldots . n-1 \tag{12}
\end{gather*}
$$

and they satisfy the conditions given below

$$
\begin{gather*}
l_{k}\left(x_{j}\right)=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
0 & \text { for } \quad j \neq k \\
1 & \text { for } \\
j=k
\end{array} \quad \text { for } k=1 \ldots . n\right.  \tag{13}\\
L_{k}\left(y_{j}\right)=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
0 & \text { for } \quad j \neq k \\
1 & \text { for } \\
j
\end{array} \quad\right. \text { for } \tag{14}
\end{gather*} \quad k=1 \ldots . n-1 .
$$

G. Szegö, [13] gave following results:

For the roots of $H_{n}(x)$, we have

$$
\begin{gather*}
x_{k}^{2} \sim \frac{k^{2}}{n}  \tag{18}\\
H_{n}(x)=O\left(n^{\frac{1}{4}} \sqrt{2^{n} n!}(1+\sqrt[3]{|x|}) e^{\frac{x_{k}^{2}}{2}}\right) \quad x \in R  \tag{19}\\
\left|l_{k}(x)\right|=O(1) \frac{2^{n+1} n!\sqrt{n} e^{\frac{\nu\left(x^{2}+x_{k}^{2}\right)}{2}}}{H_{n}^{\prime 2}\left(x_{k}\right)} \nu>1 \text { and } k=1 \ldots n \tag{20}
\end{gather*}
$$

R. Srivastava and K.K Mathur [11], proved that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|L_{k}(x)\right|=O\left(\frac{2^{n} n!e^{\frac{\nu\left(x^{2}+y_{k}^{2}\right)}{2}}}{\sqrt{n} H_{n}^{2}\left(y_{k}\right)}\right) \nu>1 \text { and } k=1 \ldots n-1 \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

L. Szili [15] gave following results

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left|H_{n}^{\prime}\left(x_{k}\right)\right| \geq c_{1} n^{\frac{1}{4}} \sqrt{2^{n+1} n!} e^{\frac{\delta x_{k}^{2}}{2}} \quad(i=1 \ldots . n)  \tag{22}\\
\left|H_{n}\left(y_{k}\right)\right| \geq c_{2} n^{\frac{-1}{4}} \sqrt{2^{n+1} n!} e^{\frac{\delta y_{k}^{2}}{2}} \quad(i=1 \ldots . n-1), \tag{23}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $c_{1}, c_{2}$ are constants which are independent of $n$ and $0<\delta<1$ is an arbitrarily given real number. He also proved that

$$
\begin{gather*}
\sum_{i=0}^{n} e^{-\epsilon x_{k}^{2}}=O(\sqrt{n})  \tag{24}\\
\sum_{i=0}^{n} \frac{e^{\delta x_{k}^{2}}}{H_{n}^{\prime 2}\left(x_{k}\right)}=O\left(2^{n+1} n!\right)^{-1} \tag{25}
\end{gather*}
$$

Definition: $\omega(f, \delta)$ denotes the special form of modulus of continuity introduced by G.Freud [4], given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega(f, \delta)=\sup _{0 \leq t \leq \delta}\{\|W(x+t) f(x+t)-W(x) f(x)\|+\|\tau(\delta x) W(x) f(x)\|\} \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\tau(x)=\left\{\begin{array}{rl}
|x| & \text { for }
\end{array}|x| \leq 1010 \text { for }|x|>1 ~ \$\right.
$$

and $\|\cdot\|$ denotes the sup-norm in $C(\mathbb{R})$, if $f \in C(\mathbb{R})$ and $\lim _{|x| \rightarrow \infty} W(x) f(x)=0$ then $\lim _{\delta \rightarrow 0} \omega(f, \delta)=0$.
G.Freud[3](Theorem 4) and Theorem 1[1] gave the following results:

Let $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be continuously differentiable. Further, let

$$
\left\{\begin{align*}
\lim _{|x| \rightarrow+\infty} x^{2 k} f(x) e^{\frac{-x^{2}}{2}}=0 \quad(k=0,1, \ldots .) \quad x \in \mathbb{R}  \tag{27}\\
\text { and } \lim _{|x| \rightarrow+\infty} f^{\prime}(x) e^{\frac{-x^{2}}{2}}=0 \quad x \in \mathbb{R}
\end{align*}\right.
$$

then there exists a polynomial $Q_{n}(x)$ of degree $\leq n$ such that

$$
\begin{gather*}
e^{\frac{-x^{2}}{2}}\left|f(x)-Q_{n}(x)\right|=O\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\right) \omega\left(f^{\prime} ; \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\right)  \tag{28}\\
e^{\frac{-x^{2}}{2}}\left|f^{\prime}(x)-Q_{n}^{\prime}(x)\right|=O(1) \omega\left(f^{\prime} ; \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\right) \tag{29}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $\omega$ stands for modulus of continuity defined by (26).
Szili[14]( Lemma 4, Theorem 4) established the following. For $x \in R$

$$
\begin{align*}
& e^{\frac{-x^{2}}{2}}\left|Q_{n}(x)\right|=O(1)  \tag{30}\\
& e^{\frac{-x^{2}}{2}}\left|Q_{n}^{\prime}(x)\right|=O(1) \tag{31}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
e^{\frac{-x^{2}}{2}}\left|Q_{n}^{\prime \prime}(x)\right|=O(1) \sqrt{n} \omega\left(f^{\prime} ; \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\right), \text { for }|x|<\sqrt{2 n+1} \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 3 Explicit representation of interpolatory polynomial

In this section, we have proved explicit representation of fundamental polynomials.

Theorem 1. There exists a polynomial

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{n}(x)=\sum_{k=0}^{n} g_{k} A_{k}(x)+\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} g_{k}^{*} B_{k}(x)+\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} g_{k}^{* *} C_{k}(x) \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

of degree $3 n$-2 satisfying condition (8), where $A_{k}(x)(k=0,1,2 \ldots, n)$ and $B_{k}(x)$ $(k=1,2 \ldots ., n-1)$ are the fundamental polynomial of first kind and $C_{k}(x)(k=$ $1,2 \ldots ., n-1)$ are fundamental polynomials of second kind of weighted (0,2;0) interpolation. Each such fundamental polynomials of degree at most $3 n-2$ is given by

$$
\begin{gather*}
A_{0}(x)=\frac{H_{n}{ }^{\prime}(x) H_{n}(x)}{H_{n}{ }^{\prime}(0) H_{n}(0)}  \tag{34}\\
A_{k}(x)=\frac{x^{n} H_{n}{ }^{\prime}(x) l_{k}(x)}{x_{k}^{n} H_{n}{ }^{\prime}\left(x_{k}\right)}+\frac{2 H_{n}(x) H_{n}^{\prime}(x)}{x_{k}^{n}\left(H_{n}^{\prime}\left(x_{k}\right)\right)^{2}} \int_{0}^{x} \frac{(n+1) t^{n}-n t^{n-1} x_{k}}{\left(t-y_{k}\right)^{2}} d t \tag{35}
\end{gather*}
$$

$$
\begin{gather*}
B_{k}(x)=\frac{x^{n} H_{n}(x) L_{k}(x)}{y_{k}^{n} H_{n}\left(y_{k}\right)}+\frac{H_{n}(x) H_{n}^{\prime}(x)}{n y_{k}^{n} H_{n}^{2}\left(y_{k}\right)} \int_{0}^{x} \frac{(n-1) t^{n}-y_{k} t^{n-1}}{\left(t-y_{k}\right)^{2}} d t  \tag{36}\\
-e^{\frac{-y_{k}^{2}}{2}}\left(y_{k}^{2}+(n-1) y_{k}^{-2}-(3 n+2)\right) C_{k}(x) \\
C_{k}(x)=-\frac{H_{n}(x) H_{n}{ }^{\prime}(x) e^{\frac{y_{k}^{2}}{2}}}{4 n H_{n}^{2}\left(y_{k}\right)} \int_{0}^{x} L_{k}(t) d t \tag{37}
\end{gather*}
$$

Proof. It is enough to show that the polynomials $A_{k}(x)(k=0,1,2 \ldots, n), B_{k}(x)$ ( $k=1,2 \ldots, n-1$ ), and $C_{k}(x)(k=1,2 \ldots, n-1)$ have the following properties:

$$
\begin{gather*}
A_{k}\left(x_{j}\right)=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
0 & \text { for } \\
1 & \text { for } \\
1 & j=k
\end{array} \quad \text { for } \quad(j, k=0, \ldots, n),\right.  \tag{38}\\
A_{k}\left(y_{j}\right)=0 \quad(j=1 \ldots n-1, k=0, \ldots, n), \\
\left(e^{\frac{-x^{2}}{2}} A_{k}\right)^{\prime \prime}\left(y_{j}\right)=0 \quad(j=1, \ldots n-1, k=0, \ldots, n) \\
B_{k}\left(y_{j}\right)=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
0 & \text { for } \quad j \neq k \\
1 & \text { for } \quad j=k
\end{array} \quad \text { for } \quad(j, k=1, \ldots, n-1),\right.  \tag{39}\\
B_{k}\left(x_{j}\right)=0 \quad(j=0, \ldots n, k=1, \ldots, n-1), \\
\left(e^{\frac{-x^{2}}{2}} B_{k}\right)^{\prime \prime}\left(y_{j}\right)=0 \quad(j, k=1, \ldots, n-1)
\end{gather*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left(e^{\frac{-x^{2}}{2}} C_{k}\right)^{\prime \prime}\left(y_{j}\right)=\left\{\begin{array}{lll}
0 & \text { for } & j \neq k \\
1 & \text { for } & j=k
\end{array} \quad \text { for } \quad(j, k=1, \ldots, n-1),\right.  \tag{40}\\
C_{k}\left(y_{j}\right)=0, \quad(j, k=0, \ldots, n-1), \\
C_{k}\left(x_{j}\right)=0 \quad(j=0, \ldots n, k=1, . ., n-1) .
\end{gather*}
$$

First, we construct the polynomials $C_{k}(x)$. Let k be fixed $(\mathrm{k} \in\{1, \ldots, \mathrm{n}-1\})$, from (40) it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{k}(x)=H_{n}(x) H_{n}^{\prime}(x) q_{k}(x), \tag{41}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $p_{k}(x)$ is the polynomial such that,

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{k}(0)=0 . \tag{42}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (41), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(e^{\frac{-x^{2}}{2}} C_{k}\right)^{\prime \prime}\left(y_{j}\right)=4 n e^{\frac{-y_{k}^{2}}{2}} H_{n}^{2}\left(y_{j}\right) q_{k}^{\prime}\left(y_{j}\right), \tag{43}
\end{equation*}
$$

(43) satisfies (40), only if,

$$
\begin{equation*}
q_{k}(x)=\frac{1}{4 n e^{\frac{-y_{k}^{2}}{2}} H_{n}^{2}\left(y_{j}\right) q_{k}^{\prime}\left(y_{j}\right)} \int_{0}^{x} L_{k}(t) d t \tag{44}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining (44), (41), we obtain (37). Obviously, $C_{k}(x)$ is a polynomial of degree $3 n-2$, which satisfies (40). Second, we construct $B_{k}(x)$, k be fixed ( $\mathrm{k} \in\{1, \ldots \mathrm{n}-1\}$ ). We look for $B_{k}(x)$ in the following form

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{k}(x)=c_{1} x^{n} H_{n} L_{k}(x)+H_{n}(x) H_{n}^{\prime}(x) w_{k}(x)+c_{2} . C_{k}(x) \tag{45}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $w_{k}(x)$ is the suitable polynomial for which

$$
\begin{equation*}
w_{k}(0)=0 \tag{46}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $c_{1}, c_{2}$ are arbitrary constants. According to (39) $q_{k}(x)$, for $\mathbf{j} \neq \mathrm{k}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{k}\left(y_{j}\right)=0 \tag{47}
\end{equation*}
$$

and for $j=k$

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{k}\left(y_{k}\right)=1 \Longrightarrow c_{1}=\frac{1}{y_{k}^{n} H_{n}\left(y_{k}\right)} \tag{48}
\end{equation*}
$$

from (45) and (39) we get for $j \neq k$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(e^{\frac{-x^{2}}{2}} B_{k}\right)^{\prime \prime}\left(y_{j}\right) \\
= & \frac{2 e^{\frac{-y_{j}^{2}}{2}} H_{n}^{2}\left(y_{j}\right)}{H_{n}\left(y_{k}\right)}\left[\frac{(n-1) y_{j}^{n}-y_{k} y_{j}^{n-1}}{\left(y_{j}-y_{k}\right)^{2}}\right]-2 n e^{\frac{-y_{j}^{2}}{2}} H_{n}^{2}\left(y_{j}\right) w_{k}^{\prime}(x)=0 \tag{49}
\end{align*}
$$

for $j=k$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(e^{\frac{-x^{2}}{2}} B_{k}\right)^{\prime \prime}\left(y_{k}\right)=e^{\frac{-y_{k}^{2}}{2}}\left(y_{k}^{2}+(n-1)-(3 n-2)\right)+c_{2}=0 \tag{50}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (49) and (50), we conclude that

$$
\begin{equation*}
w_{k}(x)=\frac{1}{n} \int_{0}^{x} \frac{(n-1) t^{n}-y_{k} t^{n-1}}{\left(t-y_{k}\right)^{2}} d t \tag{51}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{2}=-e^{\frac{-y_{k}^{2}}{2}}\left(y_{k}^{2}+(n-1) y_{k}^{-2}-(3 n-2)\right) \tag{52}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining (48), (51) and (52), we get (36). It is easy to see that, $B_{k}(x)$ is a polynomial of degree $3 n-2$, which satisfies (39).
Proof of $A_{k}(x)$ is like proof of $B_{k}(x)$.

## 4 Order of convergence of fundamental polynomials

In this Section, we the compute order of convergence of fundamental polynomials, which is required to prove theorem 2

Lemma 1. For $k=0,1 \ldots . n$ and $x \in(-\infty,+\infty)$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=0}^{n} e^{\beta x_{k}^{2}}\left|A_{k}(x)\right|=O(\sqrt{n} \log n) e^{\nu x^{2}} \quad \text { for } \quad \nu>\frac{3}{2}, 0<\beta<1 \tag{53}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $A_{k}(x)$ is given by (35)

Proof. From (35) we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\sum_{k=0}^{n} e^{\beta x_{k}^{2}}\left|A_{k}(x)\right| \leq & \sum_{k=0}^{n} e^{\beta x_{k}^{2}} \frac{\left|x^{n}\right|\left|H_{n}{ }^{\prime}(x)\right|\left|l_{k}(x)\right|}{\left|x_{k}^{n}\right|\left|H_{n}{ }^{\prime}\left(x_{k}\right)\right|} \\
& +\sum_{k=0}^{n} e^{\beta x_{k}^{2}} \frac{2\left|H_{n}(x)\right|\left|H_{n}^{\prime}(x)\right|}{\left|x_{k}^{n}\right|\left|\left(H_{n}^{\prime}\left(x_{k}\right)\right)^{2}\right|}\left|\int_{0}^{x} \frac{(n+1) t^{n}-n t^{n-1} x_{k}}{\left(t-y_{k}\right)^{2}} d t\right|  \tag{54}\\
& \leq \zeta_{1}+\zeta_{2}
\end{align*}
$$

using (10), (18), (19), (20), (22) and (24), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\zeta_{1}=\sum_{k=0}^{n} e^{\beta x_{k}^{2}} \frac{2 n\left|x^{n}\right|\left|H_{n-1}(x)\right|\left|l_{k}(x)\right|}{\left|x_{k}^{n}\right|\left|H_{n}{ }^{\prime}\left(x_{k}\right)\right|}=O(\sqrt{n}) e^{\nu x^{2}} \text { for } \nu>\frac{3}{2} \tag{55}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using (10), (18) (19), and (25), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\zeta_{2}=O(\log n) \sum_{k=0}^{n} e^{\beta x_{k}^{2}} \frac{4 n\left|H_{n}(x)\right|\left|H_{n-1}(x)\right|}{\left|x_{k}^{n}\right|\left|\left(H_{n}^{\prime}\left(x_{k}\right)\right)^{2}\right|}=O(\sqrt{n} \log n) e^{\nu x^{2}} \text { for } \nu>\frac{3}{2} \tag{56}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, by using (55) and (56) in (54), we get the required lemma.
Lemma 2. For $k=1 \ldots . n-1$ and $x \in(-\infty,+\infty)$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} e^{\beta y_{k}^{2}}\left|B_{k}(x)\right|=O(\sqrt{n} \log n) e^{\nu x^{2}} \text { where } \quad \nu>\frac{3}{2}, 0<\beta<1 \tag{57}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $B_{k}(x)$ is given by (36)
Proof. From (36), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} e^{\beta y_{k}^{2}}\left|B_{k}(x)\right| \leq & \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} e^{\beta y_{k}^{2}} \frac{\left|x^{n}\right|\left|H_{n}(x)\right|\left|L_{k}(x)\right|}{\left|y_{k}^{n}\right|\left|H_{n}\left(y_{k}\right)\right|} \\
& -\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} e^{\beta y_{k}^{2}} \frac{\left|H_{n}(x)\right|\left|H_{n}^{\prime}(x)\right|}{n\left|y_{k}^{n}\right|\left|H_{n}^{2}\left(y_{k}\right)\right|}\left|\int_{0}^{x} \frac{(n-1) t^{n}-y_{k} t^{n-1}}{\left(t-y_{k}\right)^{2}} d t\right|  \tag{58}\\
& +\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} e^{\beta y_{k}^{2}}\left|\left(y_{k}^{2}+(n-1) y_{k}^{-2}-(3 n+2)\right)\right|\left|C_{k}(x)\right| \\
\leq & \zeta_{1}+\zeta_{2}+\zeta_{3}
\end{align*}
$$

By using (10), (19), (21), (23) and (24), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\zeta_{1}=O(\sqrt{n}) e^{\nu x^{2}} \text { for } \nu>\frac{3}{2} \tag{59}
\end{equation*}
$$

By using (10), (18) (19), (24), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\zeta_{2}=O(\log n) \sum_{k=0}^{n} e^{\beta x_{k}^{2}} \frac{2\left|H_{n}(x)\right|\left|H_{n-1}(x)\right|}{\left|y_{k}^{n}\right|\left|H_{n}^{2}\left(y_{k}\right)\right|}=O(\sqrt{n} \log n) e^{\nu x^{2}} \text { for } \nu>\frac{3}{2} \tag{60}
\end{equation*}
$$

By using (18), (26) and [11](lemma 5.3), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\zeta_{3}=O(\sqrt{n} \log n) e^{\nu x^{2}} \text { for } \nu>\frac{3}{2} \tag{61}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, by using (59)-(61) in (58), lemma follows.
Lemma 3. For $k=1,2, \ldots, n-1$ and $x \in(-\infty,+\infty)$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{k=1}^{n} e^{\beta y_{k}^{2}}\left|C_{k}(x)\right|=O\left(\frac{\log n}{\sqrt{n}}\right) e^{\nu x^{2}} \quad \text { where } \quad \nu>\frac{3}{2}, 0<\beta<1 \tag{62}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C_{k}(x)$ is given by (37)
Proof. Lemma follows from[11](lemma 5.3)

## 5 Main result: Convergence theorem of interpolatory polynomial

In this section, we have proved convergence theorem for interpolatory polynomial $R_{n}(x)$.

Theorem 2. Let the interpolated function $f: R \longrightarrow R$ be continuously differentiable such that

$$
\left\{\begin{align*}
\lim _{|x| \rightarrow+\infty} x^{2 k} f(x) \rho(x)=0 & (k=0,1, \ldots .)  \tag{63}\\
\lim _{|x| \rightarrow+\infty} f^{\prime}(x) \rho(x)=0 & \text {,where } \quad \rho(x)=e^{\frac{-x^{2}}{2}}
\end{align*}\right.
$$

further taking the number $\delta_{k}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta_{k}=O\left(\sqrt{n} e^{\delta y_{k}^{2}} \omega\left(f^{\prime} ; \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\right)\right), k=1, \ldots, n-1 \tag{64}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\omega$ is modulus of continuity of $f^{\prime}$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{n}(f, x)=\sum_{k=0}^{n} f\left(x_{k}\right) A_{k}(x)+\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} f\left(y_{k}\right) B_{k}(x)+\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \delta_{k} C_{k}(x) \tag{65}
\end{equation*}
$$

satisfies the relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
e^{-\nu x^{2}}\left|f(x)-R_{n}(x)\right|=O(\log n) \omega\left(f ; \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\right), \nu>\frac{3}{2} \tag{66}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Since $R_{n}(x)$ given by (33) is exact for all polynomials $Q_{n}(x)$ of degree $\leq$ 3n-2, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q_{n}(x)=\sum_{k=0}^{n} Q_{n}\left(x_{k}\right) A_{k}(x)+\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} Q_{n}\left(y_{k}\right) B_{k}(x)+\sum_{k=1}^{n-1}\left(e^{\frac{-x^{2}}{2}} Q_{n}\right)^{\prime \prime}\left(y_{k}\right) C_{k}(x) \tag{67}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using lemma (1)-(2), (65), (67) (26) and (28)-(31), it can be easily seen that,

$$
\begin{align*}
e^{-\nu x^{2}}\left|R_{n}(x)-f(x)\right| \leq & e^{-\nu x^{2}}\left|R_{n}(x)-f(x)\right|+e^{-\nu x^{2}} \sum_{k=0}^{n}\left|f\left(x_{k}\right)-Q_{n}\left(x_{k}\right)\right|\left|A_{k}(x)\right| \\
& +e^{-\nu x^{2}} \sum_{k=1}^{n-1}\left|f\left(y_{k}\right)-Q_{n}\left(y_{k}\right)\right|\left|B_{k}(x)\right| \\
& +e^{-\nu x^{2}} \sum_{k=1}^{n-1}\left|\left(e^{\frac{-x^{2}}{2}} Q_{n}\right)^{\prime \prime}\left(y_{k}\right)-\delta_{k}\right|\left|C_{k}(x)\right| \\
\leq & O(1) \omega\left(f^{\prime} ; \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\right)+O(\log n) \omega\left(f^{\prime} ; \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\right) \\
& +e^{-\nu x^{2}} \sum_{k=1}^{n-1}\left|e^{\frac{-y_{k}^{2}}{2}} Q_{n}^{\prime \prime}\left(y_{k}\right)\right|\left|C_{k}(x)\right| \\
& +e^{-\nu x^{2}} \sum_{k=1}^{n-1}\left|\left(e^{\frac{-y_{k}^{2}}{2}}\right)^{\prime} Q_{n}^{\prime}\left(y_{k}\right)\right|\left|C_{k}(x)\right| \\
& +e^{-\nu x^{2}} \sum_{k=1}^{n-1}\left|\left(e^{\frac{-y_{k}^{2}}{2}}\right)^{\prime \prime} Q_{n}\left(y_{k}\right)\right|\left|C_{k}(x)\right| \\
& +e^{-\nu x^{2}} \sum_{k=1}^{n-1}\left|\delta_{k} C_{k}(x)\right| \tag{68}
\end{align*}
$$

Thus by using lemma (3), (30)-(32) and (64) in (68), we get the proof of the required theorem.

## Conclusion:

Let $\left\{x_{k}\right\}_{k=1}^{n}$ and $\left\{y_{k}\right\}_{k=1}^{n-1}$ be the roots of Hermite polynomial $H_{n}(x)$ and its derivative $H_{n}{ }^{\prime}(x)$ respectively. If $\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{x})$ is a continuously differentiable function on $(-\infty,+\infty)$ satisfying (63), then their exist a polynomial $R_{n}(x)(33)$ satisfying condition (8), which uniformly converges to $\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{x})$ on $(-\infty,+\infty)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.
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