

INVO- k -CLEAN RINGS

Fatemeh RASHEDI^{*,1}

Abstract

In this paper, we offer a new generalization of the invo-clean ring that is called invo k -clean ring. Let $2 \leq k \in \mathbb{N}$. Then a ring R is called invo k -clean if for each $a \in R$ there exist $v \in \text{Inv}(R)$ and $e \in P_k(R)$ such that $a = v + e$. We obtain some properties of invo k -clean rings.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: 16U60, 16U99.

Key words: invo-clean ring, invo k -clean ring.

1 Introduction

Let R be an associative ring with identity. As usual, $U(R)$ denotes the set of all units of R , $\text{Inv}(R)$ the subset of $U(R)$ consisting of all involutions of R , $\text{Id}(R)$ the set of all idempotents of R and $\text{Nil}(R)$ the set of all nilpotents of R . Traditionally, $J(R)$ stands for the Jacobson radical of R . Let $2 \leq k \in \mathbb{N}$. Then an element $e \in R$ is said to be k -potent if $e^k = e$. Assume that $P_k(R)$ is the set of k -potent elements of ring R . A ring R is said to be clean if for each $a \in R$ there exist $u \in U(R)$ and $e \in \text{Id}(R)$ such that $a = u + e$ [1, 7]. A ring R is said to be invo-clean if for each $a \in R$ there exist $v \in \text{Inv}(R)$ and $e \in \text{Id}(R)$ such that $a = v + e$. If, in addition, $ve = ev$, R is said to be strongly invo-clean [2, 3, 4, 5]. In [3, Theorem 2.2] it is shown that, if R is an invo-clean ring and e is an idempotent, then the corner subring eRe is also invo-clean. In particular, if for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ the full matrix $n \times n$ ring $M_n(R)$ is invo-clean, then so is R . In [4, Corollary 2.16] it is shown that, if R is an invo-clean ring, then $J(R)$ is nil with index of nilpotence not exceeding 3. In [5, Corollary 3.2] it is proved that, a ring R of characteristic 2 is strongly invo-clean if and only if R is strongly nil-clean with index of nilpotence at most 2. In this paper, we introduce the notion of a invo k -clean ring as a new generalization of a invo-clean ring. Let $2 \leq k \in \mathbb{N}$. Then a ring R is said to be a invo k -clean if for each $a \in R$ there exist $v \in \text{Inv}(R)$ and $e \in P_k(R)$ such that $a = v + e$. We obtain an element-wise characterization of invo k -clean rings. The proofs of the obtained results in this article imitate those from the articles [2, 5].

^{1*} *Corresponding author*, Department of Mathematics, Technical and Vocational University (TVU), Tehran, Iran, e-mail: f-rashedi@tvu.ac.ir

2 Main results

We start in this section with the following notion, which motivates the writing of this short note.

Definition 2.1. *Let R be a ring and $2 \leq k \in \mathbb{N}$. Then an element $a \in R$ is called invo k -clean if there exist $v \in \text{Inv}(R)$ and $e \in P_k(R)$ such that $a = v + e$. A ring R is called invo k -clean if every element of R is invo k -clean.*

Every idempotent element of a ring R is an invo k -clean element, because $e = (2e - 1) + (1 - e)$, $(2e - 1)^2 = 1$ and $(1 - e)^2 = 1 - e$. Hence every Boolean ring is invo k -clean. Moreover, $\mathbb{Z}_2, \mathbb{Z}_3, \mathbb{Z}_4, \mathbb{Z}_6$ and \mathbb{Z}_8 are invo k -clean.

A ring R is said to be invo-clean if every $a \in R$ can be written as $a = v + e$, where $v \in \text{Inv}(R)$ and $e \in \text{Id}(R)$ [2, 3, 4, 5]. It is clear that every invo-clean is an invo k -clean ring. However, invo k -clean rings are not invo-clean, in general. For example \mathbb{Z}_5 is invo k -clean but not invo-clean.

Let $2 \leq k \in \mathbb{N}$. A ring R is said to be k -clean if there exist $u \in U(R)$ and $e \in P_k(R)$ such that $a = u + e$ [8]. It is easy to see that every invo k -clean ring is k -clean.

Lemma 2.2. *Let R be a ring in which every element is k -potent. Then R is invo k -clean.*

Proof. Assume that $a \in R$. Hence $a = 1 + (1 - a)$, where $1 \in \text{Inv}(R)$ and $1 - a \in P_k(R)$. Therefore R is invo k -clean. \square

Lemma 2.3. *Let $2 \leq k \in \mathbb{N}$ and R be a ring in which every element is involution. Then R is invo k -clean.*

Proof. Assume that $a \in R$. Hence $a = 1 + (1 - a)$, where $1 \in P_k(R)$ and $1 - a \in \text{Inv}(R)$. Therefore R is invo k -clean. \square

Recall that an element a of a ring R is said to be tripotent if the equality $a^3 = a$ holds. If each element of R is tripotent, the ring R is said to be tripotent [6].

Proposition 2.4. *Let $2 \leq k \in \mathbb{N}$. Then every tripotent ring is invo k -clean.*

Proof. Suppose that R is a tripotent ring and $a \in R$. So $a^3 = a$. Thus $1 - a^2 \in P_k(R)$ and $a^2 + a - 1 \in \text{Inv}(R)$. Since $a = (1 - a^2) + (a^2 + a - 1)$, R is invo k -clean. \square

The following example shows that the converse of Proposition 2.4 is not true in general.

Example 2.5. *Let $2 \leq k \in \mathbb{N}$ and R be the group ring BG , where $B \cong \mathbb{Z}_2$ is a Boolean ring and G is a group consisting only of elements of order at most 2. Since $\text{char}(R) = 2$, $a^4 = a^2$ for every $a \in R$. Since $1 + a^2 \in P_k(R)$, $a^2 + a + 1 \in \text{Inv}(R)$ and $a = (1 + a^2) + (a^2 + a + 1)$, R is an invo k -clean. Since B contains non-trivial idempotents, $a^3 \neq a^2$ and $a^3 \neq a$ for every $a \in R$. Therefore R is not a tripotent ring.*

Lemma 2.6. *Let $2 \leq k \in \mathbb{N}$ and R be an invo k -clean ring. Then each homomorphic image of R is invo k -clean.*

Proof. Assume that $h : R \rightarrow R'$ be a ring homomorphism and R be an invo k -clean ring. Let $a' \in h(R)$. Then $a' = h(a)$ for some $a \in R$. Since R is invo k -clean, there exist $v \in \text{Inv}(R)$ and $e \in P_k(R)$ such that $a = v + e$. Since h is a homomorphism, $h(a) = h(v) + h(e)$ and $h(e) = h(e^k) = (h(e))^k$. Hence $h(e) \in P_k(h(R))$. Then $h(v)^2 = h(v^2) = h(1) = 1$, and so $h(v) \in \text{Inv}(h(R))$. So $h(R)$ is invo k -clean, as required. \square

Proposition 2.7. *Let $2 \leq k \in \mathbb{N}$ and R be an invo k -clean ring. Then $30 \in \text{Nil}(R)$.*

Proof. Suppose that $3 = v + e$, where $v \in \text{Inv}(R)$ and $e \in P_k(R)$. Hence $v^2 = (3 - e)^2 = 1$, and so $8 = 5e$, whence $24 = 0$ and $3 \cdot 24 = 72 = 0$ by squaring both sides. Hence $30^3 = 27000 = 72 \cdot 375 = 0$. Therefore $30 \in \text{Nil}(R)$. \square

By using the above proposition, we have the following result.

Corollary 2.8. *Let $2 \leq k \in \mathbb{N}$ and R be an invo k -clean ring. Then the following two equivalencies hold.*

$$(i) \quad 5 \in U(R) \iff 6 \in \text{Nil}(R).$$

$$(ii) \quad 6 \in U(R) \iff 5 \in \text{Nil}(R)$$

Proof. These relations follow directly from the fact that $1 + \text{Nil}(R) \subseteq U(R)$ and that $30 = 5 \cdot 6 \in \text{Nil}(R)$ by Proposition 2.7. \square

As an interesting consequence, we obtain the following one.

Corollary 2.9. *Let $2 \leq k \in \mathbb{N}$, R be an invo k -clean ring such that $U(R) \cap P_k(R) = \{1\}$. Then $J(R)$ is nil with index of nilpotence at most 3.*

Proof. Suppose that $a \in J(R)$ and $a = v + e$ for some $v \in \text{Inv}(R)$ and $e \in P_k(R)$. Hence $a - v = e \in U(R) \cap P_k(R) = \{1\}$, and so $e = 1$. Then $a = v + 1$, $a^2 = 2(v + 1) = 2a$ and $a^3 = 2a^2$. Hence $a^3 = 4a$. Replacing a by $2a$ in the $a^2 = 2a$ and $a^3 = 4a$. Then $4a^2 = 4a$ and $8a^3 = 8a$. Since $8a^3 = 8a$, $8a(1 - a^2) = 0$. Since $1 - a^2 \in 1 + J(R) \subseteq U(R)$, $8a = 0$. Multiplying both sides of $a^2 = 2a$ by 4, $4a^2 = 8a$. Then $4a^2 = 4a = 8a = 0$. Therefore $a^3 = 4a = 0$, and so $J(R)$ is nil with index of nilpotence at most 3. \square

Lemma 2.10. *Let $2 \leq k \in \mathbb{N}$ and R be a ring with $u \in U(R)$ and $e \in P_k(R)$ such that $u^2e = eu^2 = e$ and $u = e + p$, where $p \in \text{Nil}(R)$. Then $e = 1$.*

Proof. Suppose $u = e + p$ for some $e \in P_k(R)$ and $p \in \text{Nil}(R)$ with $p^m = 0$, $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Hence $u^2 = e + ep + pe + p^2$, and so $u^2e = e = e + epe + pe + p^2e$. Then $(p + p^2)e = -epe$. Similarly, $eu^2 = e$ insures that $e(p + p^2) = -epe$. Thus e commutes with the nilpotent $(p + p^2)^n = [p(1 + p)]^n = p^n(1 + p)^n$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Therefore the same is valid for u .

Furthermore, $u - (p + p^2) = e - p^2$ with $u - (p + p^2) = u_2 = e - p^2$ being a unit, one sees that $u_2 - (2p^3 + p^4) = e - (p^2 + 2p^3 + p^4) = e - (p + p^2)^2$. Putting $u_3 = u_2 + (p + p^2)^2$, we observe that u_3 is a unit since u_2 commutes with $(p + p^2)^2$ and that $u_3 = e + p^3(2 + p)$. In the same manner $u_4 = u_3 - 2(p + p^2)^3 = e - p^4(5 + 6p + 2p^2)$, $u_5 = u_4 + 5(p + p^2)^4 = e + p^5(14 + 28p + 20p^2 + 5p^3)$ and $u_6 = u_5 - 14(p + p^2)^5 = e - p^6b$, where $a = f(p)$ is a function (polynomial) of p . Repeating the same procedure m -times, we will find a unit u_m such that $u_m = e + p^m \cdot b = e$ for some element b depending on p ; $b = -1 = -p^0$ provided $m = 2$. Thus $e = 1$. \square

By using the above lemma, we have the following result.

Corollary 2.11. *Let $2 \leq k \in \mathbb{N}$ and R be a ring with $v \in \text{Inv}(R)$ and $e \in P_k(R)$ such that $v = e + q$, where $p \in \text{Nil}(R)$. Then $e = 1$.*

Proof. It follows from Lemma 2.10. \square

Proposition 2.12. *Let $2 \leq k \in \mathbb{N}$ and R be an invo k -clean ring with $2 \in U(R)$. Then $\text{Nil}(R) = \{0\}$.*

Proof. Suppose that $p \in \text{Nil}(R)$ and $pv + e$, where $v \in \text{Inv}(R)$ and $e \in P_k(R)$. Thus $-v = -p + e$, where $-v \in \text{Inv}(R)$ and $-p \in \text{Nil}(R)$. By Corollary 2.11, $e = 1$. Then $p = v + 1$, and so $p^2 = 2 + 2v = 2(1 + v) = 2p$. Thus $p(2 - p) = 0$. Since $2 - p \in U(R)$, $p = 0$. Therefore $\text{Nil}(R) = \{0\}$. \square

Adding the condition for a lack of non-trivial k -potents in the ring, we derive the following.

Theorem 2.13. *Let $2 \leq k \in \mathbb{N}$ and R be an invo k -clean ring with $P_k(R) = \{0, 1\}$ and $2 \in U(R)$. Then $R \cong \mathbb{Z}_3$.*

Proof. Suppose that $a \in R$. Then $a = v + 1$ or $a = v$, where $v \in \text{Inv}(R)$. Since $\frac{1-v}{2}$ is a k -potent element of R , whence $\frac{1-v}{2} = 1$ or $\frac{1-v}{2} = 0$. Thus $v = -1$ or $v = 1$. Hence $R = \{0, -1, 1, 2\}$. But it must be that $2 = -1$, because only $2 \cdot (-1) = 1$ or $2 \cdot 2 = 1$ is possible. Therefore $3 = 0$, and so $R = \{0, 1, 2\}$. Then $R \cong \mathbb{Z}_3$. \square

Definition 2.14. *Let R be a ring and $2 \leq k \in \mathbb{N}$. Then an element $a \in R$ is called strongly invo k -clean if there exist $v \in \text{Inv}(R)$ and $e \in P_k(R)$ of R such that $a = v + e$ and $ve = ev$. A ring R is called strongly invo k -clean if every element of R is strongly invo k -clean.*

Lemma 2.15. *Let R be a strongly invo k -clean ring. Then each homomorphic image of R is strongly invo k -clean.*

Proof. Assume that $h : R \rightarrow R'$ be a ring homomorphism and R be a strongly invo k -clean ring. By Lemma 2.6 $h(R)$ is invo k -clean. Also $h(v)h(e) = h(ve) = h(ev) = h(e)h(v)$. Hence $h(R)$ is strongly invo k -clean. \square

Definition 2.16. A ring R is said to satisfy k -invo property $k \geq 2$ if $1 + v + v^2 + \dots + v^{k-2} \in \text{Inv}(R)$ for every $v \in \text{Inv}(R)$.

Theorem 2.17. Let $2 \leq k \in \mathbb{N}$ be a prime number, R be a strongly invo k -clean ring with k -invo property and $\text{Char}(R) = k$. Then k -potents lift modulo every ideal of R .

Proof. Suppose that $2 \leq k \in \mathbb{N}$ be a prime number, R be a strongly invo k -clean ring with k -invo property and $\text{Char}(R) = k$. Assume that I is an ideal of R such that $a - a^k \in I$. Since R is strongly invo k -clean, $a = v + e$, where $v \in \text{Inv}(R)$, $e \in P_k(R)$ and $ve = ev$. Since $a - a^k \in I$, $v + e - (v + e)^k \in I$. Since $ve = ev$,

$$v + e - (v^k + kv^{k-1}e + \frac{k(k-1)}{2!}v^{k-2}e^2 + \dots + kve^{k-1} + e^k) \in I.$$

Since $\text{Char}(R) = k$ and $e^k = e$, $v - v^k \in I$. Now, assume that $f = 1 + e$. Since $\text{Char}(R) = k$ and $e^k = e$,

$$f^k = (1 + e)^k = 1 + ke + \frac{k(k-1)}{2!}e^2 + \dots + ke^{k-1} + e^k = 1 + e = f.$$

Thus $f \in P_k(R)$. Again, $f - a = 1 + e - (v + e) = 1 - v$. Since I is an ideal, $v \in \text{Inv}(R)$ and $v - v^k \in I$, $1 - v^k \in I$. Thus

$$(1 - v)(1 + v + v^2 + \dots + v^{k-2}) \in I.$$

Since R has k -invo-property, $1 - v \in I$, and so $f - a \in I$. Therefore k -potents lift modulo every ideal of R . □

Lemma 2.18. Let I be an ideal of a ring R with $I \subseteq J(R)$. Then $v + I \in \text{Inv}(R/I)$ if and only if $v \in \text{Inv}(R)$.

Proof. Clearly. □

Definition 2.19. Let I be an ideal of a ring R . We say that R has modulo commutative property if for any two elements $a + I, b + I \in R/I$, $(a + I)(b + I) = (b + I)(a + I)$ implies that $ab = ba$ in R .

Theorem 2.20. Let $2 \leq k \in \mathbb{N}$ be a prime number, R be a ring satisfying k -invo property, modulo commutative property and $\text{Char}(R) = k$. If I is an ideal of R such that $I \subseteq J(R)$. Then R is strongly invo k -clean if and only if R/I is strongly invo k -clean and k -potents lift modulo I .

Proof. Assume that R is a strongly invo k -clean ring satisfying k -invo property, modulo commutative property and $\text{Char}(R) = k$. Let I be an ideal of R such that $I \subseteq J(R)$. Then R/I is strongly invo k -clean, by Lemma 2.15. Also by Theorem 2.17, k -potents lift modulo I . Conversely, let R/I be strongly invo k -clean and k -potents lift modulo I . Suppose that $a \in R$. Thus $a + I \in R/I$. Since R/I is strongly invo k -clean, $a + I = (v + I) + (e + I)$, where $v + I \in \text{Inv}(R/I)$, $e + I \in P_k(R/I)$ and $(v + I)(e + I) = (e + I)(v + I)$. Since $I \subseteq J(R)$, by Lemma 2.18 $v \in \text{Inv}(R)$. Now $e + I \in P_k(R/I)$ which implies that $e - e^m \in I$. Since

k -potents lift modulo I , there exists an k -potent $f \in R$ such that $f - e \in I$, and so $f + I = e + I$. Since $a + I = (v + I) + (f + I)$, $(v + I) = (a - f) + I$. Hence $(a - f) + I \in \text{Inv}(R/I)$. Then $a - f \in \text{Inv}(R)$, by Lemma 2.18. Therefore $a = (a - f) + f$, where $a - f \in \text{Inv}(R)$ and $f \in P_k(R)$. Since $(v + I)(e + I) = (e + I)(v + I)$, $((a - f) + I)(f + I) = (f + I)((a - f) + I)$. Since R satisfies the modulo commutative property, $(a - f)f = f(a - f)$. Therefore R is strongly invo k -clean. \square

Here we shall formulate two questions of interest.

Problem 2.21. *When is a matrix ring invo k -clean?*

Problem 2.22. *Let R be a ring and $e \in P_k(R)$ such that the subrings $e^{k-1}Re^{k-1}$ and $(1 - e^{k-1})R(1 - e^{k-1})$ are invo k -clean. Is R also invo k -clean?*

Acknowledgement

The author would like to thank the referee for the careful reading of the manuscript and the constructive suggestion made.

References

- [1] Anderson, D.D. and Camillo, V.P., *Commutative rings whose elements are a sum of a unit and an idempotent*, *Comm. Algebra* **30** (2002), 3327-3336.
- [2] Danchev, P.V., *Quasi invo-clean rings*, *Bull. Transilv. Univ. Braşov Ser. III* **63** (2021), 71-80.
- [3] Danchev, P.V., *Corners of invo-clean unital rings*, *Pure Mathematical Sciences* **7** (2018), 27-31.
- [4] Danchev, P.V., *Invo-clean unital rings*, *Commun. Korean Math. Soc.* **32** (2017), 19-27.
- [5] Danchev, P.V., *Weakly invo-clean unital rings*, *Afr. Mat.* **28** (2017), 1285-1295.
- [6] Hirano, Y. and Tominaga, H., *Rings in which every element is the sum of two idempotents*, *Bull. Austral. Math. Soc.* **37** (1988) 161-164.
- [7] Nicholson, W.K., *Lifting idempotents and exchange rings*. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **229** (1977), 269-278.
- [8] Purkait, S., Dutta, T.K. and Kar, S., *On m -clean and strongly m -clean rings*, *Comm. Algebra* **48** (2019) 218-227.