

ON THE TRANSFORMATIONS GROUP OF N-LINEAR CONNECTIONS ON THE DUAL BUNDLE OF 3-TANGENT BUNDLE

Monica PURCARU¹ and Mirela TÂRNOVEANU²

Abstract

In the present paper we study the transformations for the coefficients of an N -linear connection on the dual bundle of 3-tangent bundle, $T^{*3}M$, by a transformation of nonlinear connections on $T^{*3}M$. We prove that the set \mathcal{T} of these transformations together with the composition of mappings isn't a group, but we give some groups of transformations of \mathcal{T} , which keep invariant a part of the components of the local coefficients of an N -linear connection.

2000 Mathematics Subject Clasification: 53B05

Key Words: dual bundle of 3-tangent bundle, nonlinear connection, N -linear connection, transformations group, subgroup.

1 Introduction

The notion of Hamilton spaces was introduced by R. Miron in [7], [8]. The differential geometry of the dual bundle of k -osculator bundle was introduced and studied by R. Miron [13], too.

In the present section the general setting from [13] is presented and subsequently only some needed notions are recalled.

Let M be a real n -dimensional C^∞ -manifold and let $(T^{*3}M, \pi^{*3}, M)$ be the dual bundle of 3-osculator bundle (or 3-cotangent bundle), where the total space is:

$$T^{*3}M = T^{*2}M \times T^*M. \quad (1.1)$$

Let $(x^i, y^{(1)i}, y^{(2)i}, p_i)$, $(i = 1, \dots, n)$, be the local coordinates of a point $u = (x, y^{(1)}, y^{(2)}, p) \in T^{*3}M$ in a local chart on $T^{*3}M$.

The change of coordinates on the manifold $T^{*3}M$ is:

¹Faculty of Mathematics and Informatics, *Transilvania* University of Braşov, Romania, e-mail: mpurcaru@unitbv.ro

²Faculty of Mathematics and Informatics, *Transilvania* University of Braşov, Romania, e-mail: mi.tarnoveanu@yahoo.com

$$\begin{cases} \tilde{x}^i = \tilde{x}^i(x^1, \dots, x^n), \det\left(\frac{\partial \tilde{x}^i}{\partial x^j}\right) \neq 0, \\ \tilde{y}^{(1)i} = \frac{\partial \tilde{x}^i}{\partial x^j} \tilde{y}^{(1)j}, \\ 2\tilde{y}^{(2)i} = \frac{\partial \tilde{y}^{(1)i}}{\partial x^j} y^{(1)j} + 2\frac{\partial \tilde{y}^{(1)i}}{\partial y^{(1)j}} y^{(2)j}, \\ \tilde{p}_i = \frac{\partial x^j}{\partial \tilde{x}^i} p_j, (i, j = 1, 2, \dots, n), \end{cases} \quad (1.2)$$

where the following relations hold:

$$\frac{\partial \tilde{y}^{(\alpha)i}}{\partial x^j} = \frac{\partial \tilde{y}^{(\alpha+1)i}}{\partial y^{(1)j}} = \frac{\partial \tilde{y}^{(2)i}}{\partial y^{(2-\alpha)j}}, \quad (\alpha = 0, 1; y^{(0)} = x). \quad (1.3)$$

$T^{*3}M$ is a real differential manifold of dimension $4n$.

With respect to (1.1) the natural basis of the vector space $T_u(T^{*3}M)$ at the point $u \in T^{*3}M$:

$$\left\{ \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} \Big|_u, \frac{\partial}{\partial y^{(1)i}} \Big|_u, \frac{\partial}{\partial y^{(2)i}} \Big|_u, \frac{\partial}{\partial p_i} \Big|_u \right\} \quad (1.4)$$

is transformed as it follows by the Jacobi matrix of (1.2) changes.

We denote $\widetilde{T^{*3}M} = T^{*3}M \setminus \{0\}$. Let us consider the tangent bundle of the differentiable manifold $T^{*3}M$, $(TT^{*3}M, d\pi^{*3}, T^{*3}M)$, where $d\pi^{*3}$ is the canonical projection and the vertical distribution $V : u \in T^{*3}M \rightarrow V(u) \in T_u T^{*3}M$, locally generated by the vector fields $\left\{ \frac{\partial}{\partial y^{(1)i}}, \frac{\partial}{\partial y^{(2)i}}, \frac{\partial}{\partial p_i} \right\}$ at every point $u \in T^{*3}M$.

The following $\mathcal{F}(T^{*3}M)$ – linear mapping:

$$J : \chi(T^{*3}M) \rightarrow \chi(T^{*3}M),$$

defined by:

$$J\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}\right) = \frac{\partial}{\partial y^{(1)i}}, J\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial y^{(1)i}}\right) = \frac{\partial}{\partial y^{(2)i}}, J\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial y^{(2)i}}\right) = 0, J\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial p_i}\right) = 0, \quad (1.6)$$

at every point $u \in \widetilde{T^{*3}M}$ is a tangent structure on $T^{*3}M$.

We denote with N a nonlinear connection on the manifold $T^{*3}M$ with the coefficients:

$$\left(N_{(1)}^j{}_i(x, y^{(1)}, y^{(2)}, p), N_{(2)}^j{}_i(x, y^{(1)}, y^{(2)}, p), N_{ij}(x, y^{(1)}, y^{(2)}, p) \right), (i, j = 1, 2, \dots, n).$$

The tangent space of $T^{*3}M$ in the point $u \in T^{*3}M$ is given by the direct sum of vector spaces:

$$T_u(T^{*3}M) = N_{0,u} \oplus N_{1,u} \oplus V_{2,u} \oplus W_{3,u}, \quad \forall u \in T^{*3}M. \quad (1.5)$$

A local adapted basis to the direct decomposition (1.5) is given by:

$$\left\{ \frac{\delta}{\delta x^i}, \frac{\delta}{\delta y^{(1)i}}, \frac{\delta}{\delta y^{(2)i}}, \frac{\delta}{\delta p_i} \right\}, (i = 1, 2, \dots, n), \quad (1.6)$$

where:

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\delta}{\delta x^i} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} - N_{(1)i}^j \frac{\partial}{\partial y^{(1)j}} - N_{(2)i}^j \frac{\partial}{\partial y^{(2)j}} + N_{ij} \frac{\partial}{\partial p_j}, \\ \frac{\delta}{\delta y^{(1)i}} = \frac{\partial}{\partial y^{(1)j}} - N_{(1)i}^j \frac{\partial}{\partial y^{(2)j}}, \\ \frac{\delta}{\delta y^{(2)i}} = \frac{\partial}{\partial y^{(2)j}}, \\ \frac{\delta}{\delta p_i} = \frac{\partial}{\partial p_i}. \end{cases} \quad (1.7)$$

Under a change of local coordinates on $T^{*3}M$, the vector fields of the adapted basis transform by the rule:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\delta}{\delta x^i} &= \frac{\partial \tilde{x}^j}{\partial x^i} \frac{\delta}{\delta \tilde{x}^j}, \quad \frac{\delta}{\delta y^{(1)i}} = \frac{\partial \tilde{x}^j}{\partial x^i} \frac{\delta}{\delta \tilde{y}^{(1)j}}, \\ \frac{\delta}{\delta y^{(2)i}} &= \frac{\partial \tilde{x}^j}{\partial x^i} \frac{\delta}{\delta \tilde{y}^{(2)j}}, \quad \frac{\delta}{\delta p_i} = \frac{\delta x^t}{\delta \tilde{x}^j} \frac{\delta}{\delta \tilde{p}_j}. \end{aligned} \quad (1.8)$$

The dual basis of the adapted basis (1.6) is given by:

$$\left\{ \delta x^i, \delta y^{(1)i}, \delta y^{(2)i}, \delta p_i \right\}, \quad (1.9)$$

where:

$$\begin{cases} dx^i = \delta x^i, \\ dy^{(1)i} = \delta y^{(1)i} - N_{(1)j}^i \delta x^j, \\ dy^{(2)i} = \delta y^{(2)i} - N_{(1)j}^i \delta y^{(1)j} - N_{(2)j}^i \delta x^j, \\ dp_i = \delta p_i + N_{ji} \delta x^j. \end{cases} \quad (1.10)$$

Let D be an N -linear connection on $T^{*3}M$, with the local coefficients in the adapted basis (1.6) :

$$D\Gamma(N) = \left(H^i{}_{jh}, C_{(\alpha)}^i{}_{jh}, C_i{}^{jh} \right), (\alpha = 1, 2). \quad (1.11)$$

An N -linear connection D is uniquely represented under the adapted basis in the following form:

$$\begin{aligned} D_{\frac{\delta}{\delta x^j}} \frac{\delta}{\delta x^i} &= H^s{}_{ij} \frac{\delta}{\delta x^s}, \quad D_{\frac{\delta}{\delta x^j}} \frac{\delta}{\delta y^{(\alpha)i}} = H^s{}_{ij} \frac{\delta}{\delta y^{(\alpha)s}}, (\alpha = 1, 2), \\ D_{\frac{\delta}{\delta x^j}} \frac{\delta}{\delta p_i} &= -H^i{}_{sj} \frac{\delta}{\delta p_s}, \\ D_{\frac{\delta}{\delta y^{(\alpha)j}}} \frac{\delta}{\delta x^i} &= C_{(\alpha)}^s{}_{ij} \frac{\delta}{\delta x^s}, \quad D_{\frac{\delta}{\delta y^{(\alpha)j}}} \frac{\delta}{\delta y^{(\beta)i}} = C_{(\alpha)}^s{}_{ij} \frac{\delta}{\delta y^{(\beta)s}}, \\ D_{\frac{\delta}{\delta y^{(\alpha)j}}} \frac{\delta}{\delta p_i} &= -C_{(\alpha)}^i{}_{sj} \frac{\delta}{\delta p_s}, (\alpha, \beta = 1, 2), \\ D_{\frac{\delta}{\delta p_j}} \frac{\delta}{\delta x^i} &= C_i{}^{js} \frac{\delta}{\delta x^s}, \quad D_{\frac{\delta}{\delta p_j}} \frac{\delta}{\delta y^{(\alpha)i}} = C_i{}^{js} \frac{\delta}{\delta y^{(\alpha)s}}, (\alpha = 1, 2), \\ D_{\frac{\delta}{\delta p_j}} \frac{\delta}{\delta p_i} &= -C_s{}^{ij} \frac{\delta}{\delta p_s}. \end{aligned} \quad (1.12)$$

2 The set of the transformations of N -linear connections

In the following we shall give the transformations for the coefficients of an N -linear connection on $T^{*3}M$, by a transformation of nonlinear connections and we shall prove that the set, \mathcal{T} , of all these transformations together with the mapping composition isn't a group. We shall find some groups which keep invariant a part of components of the local coefficients of an N -linear connection.

Let \bar{N} be another nonlinear connection on $T^{*3}M$, with the local coefficients:

$$\left(\bar{N}_{(1)}^j(x, y^{(1)}, y^{(2)}, p), \bar{N}_{(2)}^j(x, y^{(1)}, y^{(2)}, p), N_{ij}(x, y^{(1)}, y^{(2)}, p) \right) \quad (i, j = 1, 2, \dots, n)$$

Then there exists the uniquely determined tensor fields $A_{(\alpha)}^j \in \tau_1^1(T^{*3}M)$, $(\alpha = 1, 2)$ and $A_{ij} \in \tau_2^0(T^{*3}M)$, such that:

$$\begin{cases} \bar{N}_{(\alpha)}^i = N_{(\alpha)}^i - A_{(\alpha)}^i, \quad (\alpha = 1, 2), \\ \bar{N}_{ij} = N_{ij} - A_{ij}, \quad (i, j = 1, 2, \dots, n). \end{cases} \quad (2.1)$$

Conversely, if $N_{(\alpha)}^i$ and $A_{(\alpha)}^i$, $(\alpha = 1, 2)$, respectively N_{ij} and A_{ij} are given, then $\bar{N}_{(\alpha)}^i$, $(\alpha = 1, 2)$, respectively \bar{N}_{ij} , given by (2.1) are the coefficients of a nonlinear connection.

Theorem 1 *Let N and \bar{N} be two nonlinear connections on $T^{*3}M$, with local coefficients:*

$$\left(N_{(1)}^j(x, y^{(1)}, y^{(2)}, p), N_{(2)}^j(x, y^{(1)}, y^{(2)}, p), N_{ij}(x, y^{(1)}, y^{(2)}, p) \right),$$

$$\left(\bar{N}_{(1)}^j(x, y^{(1)}, y^{(2)}, p), \bar{N}_{(2)}^j(x, y^{(1)}, y^{(2)}, p), N_{ij}(x, y^{(1)}, y^{(2)}, p) \right), \quad (i, j = 1, 2, \dots, n)$$

respectively. If D is an N -linear connection on $T^{*3}M$, with local coefficients $D\Gamma(N) = \left(H^i_{jh}, C^i_{(1)jh}, C^i_{(2)jh}, C_i^{jh} \right)$, then the transformation: $N \rightarrow \bar{N}$, given by (2.1) of nonlinear connections implies for the coefficients

$D\Gamma(\bar{N}) = \left(\bar{H}^i_{jh}, \bar{C}^i_{(1)jh}, \bar{C}^i_{(2)jh}, \bar{C}_i^{jh} \right)$ of the \bar{N} -linear connection D the relations (2.2), that is the transformation $D\Gamma(N) \rightarrow D\Gamma(\bar{N})$ is given by:

$$\begin{cases} \bar{H}^h_{ij} = H^h_{ij} + A_{(1)j}^l C_{(1)il}^h + A_{(1)j}^l N_{(1)l}^r C_{(2)ir}^h + A_{(2)j}^l C_{(2)il}^h - A_{jl} C_i^{lh}, \\ \bar{C}_{(1)ij}^h = C_{(1)ij}^h + A_{(1)j}^l C_{(2)il}^h, \\ \bar{C}_{(2)ij}^h = C_{(2)ij}^h, \\ \bar{C}_h^{ij} = C_h^{ij}, \\ A_{(1)ij}^h = 0, \\ A_{ihj} = 0, \quad (i, j, h = 1, 2, \dots, n), \end{cases} \quad (2.2)$$

where " $\bar{\cdot}$ " denotes the h -covariant derivative with respect to $D\Gamma(N)$.

The proof results by a straightforward computation, using (1.12) and (2.1)

Theorem 2 Let N and \bar{N} be two nonlinear connections on $T^{*3}M$, with local coefficients:

$$\left(N_{(1)}^{j_i}(x, y^{(1)}, y^{(2)}, p), N_{(2)}^{j_i}(x, y^{(1)}, y^{(2)}, p), N_{ij}(x, y^{(1)}, y^{(2)}, p) \right),$$

$$\left(\bar{N}_{(1)}^{j_i}(x, y^{(1)}, y^{(2)}, p), \bar{N}_{(2)}^{j_i}(x, y^{(1)}, y^{(2)}, p), \bar{N}_{ij}(x, y^{(1)}, y^{(2)}, p) \right), (i, j = \overline{1, n}) \text{ respectively.}$$

$$\text{If } D\Gamma(N) = \left(H^i_{jh}, C^i_{(1)jh}, C^i_{(2)jh}, C_i^{jh} \right) \text{ and } D\bar{\Gamma}(\bar{N}) = \left(\bar{H}^i_{jh}, \bar{C}^i_{(1)jh}, \bar{C}^i_{(2)jh}, \bar{C}_i^{jh} \right),$$

are the local coefficients of two N -, respectively \bar{N} -linear connections, D , respectively \bar{D} on the differentiable manifold $T^{*3}M$, then there exists only one system of tensor fields

$$\left(A^i_{(1)j}, A^i_{(2)j}, A_{ij}, B^i_{jh}, D^i_{(1)jh}, D^i_{(2)jh}, D_i^{jh} \right) \text{ such that:}$$

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \bar{N}^i_{(\alpha)j} = N^i_{(\alpha)j} - A^i_{(\alpha)j}, (\alpha = 1, 2) \\ \bar{N}_{ij} = N_{ij} - A_{ij}, \\ \bar{H}^i_{jh} = H^i_{jh} + A^l_{(1)h} C^i_{(1)jl} + A^l_{(1)h} N^r_{(1)l} C^i_{(2)jr} + A^l_{(2)h} C^i_{(2)jl} - A_{hl} C_j^{li} - B^i_{jh}, \\ \bar{C}^i_{(1)jh} = C^i_{(1)jh} + A^l_{(1)h} C^i_{(2)jl} - D^i_{(1)jh}, \\ \bar{C}^i_{(2)jh} = C^i_{(2)jh} - D^i_{(2)jh}, \\ \bar{C}_i^{jh} = C_i^{jh} - D_i^{jh}. \\ A^h_{(1)ij} = 0, \\ A_{ihj} = 0, (i, j, h = 1, 2, \dots, n), \end{array} \right. \quad (2.3)$$

where " $\bar{\cdot}$ " denotes the h -covariant derivative with respect to $D\Gamma(N)$.

Proof. The first equality (2.3) determines uniquely the tensor fields:

$A^i_{(\alpha)j}, (\alpha = 1, 2)$. The second equality (2.3) determines uniquely the tensor field A_{ij} . Since

$C^i_{(\alpha)jh}, (\alpha = 1, 2)$ and C_i^{jh} are d -tensor fields, the third equation (2.10) determines uniquely

the tensor field B^i_{jh} . Similarly the fourth,... and the last equation (2.3) determines the tensor field D_i^{jh} respectively.q.e.d.

We have immediately:

Theorem 3 If $D\Gamma(N) = \left(H^i_{jh}, C^i_{(1)jh}, C^i_{(2)jh}, C_i^{jh} \right)$, are the coefficients of an N -linear connection D on $T^{*3}M$ and

$\left(A^i_{(1)j}, A^i_{(2)j}, A_{ij}, B^i_{jh}, D^i_{(1)jh}, D^i_{(2)jh}, D_i^{jh} \right)$, is a system of tensor fields on $T^{*3}M$, then

$D\bar{\Gamma}(\bar{N}) = \left(\bar{H}^i_{jh}, \bar{C}^i_{(1)jh}, \bar{C}^i_{(2)jh}, \bar{C}_i^{jh} \right)$, given by (2.3) are the coefficients of an \bar{N} -linear connection, \bar{D} , on $T^{*3}M$.

Following the definition given by M. Matsumoto [4, 5] in the case of Finsler spaces, we have:

Definition 2.1 *i)* The system of tensor fields:

$\left(A_{(1)}^i{}_j, A_{(2)}^i{}_j, A_{ij}, B^i{}_{jh}, D_{(1)}^i{}_{jh}, D_{(2)}^i{}_{jh}, D_i{}^{jh} \right)$, is called the difference tensor fields of $D\Gamma(N)$ to $D\bar{\Gamma}(\bar{N})$.

ii) The mapping: $D\Gamma(N) \longrightarrow D\bar{\Gamma}(\bar{N})$ given by (2.3) is called a transformation of N -linear connection to \bar{N} -linear connection on $T^{*3}M$, and it is noted by:

$$t \left(A_{(1)}^i{}_j, A_{(2)}^i{}_j, A_{ij}, B^i{}_{jh}, D_{(1)}^i{}_{jh}, D_{(2)}^i{}_{jh}, D_i{}^{jh} \right).$$

Theorem 4 *The set \mathcal{T} of the transformations of N -linear connections to \bar{N} -linear connections on $T^{*3}M$, together with the composition of mappings isn't a group.*

Proof. Let $t \left(A_{(1)}^i{}_j, A_{(2)}^i{}_j, A_{ij}, B^i{}_{jh}, D_{(1)}^i{}_{jh}, D_{(2)}^i{}_{jh}, D_i{}^{jh} \right) : D\Gamma(N) \longrightarrow D\bar{\Gamma}(\bar{N})$ and $t \left(\bar{A}_{(1)}^i{}_j, \bar{A}_{(2)}^i{}_j, \bar{A}_{ij}, \bar{B}^i{}_{jh}, \bar{D}_{(1)}^i{}_{jh}, \bar{D}_{(2)}^i{}_{jh}, \bar{D}_i{}^{jh} \right) : D\bar{\Gamma}(\bar{N}) \longrightarrow D\bar{\bar{\Gamma}}(\bar{\bar{N}})$, be two transformations from \mathcal{T} , given by (2.3).

From (2.3) we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\bar{N}}_{(\alpha)}^i{}_j &= N_{(\alpha)}^i{}_j - \left(A_{(\alpha)}^i{}_j + \bar{A}_{(\alpha)}^i{}_j \right), \quad (\alpha = 1, 2), \\ \bar{\bar{N}}_{ij} &= N_{ij} - \left(A_{ij} + \bar{A}_{ij} \right). \end{aligned}$$

We obtain for example:

$$\bar{\bar{C}}_{(1)}^i{}_{jh} = C_{(1)}^i{}_{jh} + \left(A_{(1)}^l{}_h + \bar{A}_{(1)}^l{}_h \right) \cdot C_{(2)}^i{}_{jl} - \left(D_{(1)}^i{}_{jh} + \bar{D}_{(1)}^i{}_{jh} + D_{(2)}^i{}_{jl} \bar{A}_{(1)}^l{}_h \right).$$

So $\bar{\bar{C}}_{(1)}^i{}_{jh}$ hasn't the form (2.10). It follows that the mapping of two transformations from \mathcal{T} isn't a transformation from \mathcal{T} , that is \mathcal{T} , together with the composition of mappings isn't a group.q.e.d.

Remark 2.1. If we consider $A_{(\alpha)}^i{}_j = 0$, $(\alpha = 1, 2)$ and $A_{ij} = 0$ in (2.3) we obtain the set \mathcal{T}_N of transformations of N -linear connections corresponding to the same nonlinear connection N :

$$\mathcal{T}_N = \left\{ t \left(0, 0, 0, B^i{}_{jh}, D_{(1)}^i{}_{jh}, D_{(2)}^i{}_{jh}, D_i{}^{jh} \right) \in \mathcal{T} \right\}.$$

We have:

Theorem 5 *The set \mathcal{T}_N of the transformations of N -linear connections to N -linear connections on $T^{*3}M$, together with the composition of mappings is a group. This group, acts effectively and transitively on the set of N -linear connections.*

Proposition 6 *The sets: $\mathcal{T}_{NH}, \mathcal{T}_{N\underset{(1)}{C}}, \mathcal{T}_{N\underset{(2)}{C}}, \mathcal{T}_{NC}, \mathcal{T}_{N\underset{(1)(2)}{C}C}$ are Abelian subgroups of \mathcal{T}_N .*

Proposition 7 *The group \mathcal{T}_N preserves the nonlinear connection N , \mathcal{T}_{NH} preserves the nonlinear connection N and the component H^i_{jh} of the local coefficients $D\Gamma(N)$; $\mathcal{T}_{N\underset{(1)}{C}}$ preserves the nonlinear connection N and the component $C^i_{(1)jh}$ of the local coefficients $D\Gamma(N)$, $\mathcal{T}_{N\underset{(2)}{C}}$ preserves the nonlinear connection N and the component $C^i_{(2)jh}$ of the local coefficients $D\Gamma(N)$, \mathcal{T}_{NC} preserves the nonlinear connection N and the component C_i^{jh} of the local coefficients $D\Gamma(N)$ and $\mathcal{T}_{N\underset{(1)(2)}{C}C}$ preserves the nonlinear connection N and the components $C^i_{(1)jh}, C^i_{(2)jh}, C_i^{jh}$ of the local coefficients $D\Gamma(N)$.*

References

- [1] Atanasiu, Gh., and Târnoveanu, M., *New Aspects in the Differential Geometry of the second order Cotangent Bundle*, Univ. de Vest din Timișoara, **90** (2005), 1-65.
- [2] Atanasiu, Gh., *The invariant expression of Hamilton geometry*, Tensor N.S., Japonia, **47** (1988), 23-32.
- [3] Ianuș, Ș., *On differential geometry of the dual of a vector bundle*, The Proc. of the Fifth National Sem. of Finsler and Lagrange Spaces, Univ. din Brașov, 1988, 173-180.
- [4] Matsumoto, M., *The Theory of Finsler Connections*, Publ. of the Study Group of Geometry 5, Depart. Math. Okayama Univ., 1970.
- [5] Matsumoto, M., *Foundations of Finsler Geometry and Special Finsler Spaces*, Kaishisha Press, Otsu, 1986.
- [6] Miron, R., *Hamilton Geometry*, Seminarul de Mecanică, Univ. Timișoara, **3** (1987), 54.
- [7] Miron, R., *Sur la geometrie des espaces Hamilton*, C.R. Acad., Sci. Paris, Ser II, **306**, no.4 (1988), 195-198.
- [8] Miron, R., *Hamilton Geometry*, Analele Șt. Univ. Iași, S -I Mat. **35** (1989), 35-85.
- [9] Miron, R., Ianuș, S., Anastasiei, M., *The Geometry of the dual of a Vector Bundle*, Publ. de l'Inst. Math., **46(60)** (1989), 145-162.
- [10] Miron, R., *On the geometrical theory of higher-order Hamilton Spaces*, Steps in Differential Geometry, Proceedings of the Colloquium on Differential Geometry, 25-30 July, 2000, Debrecen, Hungary, 231-236.

- [11] Miron, R., *Hamilton spaces of order k greater than or equal to 1*, Int. Journal of Theoretical Phys., **39(9)** (2000), 2327-2336.
- [12] Miron, R., Hrimiuc, D., Shimada, H. and Sabău, V.S., *The geometry of Lagrange Spaces*, Kluwer Academic Publisher, FTPH, 118, 2001.
- [13] Miron, R., *The Geometry of Higher-Order Hamilton Spaces. Applications to Hamiltonian Mechanics*, Kluwer Acad. Publ., FTPH, 2003.
- [14] Saunders, D.J., *The Geometry of Jet Bundles*, Cambridge Univ. Press, 1989.
- [15] Udriște, C., Şandru, O., *Dual Nonlinear Connections*, Proc. of 22nd Conference Differential Geometry and Topology, Polytechnic Institute of Bucharest, Rom'nia, sept., 1991.
- [16] Yano, K., Ishihara, S., *Tangent and Cotangent Bundles*. Differential Geometry. M. Dekker, Inc., New-York, 1973.