

## BRASS-DURRMEYER TYPE OPERATORS

Bucurel MINEA<sup>1</sup>

### Abstract

We introduce the Durrmeyer variant of the Brass operators. We prove the property of polynomial degree preservation and, finally, give conditions for uniform approximation of continuous functions.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: 41A36.

*Key words*: positive linear operator, uniform approximation, Brass operator, Durrmeyer-type operator.

## 1 Introduction

Firstly, let us recall the extension of the Bernstein operators made by H. Brass.

If  $P_n : C[0, 1] \rightarrow C[0, 1]$  and  $Q_m : C[0, 1] \rightarrow C[0, 1]$ ,  $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$  are two linear operators with equidistant knots, of the form:

$$P_n(f) = \sum_{i=0}^n f\left(\frac{i}{n}\right) p_{n,i}$$

and

$$Q_m(f) = \sum_{j=0}^m f\left(\frac{j}{m}\right) q_{m,j},$$

where  $p_{n,i} \in C[0, 1]$ , ( $0 \leq i \leq n$ ),  $q_{m,j} \in C[0, 1]$ , ( $0 \leq j \leq m$ ), then their convolution product is defined by

$$(P_n \oplus Q_m)(f) := \sum_{i=0}^{n+m} f\left(\frac{i}{n+m}\right) r_{n+m,i},$$

where

$$r_{n+m,i} := \sum_{j=0}^i p_{n,j} q_{m,i-j}.$$

---

<sup>1</sup>Faculty of Mathematics and Informatics, *Transilvania* University of Braşov, Romania, e-mail: bucurel.minea@gmail.com

Here we consider  $p_{n,j} := 0 =: q_{m,j}$  if  $i > n$  and  $j > m$ . Now let

$$A_n(f) := \sum_{i=0}^n f\left(\frac{i}{n}\right) q_{n,i}, \quad (1)$$

where  $q_{n,0}(x) = 1 - x$ ,  $q_{n,n}(x) = x$  and for the remaining indices the functions  $q_{n,i}$  are zero.

A fundamental **Brass operator** can be defined as follows. Denote  $\mathbb{N}_0 = \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ . For any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  define the set  $\Lambda_n$  of vectors  $\mu = (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_n) \in (\mathbb{N}_0)^n$ , which satisfies the condition  $\mu_1 + 2\mu_2 + \dots + n\mu_n = n$ . The vectors  $\mu \in \Lambda_n$  are named "admissible" vectors.

For any admissible vector  $\mu = (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_n) \in \Lambda_n$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , we name a **fundamental Brass operator**, [1], the following operator:

$$H_n^\mu := \bigoplus_{k=1}^n \bigoplus_{i=1}^{\mu_k} A_k. \quad (2)$$

Notice that for the choice  $\mu = (n, 0, \dots, 0)$  we obtain the Bernstein operator  $B_n$ . Then a **general Brass operator** is defined as a convex combination of the fundamental Brass operators:

$$L = \sum_{\mu \in \Lambda_n} \alpha(\mu) H_n^\mu, \quad (3)$$

where  $\alpha(\mu) \geq 0$ , for  $\mu \in \Lambda_n$  and  $\sum_{\mu \in \Lambda_n} \alpha(\mu) = 1$ .

Mention that the main properties of the general Brass operators are immediate consequences of the same properties of the fundamentals Brass operators. So that we can restrict ourseves only to the fundamental Brass operators.

A generalization of the fundamental Brass operators was given in R, Păltănea [2] in the following mode. For  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  set

$$D_m := \left\{ \tau = (t_1, \dots, t_m) \in \mathbb{R}^m : t_i > 0, \forall i = \overline{1, m}, \sum_{i=1}^m t_i = 1 \right\}.$$

For any integers  $0 \leq k \leq m$  define  $\theta_{m,k}(x) := x^k (1 - x)^{m-k}$ . Also, for a set  $A$ , we will use the notation  $|A|$  for the number of elements of the set  $A$ .

Now, for any vector  $\tau = (t_1, \dots, t_m) \in D_m$  define the operator

$$\begin{aligned} L_\tau(f, x) &:= \sum_{k=0}^m \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_k \leq m} f(t_{i_1} + \dots + t_{i_k}) \theta_{m,k}(x) \\ &= \sum_{I \subset \{1, \dots, m\}} f\left(\sum_{i \in I} t_i\right) \theta_{m,|I|}(x). \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

Notice that for the choice  $m = n$  and  $\tau = (\frac{1}{n}, \dots, \frac{1}{n})$  we obtain the Bernstein operator  $B_n$ . We shall now use the following result given in [2], Lemma 5.1.1:

**Lemma 1.** *For every admissible vector  $\mu = (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_n) \in \Lambda_n$ , there is a vector  $\tau \in D_m$ ,*

with  $m = \mu_1 + \dots + \mu_n$ , such that  $H_n^\mu = L_\tau$ . More over  $\tau$  is unique excepting a permutation of the components and has  $\mu_k$  components equal to  $\frac{k}{n}$ , for each  $k = \overline{1, n}$ .

From this result it follows that the Brass operators  $H_n^\mu$  admit an alternative representation of the form (4), where the components  $t_i$  are precised in Lemma 1.

## 2 Main results

Fix  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\tau = (t_1, \dots, t_m) \in D_m$ , such that there is a number  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  for which we can write  $t_i = \frac{r_i}{n}$ , with  $r_i \in \mathbb{N}$ , for  $1 \leq i \leq m$ . We introduce a **Brass-Durrmeyer** type operator, assigned to the vector  $\tau$ , as the operator  $U_\tau : C[0, 1] \rightarrow C[0, 1]$ , given by:

$$\begin{aligned} U_\tau(f, x) &:= \sum_{I \subset \{1, \dots, m\}} \theta_{m, |I|}(x) \frac{\int_0^1 f(t) \theta_{n, \sum_{j \in I} r_j}(t) dt}{\int_0^1 \theta_{n, \sum_{j \in I} r_j}(t) dt} \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^m \theta_{m, i}(x) \sum_{\substack{I \subset \{1, \dots, m\} \\ |I|=i}} \frac{\int_0^1 f(t) \theta_{n, \sum_{j \in I} r_j}(t) dt}{\int_0^1 \theta_{n, \sum_{j \in I} r_j}(t) dt}. \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

We are interested in computing the moments of the operator  $U_\tau$ . Obviously,  $U_\tau(e_0, x) = 1$ . Using the Beta function, denoted by  $B$ , we have successively

$$\begin{aligned} U_\tau(e_1, x) &= \sum_{i=0}^m \theta_{m, i}(x) \sum_{\substack{I \subset \{1, \dots, m\} \\ |I|=i}} \frac{\int_0^1 t \theta_{n, \sum_{j \in I} r_j}(t) dt}{\int_0^1 \theta_{n, \sum_{j \in I} r_j}(t) dt} \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^m \theta_{m, i}(x) \sum_{\substack{I \subset \{1, \dots, m\} \\ |I|=i}} \frac{B\left(\sum_{j \in I} r_j + 2, n - \sum_{j \in I} r_j + 1\right)}{B\left(\sum_{j \in I} r_j + 1, n - \sum_{j \in I} r_j + 1\right)} \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^m \theta_{m, i}(x) \sum_{\substack{I \subset \{1, \dots, m\} \\ |I|=i}} \frac{\sum_{j \in I} r_j + 1}{n + 2} \\ &= \frac{1}{n + 2} \sum_{i=0}^m \theta_{m, i}(x) \left( \sum_{I \subset \{1, \dots, m\}, |I|=i} \sum_{j \in I} r_j + \binom{m}{i} \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{n + 2} \sum_{i=0}^m \theta_{m, i}(x) \left( \sum_{j=1}^m r_j \cdot |\{I \subset \{1, \dots, m\} \mid |I|=i \text{ and } j \in I\}| + \binom{m}{i} \right) \\ &= \frac{n}{n + 2} \sum_{i=1}^m \theta_{m, i}(x) \binom{m-1}{i-1} + \frac{1}{n + 2} \\ &= \frac{n}{n + 2} x \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \theta_{m-1, i}(x) \binom{m-1}{i} + \frac{1}{n + 2} = \frac{n}{n + 2} x + \frac{1}{n + 2}. \end{aligned}$$

We prove now the central result of our paper. Denote by  $\Pi_k$  the set of polynomials of degree at most  $k$ .

**Theorem 1.** *We have  $U_\tau(\Pi_k) \subset \Pi_k, \forall k = \overline{1, m}$ . More exactly, for each  $k = \overline{1, m}$ , we have*

$$U_\tau(e_k, x) = \frac{(n+1)!}{(n+k+1)!} \sum_{c=0}^k \left( \sum_{p=c}^k \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_{k-p} \leq k} i_1 \dots i_{k-p} \sum_{\substack{p_1, \dots, p_m \geq 0 \\ p_1 + \dots + p_m = p \\ |\{p_1, \dots, p_m\} \cap \mathbb{N}| = c}} \frac{p!}{p_1! \dots p_m!} r_1^{p_1} \dots r_m^{p_m} \right) x^c.$$

*Proof.* Let us compute:

$$\begin{aligned} U_\tau(e_k, x) &= \sum_{i=0}^m \theta_{m,i}(x) \sum_{\substack{I \subset \{1, \dots, m\} \\ |I|=i}} \frac{\int_0^1 t^k \theta_{n, \sum_{j \in I} r_j}(t) dt}{\int_0^1 \theta_{n, \sum_{j \in I} r_j}(t) dt} \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^m \theta_{m,i}(x) \sum_{\substack{I \subset \{1, \dots, m\} \\ |I|=i}} \frac{B\left(\sum_{j \in I} r_j + k + 1, n - \sum_{j \in I} r_j + 1\right)}{B\left(\sum_{j \in I} r_j + 1, n - \sum_{j \in I} r_j + 1\right)} \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^m \theta_{m,i}(x) \sum_{\substack{I \subset \{1, \dots, m\} \\ |I|=i}} \frac{\left(\sum_{j \in I} r_j + k\right) \dots \left(\sum_{j \in I} r_j + 1\right)}{(n+k+1) \dots (n+2)} \\ &= \frac{(n+1)!}{(n+k+1)!} \sum_{i=0}^m \theta_{m,i}(x) \sum_{\substack{I \subset \{1, \dots, m\} \\ |I|=i}} \left(\sum_{j \in I} r_j + k\right) \dots \left(\sum_{j \in I} r_j + 1\right). \end{aligned}$$

We shall now use the relations

$$(a+k) \dots (a+1) = \sum_{p=0}^k \left( \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_{k-p} \leq k} i_1 \dots i_{k-p} \right) \cdot a^p$$

and

$$\left(\sum_{j=0}^i r_j\right)^p = \sum_{\substack{q_1, \dots, q_i \geq 0 \\ q_1 + \dots + q_i = p}} \frac{p!}{q_1! \dots q_i!} r_1^{q_1} \dots r_i^{q_i}$$

to obtain

$$U_\tau(e_k, x) = \frac{(n+1)!}{(n+k+1)!} \sum_{i=0}^m \theta_{m,i}(x) \sum_{I=\{j_1, \dots, j_i\} \subset \{1, \dots, m\}} \sum_{p=0}^k \left( \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_{k-p} \leq k} i_1 \dots i_{k-p} \right) \sum_{\substack{q_1, \dots, q_i \geq 0 \\ q_1 + \dots + q_i = p}} \frac{p!}{q_1! \dots q_i!} r_{j_1}^{q_1} \dots r_{j_i}^{q_i}.$$

Note that, for any  $I = \{j_1, \dots, j_i\} \subset \{1, \dots, m\}$  and for any  $q_1, \dots, q_i \in \mathbb{N}_0$ , such that  $q_1 + \dots + q_i = p$ , the product  $r_{j_1}^{q_1} \dots r_{j_i}^{q_i}$  can be written in the form  $r_1^{p_1} \dots r_m^{p_m}$ , where  $p_j = 0$ , if  $j \notin I$  and  $p_j = q_s$ , if there is  $s \in \{1, \dots, i\}$ , such that  $j = j_s$ .

Denote

$$\sigma_{k-p} = \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_{k-p} \leq k} i_1 \dots i_{k-p}.$$

Then we can rewrite

$$\begin{aligned} U_\tau(e_k, x) &= \frac{(n+1)!}{(n+k+1)!} \sum_{i=0}^m \theta_{m,i}(x) \sum_{p=0}^k \sigma_{k-p} \sum_{\substack{p_1, \dots, p_m \geq 0 \\ p_1 + \dots + p_m = p}} \frac{p!}{p_1! \dots p_m!} r_1^{p_1} \dots r_m^{p_m} \\ &\quad \cdot |\{I \subset \{1, \dots, m\} \mid |I| = i \text{ and } p_j \geq 1 \Rightarrow j \in I, \forall j = \overline{1, m}\}| \\ &= \frac{(n+1)!}{(n+k+1)!} \sum_{i=0}^m \theta_{m,i}(x) \sum_{p=0}^k \sigma_{k-p} \sum_{c=0}^p \sum_{\substack{p_1, \dots, p_m \geq 0 \\ p_1 + \dots + p_m = p \\ |\{p_1, \dots, p_m\} \cap \mathbb{N}| = c}} \frac{p!}{p_1! \dots p_m!} r_1^{p_1} \dots r_m^{p_m} \\ &\quad \cdot |\{I \subset \{1, \dots, m\} \mid |I| = i \text{ and } p_j \geq 1 \Rightarrow j \in I, \forall j = \overline{1, m}\}| \\ &= \frac{(n+1)!}{(n+k+1)!} \sum_{i=0}^m \theta_{m,i}(x) \sum_{p=0}^k \sigma_{k-p} \sum_{c=0}^p \sum_{\substack{p_1, \dots, p_m \geq 0 \\ p_1 + \dots + p_m = p \\ |\{p_1, \dots, p_m\} \cap \mathbb{N}| = c}} \frac{p!}{p_1! \dots p_m!} r_1^{p_1} \dots r_m^{p_m} \\ &\quad \cdot \binom{m-c}{i-c} \\ &= \frac{(n+1)!}{(n+k+1)!} \sum_{c=0}^k \left( \sum_{p=c}^k \sigma_{k-p} \sum_{\substack{p_1, \dots, p_m \geq 0 \\ p_1 + \dots + p_m = p \\ |\{p_1, \dots, p_m\} \cap \mathbb{N}| = c}} \frac{p!}{p_1! \dots p_m!} r_1^{p_1} \dots r_m^{p_m} \right) x^c. \end{aligned}$$

□

We obtain the following useful:

**Corollary 1.**

$$U_\tau(e_2, x) = \frac{1}{(n+3)(n+2)} \left[ 2 + \left( 3n + \sum_{j=1}^m r_j^2 \right) \cdot x + \left( 2 \sum_{1 \leq j_1 < j_2 \leq m} r_{j_1} r_{j_2} \right) \cdot x^2 \right]. \quad (6)$$

Finally, we deduce the conditions for uniform approximation of continuous functions, using sequences of Brass-Durrmeyer type operators  $U_\tau$ .

**Theorem 2.** *Let  $\tau(n) = (t_1(n), \dots, t_{m_n}(n)) \in D_{m_n}, n \in \mathbb{N}$ . If condition*

$$\sum_{j=1}^{m_n} t_j^2(n) = o(1), \quad n \rightarrow \infty, \quad (7)$$

*holds, then  $U_{\tau(n)}(f, x)$  converges uniformly to  $f(x)$  on interval  $[0, 1]$ , as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , for each  $f \in C[0, 1]$ .*

*Proof.* We have  $U_{\tau(n)}(e_0) = e_0$ . Also, from  $U_{\tau(n)}(e_1) = \frac{n}{n+2} \cdot e_1 + \frac{1}{n+2} \cdot e_0$  we obtain immediately that  $U_{\tau(n)}(e_1)$  converges uniformly to  $e_1$  on  $[0, 1]$  when  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

We have

$$\sum_{1 \leq i_1 < i_2 \leq m_n} t_{i_1}(n) t_{i_2}(n) = \frac{1}{2} \left( \left( \sum_{i=1}^{m_n} t_i(n) \right)^2 - \sum_{i=1}^{m_n} t_i^2(n) \right) = \frac{1}{2} \left( 1 - \sum_{i=1}^{m_n} t_i^2(n) \right).$$

Then, from (7) it follows

$$\sum_{1 \leq i_1 < i_2 \leq m_n} t_{i_1}(n) t_{i_2}(n) = \frac{1}{2} + o(1), \quad n \rightarrow \infty. \quad (8)$$

Since  $t_i(n) = \frac{r_i(n)}{n}$ , then from relations (7), (8) and (6) we deduce that  $U_{\tau(n)}(e_2)$  converges uniformly to  $e_2$  on  $[0, 1]$  when  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Hence we can apply the theorem of Korovkin.  $\square$

## References

- [1] Brass, H.: *Eine Verallgemeinerung der Bernsteinschen Operatoren*, Abhandlungen aus den Math. Seminar der Univ. Hamburg **36**, (1971), 111-122.
- [2] Păltănea, R.: *Approximation Theory Using Positive Linear Operators*, Birkhäuser, Boston, 2004.