

## EXISTENCE OF POSITIVE SOLUTIONS FOR A NONLINEAR HIGHER-ORDER MULTI-POINT BOUNDARY VALUE PROBLEM

Johnny HENDERSON<sup>1</sup> and Rodica LUCA<sup>2</sup>

### Abstract

We investigate the existence of positive solutions of a system of higher-order nonlinear ordinary differential equations, subject to multi-point boundary conditions.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: 34B10, 34B18.

*Key words*: higher-order differential system, multi-point boundary conditions, positive solutions.

## 1 Introduction

In recent years, the multi-point boundary value problems for second-order or higher-order differential or difference equations/systems have been investigated by many authors, by using different methods such as fixed point theorems in cones, the Leray-Schauder continuation theorem and its nonlinear alternatives and the coincidence degree theory.

In this paper, we consider the system of nonlinear higher-order ordinary differential equations

$$(S) \quad \begin{cases} u^{(n)}(t) + \lambda c(t)f(u(t), v(t)) = 0, & t \in (0, T), \quad n \in \mathbb{N}, \quad n \geq 2, \\ v^{(m)}(t) + \mu d(t)g(u(t), v(t)) = 0, & t \in (0, T), \quad m \in \mathbb{N}, \quad m \geq 2, \end{cases}$$

with the multi-point boundary conditions

$$(BC) \quad \begin{cases} u(0) = u'(0) = \dots = u^{(n-2)}(0) = 0, & u(T) = \sum_{i=1}^{p-2} a_i u(\xi_i), \quad p \in \mathbb{N}, \quad p \geq 3, \\ v(0) = v'(0) = \dots = v^{(m-2)}(0) = 0, & v(T) = \sum_{i=1}^{q-2} b_i v(\eta_i), \quad q \in \mathbb{N}, \quad q \geq 3. \end{cases}$$

We give sufficient conditions on  $\lambda$ ,  $\mu$ ,  $f$  and  $g$  such that positive solutions of  $(S) - (BC)$  exist. By a positive solution of problem  $(S) - (BC)$  we mean a pair of functions  $(u, v) \in$

---

<sup>1</sup>Department of Mathematics, Baylor University, Waco, Texas, USA, e-mail: Johnny\_Henderson@baylor.edu

<sup>2</sup>Department of Mathematics, Gh. Asachi Technical University, Iasi, Romania, e-mail: rluca@math.tuiasi.ro

$C^n([0, T]) \times C^m([0, T])$  satisfying (S) and (BC) with  $u(t) \geq 0$ ,  $v(t) \geq 0$  for all  $t \in [0, T]$  and  $\|u\| + \|v\| > 0$ , where  $\|u\| = \sup_{t \in [0, T]} |u(t)|$ . This problem is a generalization of the

one studied in [19], where  $n = m$ ,  $p = q$ ,  $a_i = b_i$ ,  $\xi_i = \eta_i$  for all  $i = 1, \dots, p - 2$ . The system (S) with  $n = m$ ,  $f(u, v) = \tilde{f}(v)$ ,  $g(u, v) = \tilde{g}(u)$  (denoted by  $(\tilde{S})$ ) and the boundary conditions (BC) with  $p = q$ ,  $a_i = b_i$ ,  $\xi_i = \eta_i$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, p - 2$  (denoted by  $(\tilde{BC})$ ) has been investigated in [16]. In [4], the authors studied the system  $(\tilde{S})$  with  $T = 1$  and the boundary conditions  $u(0) = u'(0) = \dots = u^{(n-2)}(0) = 0$ ,  $u(1) = \alpha u(\eta)$ ,  $v(0) = v'(0) = \dots = v^{(n-2)}(0) = 0$ ,  $v(1) = \alpha v(\eta)$ , where  $0 < \eta < 1$ ,  $0 < \alpha \eta^{n-1} < 1$ . We also mention the paper [20], where the authors used the fixed point index theory to prove the existence of positive solutions for the system (S) with  $\lambda = \mu = 1$  and (BC), where  $\frac{1}{2} \leq \xi_1 < \xi_2 < \dots < \xi_p < 1$ ,  $\frac{1}{2} \leq \eta_1 < \eta_2 < \dots < \eta_q < 1$ .

The system (S) with  $n = m = 2$  and the boundary conditions  $\alpha u(0) - \beta u'(0) = 0$ ,  $u(T) = \sum_{i=1}^m a_i u(\xi_i)$ ,  $m \geq 1$ ,  $\gamma v(0) - \delta v'(0) = 0$ ,  $v(T) = \sum_{i=1}^n b_i v(\eta_i)$ ,  $n \geq 1$ , has been investigated in [2]. Some particular cases of the last problem were studied in [6], [8], [9], [17]. In [5], the authors investigated the system  $(\tilde{S})$  with  $n = m = 2$  and the boundary conditions  $\alpha u(0) - \beta u'(0) = 0$ ,  $\alpha v(0) - \beta v'(0) = 0$ ,  $\gamma u(1) + \delta u'(1) = 0$ ,  $\gamma v(1) + \delta v'(1) = 0$ , with  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta \geq 0$ ,  $\alpha + \beta + \gamma + \delta > 0$ . For the discrete problem corresponding to (S) with  $n = m = 2$  and various boundary conditions, we would like to mention the papers [3], [7], [10], [14], [15], [18].

In Section 2, we present some auxiliary results which investigate two boundary value problems for higher-order equations (the problems (1)-(2) and (3)-(4) below). In Section 3, we give some existence theorems for the positive solutions with respect to a cone for our problem (S)-(BC). The proofs of these results are similar to those of Theorems 3.1 and 3.2 from [1]. These theorems are based on the Krasnoselskii fixed point theorem (see [12], [13]), which we present now.

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $(X, \|\cdot\|)$  be a normed linear space,  $K \subset X$  a cone,  $0 < a < b$  two given numbers and  $K(a, b) = \{x \in K, a \leq \|x\| \leq b\}$ ,  $K_a = \{x \in K, \|x\| = a\}$ ,  $K_b = \{x \in K, \|x\| = b\}$ . Let  $T : K(a, b) \rightarrow K$  be a completely continuous operator such that one of the following conditions is satisfied:*

- i)  $\|Tx\| \leq \|x\|$  if  $x \in K_a$  and  $\|Tx\| \geq \|x\|$  if  $x \in K_b$ ;*
- ii)  $\|Tx\| \geq \|x\|$  if  $x \in K_a$  and  $\|Tx\| \leq \|x\|$  if  $x \in K_b$ .*

*Then  $T$  has a fixed point in  $K(a, b)$ .*

Finally, some examples are presented in Section 4 to illustrate our main results.

## 2 Auxiliary results

In this section, we present some auxiliary results from [11] and [16], related to the following  $n$ -order differential equation with  $p$ -point boundary conditions

$$u^{(n)}(t) + y(t) = 0, \quad t \in (0, T), \quad (1)$$

$$u(0) = u'(0) = \dots = u^{(n-2)}(0) = 0, \quad u(T) = \sum_{i=1}^{p-2} a_i u(\xi_i). \tag{2}$$

**Lemma 1.** ([11], [16]) *If  $d = T^{n-1} - \sum_{i=1}^{p-2} a_i \xi_i^{n-1} \neq 0$ ,  $0 < \xi_1 < \dots < \xi_{p-2} < T$  and  $y \in C([0, T])$ , then the solution of (1)-(2) is given by*

$$u(t) = \frac{t^{n-1}}{d(n-1)!} \int_0^T (T-s)^{n-1} y(s) ds - \frac{t^{n-1}}{d(n-1)!} \sum_{i=1}^{p-2} a_i \int_0^{\xi_i} (\xi_i - s)^{n-1} y(s) ds - \frac{1}{(n-1)!} \int_0^t (t-s)^{n-1} y(s) ds, \quad 0 \leq t \leq T.$$

**Lemma 2.** ([11], [16]) *Under the assumptions of Lemma 1, the Green's function for the boundary value problem (1)-(2) is given by*

$$G_1(t, s) = \begin{cases} \frac{t^{n-1}}{d(n-1)!} \left[ (T-s)^{n-1} - \sum_{i=j+1}^{p-2} a_i (\xi_i - s)^{n-1} \right] - \frac{1}{(n-1)!} (t-s)^{n-1}, & \text{if } \xi_j \leq s < \xi_{j+1}, \quad s \leq t, \\ \frac{t^{n-1}}{d(n-1)!} \left[ (T-s)^{n-1} - \sum_{i=j+1}^{p-2} a_i (\xi_i - s)^{n-1} \right], & \text{if } \xi_j \leq s < \xi_{j+1}, \quad s \geq t, \quad j = 0, \dots, p-3, \\ \frac{t^{n-1}}{d(n-1)!} (T-s)^{n-1} - \frac{1}{(n-1)!} (t-s)^{n-1}, & \text{if } \xi_{p-2} \leq s \leq T, \quad s \leq t, \\ \frac{t^{n-1}}{d(n-1)!} (T-s)^{n-1}, & \text{if } \xi_{p-2} \leq s \leq T, \quad s \geq t, \quad (\xi_0 = 0). \end{cases}$$

Using the above Green's function the solution of problem (1)-(2) is expressed as  $u(t) = \int_0^T G_1(t, s) y(s) ds$ .

**Lemma 3.** ([11], [16]) *If  $a_i > 0$  for all  $i = 1, \dots, p-2$ ,  $0 < \xi_1 < \dots < \xi_{p-2} < T$ ,  $d > 0$  and  $y \in C([0, T])$ ,  $y(t) \geq 0$  for all  $t \in [0, T]$ , then the solution  $u$  of problem (1)-(2) satisfies  $u(t) \geq 0$  for all  $t \in [0, T]$ .*

**Lemma 4.** ([16]) *If  $a_i > 0$  for all  $i = 1, \dots, p-2$ ,  $0 < \xi_1 < \dots < \xi_{p-2} < T$ ,  $d > 0$ ,  $y \in C([0, T])$ ,  $y(t) \geq 0$  for all  $t \in [0, T]$ , then the solution of problem (1)-(2) satisfies*

$$\begin{cases} u(t) \leq \frac{T^{n-1}}{d(n-1)!} \int_0^T (T-s)^{n-1} y(s) ds, \quad \forall t \in [0, T], \\ u(\xi_j) \geq \frac{\xi_j^{n-1}}{d(n-1)!} \int_{\xi_{p-2}}^T (T-s)^{n-1} y(s) ds, \quad \forall j = \overline{1, p-2}. \end{cases}$$

**Lemma 5.** ([11]) Assume that  $0 < \xi_1 < \dots < \xi_{p-2} < T$ ,  $a_i > 0$  for all  $i = 1, \dots, p-2$ ,  $d > 0$  and  $y \in C([0, T])$ ,  $y(t) \geq 0$  for all  $t \in [0, T]$ . Then the solution of problem (1)-(2) satisfies  $\inf_{t \in [\xi_{p-2}, T]} u(t) \geq \gamma_1 \|u\|$ , where

$$\gamma_1 = \begin{cases} \min \left\{ \frac{a_{p-2}(T - \xi_{p-2})}{T - a_{p-2}\xi_{p-2}}, \frac{a_{p-2}\xi_{p-2}^{n-1}}{T^{n-1}} \right\}, & \text{if } \sum_{i=1}^{p-2} a_i < 1, \\ \min \left\{ \frac{a_1\xi_1^{n-1}}{T^{n-1}}, \frac{\xi_{p-2}^{n-1}}{T^{n-1}} \right\}, & \text{if } \sum_{i=1}^{p-2} a_i \geq 1. \end{cases}$$

We can also formulate similar results as Lemma 1 - Lemma 5 above for the boundary value problem

$$v^{(m)}(t) + h(t) = 0, \quad t \in (0, T), \quad (3)$$

$$v(0) = v'(0) = \dots = v^{(m-2)}(0) = 0, \quad v(T) = \sum_{i=1}^{q-2} b_i v(\eta_i). \quad (4)$$

If  $e = T^{m-1} - \sum_{i=1}^{q-2} b_i \eta_i^{m-1} \neq 0$ ,  $0 < \eta_1 < \dots < \eta_{q-2} < T$  and  $h \in C([0, T])$ , we denote by  $G_2$  the Green's function corresponding to problem (3)-(4). Under similar assumptions as those from Lemma 5, we have the inequality  $\inf_{t \in [\eta_{q-2}, T]} v(t) \geq \gamma_2 \|v\|$ , where  $v$  is the solution of problem (3)-(4) and  $\gamma_2$  has a similar form as  $\gamma_1$  from Lemma 5 with  $n$ ,  $p$  and  $a_i$  replaced by  $m$ ,  $q$  and  $b_i$ , respectively.

### 3 Main results

In this section, we give sufficient conditions on  $\lambda$ ,  $\mu$ ,  $f$  and  $g$  such that positive solutions with respect to a cone for our problem (S) - (BC) exist.

We present the assumptions that we shall use in the sequel.

$$(H1) \quad 0 < \xi_1 < \dots < \xi_{p-2} < T, \quad a_i > 0, \quad i = \overline{1, p-2}, \quad d = T^{n-1} - \sum_{i=1}^{p-2} a_i \xi_i^{n-1} > 0,$$

$$0 < \eta_1 < \dots < \eta_{q-2} < T, \quad b_i > 0, \quad i = \overline{1, q-2}, \quad e = T^{m-1} - \sum_{i=1}^{q-2} b_i \eta_i^{m-1} > 0.$$

(H2) The functions  $c, d : [0, T] \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  are continuous and there exist  $t_1, t_2 \in [\theta_0, T]$  such that  $c(t_1) > 0$  and  $d(t_2) > 0$ , where  $\theta_0 = \max\{\xi_{p-2}, \eta_{q-2}\}$ .

(H2') The functions  $c, d : [0, T] \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  are continuous and there exist  $t_1 \in [\xi_{p-2}, T]$ ,  $t_2 \in [\eta_{q-2}, T]$  such that  $c(t_1) > 0$  and  $d(t_2) > 0$ .

(H3) The functions  $f, g : [0, \infty) \times [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  are continuous.

Throughout this section, we let

$$\begin{aligned} f_0^s &= \limsup_{(u,v) \rightarrow (0^+,0^+)} \frac{f(u,v)}{u+v}, & g_0^s &= \limsup_{(u,v) \rightarrow (0^+,0^+)} \frac{g(u,v)}{u+v}, \\ f_0^i &= \liminf_{(u,v) \rightarrow (0^+,0^+)} \frac{f(u,v)}{u+v}, & g_0^i &= \liminf_{(u,v) \rightarrow (0^+,0^+)} \frac{g(u,v)}{u+v}, \\ f_\infty^s &= \limsup_{(u,v) \rightarrow (\infty,\infty)} \frac{f(u,v)}{u+v}, & g_\infty^s &= \limsup_{(u,v) \rightarrow (\infty,\infty)} \frac{g(u,v)}{u+v}, \\ f_\infty^i &= \liminf_{(u,v) \rightarrow (\infty,\infty)} \frac{f(u,v)}{u+v}, & g_\infty^i &= \liminf_{(u,v) \rightarrow (\infty,\infty)} \frac{g(u,v)}{u+v}. \end{aligned}$$

We consider the Banach space  $X = C([0, T])$  with supremum norm  $\| \cdot \|$ , and the Banach space  $Y = X \times X$  with the norm  $\|(u, v)\|_Y = \|u\| + \|v\|$ .

We define the cone  $C \subset Y$  by  $C = \{(u, v) \in Y; u(t) \geq 0, v(t) \geq 0, \forall t \in [0, T] \text{ and } \inf_{t \in [\theta_0, T]} (u(t) + v(t)) \geq \gamma \|(u, v)\|_Y\}$ , where  $\gamma = \min\{\gamma_1, \gamma_2\}$  and  $\gamma_1, \gamma_2$  are defined in Section 2.

First, for  $f_0^s, g_0^s, f_\infty^i, g_\infty^i \in (0, \infty)$  and positive numbers  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 > 0$  such that  $\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 = 1$ , we define the positive numbers  $L_1, L_2, L_3$  and  $L_4$  by

$$\begin{aligned} L_1 &= \alpha_1 \left( \frac{\gamma \xi_{p-2}^{n-1}}{d(n-1)!} \int_{\theta_0}^T (T-s)^{n-1} c(s) f_\infty^i ds \right)^{-1}, \\ L_2 &= \alpha_1 \left( \frac{T^{n-1}}{d(n-1)!} \int_0^T (T-s)^{n-1} c(s) f_0^s ds \right)^{-1}, \\ L_3 &= \alpha_2 \left( \frac{\gamma \eta_{q-2}^{m-1}}{e(m-1)!} \int_{\theta_0}^T (T-s)^{m-1} d(s) g_\infty^i ds \right)^{-1}, \\ L_4 &= \alpha_2 \left( \frac{T^{m-1}}{e(m-1)!} \int_0^T (T-s)^{m-1} d(s) g_0^s ds \right)^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

**Theorem 2.** Assume that (H1), (H2) and (H3) hold and  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 > 0$  are positive numbers such that  $\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 = 1$ .

a) If  $f_0^s, g_0^s, f_\infty^i, g_\infty^i \in (0, \infty)$ ,  $L_1 < L_2$  and  $L_3 < L_4$ , then for each  $\lambda \in (L_1, L_2)$  and  $\mu \in (L_3, L_4)$  there exists a positive solution  $(u(t), v(t))$ ,  $t \in [0, T]$  for (S) – (BC).

b) If  $f_0^s = g_0^s = 0$ ,  $f_\infty^i, g_\infty^i \in (0, \infty)$ , then for each  $\lambda \in (L_1, \infty)$  and  $\mu \in (L_3, \infty)$  there exists a positive solution  $(u(t), v(t))$ ,  $t \in [0, T]$  for (S) – (BC).

c) If  $f_0^s, g_0^s \in (0, \infty)$ ,  $f_\infty^i = g_\infty^i = \infty$ , then for each  $\lambda \in (0, L_2)$  and  $\mu \in (0, L_4)$  there exists a positive solution  $(u(t), v(t))$ ,  $t \in [0, T]$  for (S) – (BC).

d) If  $f_0^s = g_0^s = 0$ ,  $f_\infty^i = g_\infty^i = \infty$ , then for each  $\lambda \in (0, \infty)$  and  $\mu \in (0, \infty)$  there exists a positive solution  $(u(t), v(t))$ ,  $t \in [0, T]$  for (S) – (BC).

**Sketch of proof.** a) We suppose  $f_0^s, g_0^s, f_\infty^i, g_\infty^i \in (0, \infty)$ ,  $L_1 < L_2$  and  $L_3 < L_4$ . Let  $P_1, P_2 : Y \rightarrow X$  and  $Q : Y \rightarrow Y$  be the operators defined by

$$\begin{aligned} P_1(u, v)(t) &= \lambda \int_0^T G_1(t, s) c(s) f(u(s), v(s)) ds, \quad t \in [0, T], \\ P_2(u, v)(t) &= \mu \int_0^T G_2(t, s) d(s) g(u(s), v(s)) ds, \quad t \in [0, T], \end{aligned}$$

and  $\mathcal{Q}(u, v) = (P_1(u, v), P_2(u, v))$ ,  $(u, v) \in Y$ , where  $G_1, G_2$  are the Green's functions defined in Section 2.

The solutions of problem (S) – (BC) are the fixed points of the operator  $\mathcal{Q}$ .

We consider an arbitrary element  $(u, v) \in C$ . Because  $P_1(u, v)$  and  $P_2(u, v)$  satisfy the problem (1)-(2) for  $y(t) = \lambda c(t)f(u(t), v(t))$ ,  $t \in [0, T]$ , and the problem (3)-(4) for  $h(t) = \mu d(t)g(u(t), v(t))$ ,  $t \in [0, T]$ , respectively, then by Lemma 5, we obtain

$$\inf_{t \in [\theta_0, T]} P_1(u, v)(t) \geq \gamma_1 \|P_1(u, v)\|, \quad \inf_{t \in [\theta_0, T]} P_2(u, v)(t) \geq \gamma_2 \|P_2(u, v)\|.$$

Therefore we deduce

$$\inf_{t \in [\theta_0, T]} [P_1(u, v)(t) + P_2(u, v)(t)] \geq \gamma_1 \|P_1(u, v)\| + \gamma_2 \|P_2(u, v)\| \geq \gamma \|\mathcal{Q}(u, v)\|_Y.$$

By using Lemma 3, (H2) and (H3), we obtain that  $P_1(u, v)(t) \geq 0$ ,  $P_2(u, v)(t) \geq 0$ , for all  $t \in [0, T]$ , and so we deduce that  $\mathcal{Q}(u, v) \in C$ . Hence we get  $\mathcal{Q}(C) \subset C$ .

By using standard arguments, we can easily show that  $P_1$  and  $P_2$  are completely continuous, and then  $\mathcal{Q}$  is a completely continuous operator.

Now let  $\lambda \in (L_1, L_2)$ ,  $\mu \in (L_3, L_4)$ , and let  $\varepsilon > 0$  be a positive number such that  $\varepsilon < f_\infty^i$ ,  $\varepsilon < g_\infty^i$  and

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_1 \left( \frac{\gamma \xi_{p-2}^{n-1}}{d(n-1)!} \int_{\theta_0}^T (T-s)^{n-1} c(s) (f_\infty^i - \varepsilon) ds \right)^{-1} &\leq \lambda, \\ \alpha_1 \left( \frac{T^{n-1}}{d(n-1)!} \int_0^T (T-s)^{n-1} c(s) (f_0^s + \varepsilon) ds \right)^{-1} &\geq \lambda, \\ \alpha_2 \left( \frac{\gamma \eta_{q-2}^{m-1}}{e(m-1)!} \int_{\theta_0}^T (T-s)^{m-1} d(s) (g_\infty^i - \varepsilon) ds \right)^{-1} &\leq \mu, \\ \alpha_2 \left( \frac{T^{m-1}}{e(m-1)!} \int_0^T (T-s)^{m-1} d(s) (g_0^s + \varepsilon) ds \right)^{-1} &\geq \mu. \end{aligned}$$

By (H3), we deduce that there exists  $K_1 > 0$  such that for all  $u, v \in \mathbb{R}_+$ , with  $0 \leq u + v \leq K_1$ , we have  $f(u, v) \leq (f_0^s + \varepsilon)(u + v)$  and  $g(u, v) \leq (g_0^s + \varepsilon)(u + v)$ .

We define the ball  $\Omega_1 = \{(u, v) \in Y, \|(u, v)\|_Y < K_1\}$ . Now let  $(u, v) \in C \cap \partial\Omega_1$ , that is  $(u, v) \in C$  with  $\|(u, v)\|_Y = K_1$  or, equivalently,  $\|u\| + \|v\| = K_1$ . Then  $u(t) + v(t) \leq K_1$  for all  $t \in [0, T]$ . By Lemma 4, after some computations, we deduce that  $P_1(u, v)(t) \leq \alpha_1 \|(u, v)\|_Y$  for all  $t \in [0, T]$ . Therefore  $\|P_1(u, v)\| \leq \alpha_1 \|(u, v)\|_Y$ . In a similar manner, we obtain  $\|P_2(u, v)\| \leq \alpha_2 \|(u, v)\|_Y$ .

Then for  $(u, v) \in C \cap \partial\Omega_1$  we deduce

$$\|\mathcal{Q}(u, v)\|_Y = \|(P_1(u, v), P_2(u, v))\|_Y \leq \alpha_1 \|(u, v)\|_Y + \alpha_2 \|(u, v)\|_Y = \|(u, v)\|_Y.$$

By the definitions of  $f_\infty^i$  and  $g_\infty^i$ , there exists  $\bar{K}_2 > 0$  such that  $f(u, v) \geq (f_\infty^i - \varepsilon)(u + v)$  and  $g(u, v) \geq (g_\infty^i - \varepsilon)(u + v)$  for all  $u, v \geq 0$ , with  $u + v \geq \bar{K}_2$ . We consider  $K_2 =$

$\max\{2K_1, \bar{K}_2/r\}$ , and we define  $\Omega_2 = \{(u, v) \in Y, \|(u, v)\|_Y < K_2\}$ . Then for  $(u, v) \in C$  with  $\|(u, v)\|_Y = K_2$ , we obtain

$$u(t) + v(t) \geq \gamma_1\|u\| + \gamma_2\|v\| \geq \gamma(\|u\| + \|v\|) = \gamma\|(u, v)\|_Y = \gamma K_2 \geq \bar{K}_2, \quad \forall t \in [\theta_0, T].$$

Then by Lemma 4, after some computations, we deduce that  $P_1(u, v)(\xi_{p-2}) \geq \alpha_1\|(u, v)\|_Y$ . So  $\|P_1(u, v)\| \geq P_1(u, v)(\xi_{p-2}) \geq \alpha_1\|(u, v)\|_Y$ . In a similar manner, we obtain  $\|P_2(u, v)\| \geq P_2(u, v)(\eta_{q-2}) \geq \alpha_2\|(u, v)\|_Y$ .

Hence for  $(u, v) \in C \cap \partial\Omega_2$  we obtain

$$\|\mathcal{Q}(u, v)\|_Y = \|P_1(u, v)\| + \|P_2(u, v)\| \geq (\alpha_1 + \alpha_2)\|(u, v)\|_Y = \|(u, v)\|_Y.$$

By using Theorem 1 i) with  $T = \mathcal{Q}, K = C, a = K_1, b = K_2, K(a, b) = C \cap (\bar{\Omega}_2 \setminus \Omega_1), K_a = C \cap \partial\Omega_1, K_b = C \cap \partial\Omega_2$ , we deduce that  $\mathcal{Q}$  has a fixed point  $(u, v) \in C \cap (\Omega_2 \setminus \Omega_1)$  such that  $K_1 \leq \|(u, v)\|_Y \leq K_2$  or  $K_1 \leq \|u\| + \|v\| \leq K_2$ .

The proofs of cases b)-d) are similar to that of case a) and we shall omit them (see also the paper [1]). □

**Remark 1.** The condition  $L_1 < L_2$  from Theorem 2 is equivalent to

$$f_0^s T^{n-1} \int_0^T (T-s)^{n-1} c(s) ds < f_\infty^i \gamma \xi_{p-2}^{n-1} \int_{\theta_0}^T (T-s)^{n-1} c(s) ds$$

and  $L_3 < L_4$  is equivalent to

$$g_0^s T^{m-1} \int_0^T (T-s)^{m-1} d(s) ds < g_\infty^i \gamma \eta_{q-2}^{m-1} \int_{\theta_0}^T (T-s)^{m-1} d(s) ds.$$

In what follows, for  $f_0^i, g_0^i, f_\infty^s, g_\infty^s \in (0, \infty)$  and positive numbers  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 > 0$  such that  $\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 = 1$ , we define the positive numbers  $\tilde{L}_1, \tilde{L}_2, \tilde{L}_3$  and  $\tilde{L}_4$  by

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{L}_1 &= \alpha_1 \left( \frac{\gamma \xi_{p-2}^{n-1}}{d(n-1)!} \int_{\xi_{p-2}}^T (T-s)^{n-1} c(s) f_0^i ds \right)^{-1}, \\ \tilde{L}_2 &= \alpha_1 \left( \frac{T^{n-1}}{d(n-1)!} \int_0^T (T-s)^{n-1} c(s) f_\infty^s ds \right)^{-1}, \\ \tilde{L}_3 &= \alpha_2 \left( \frac{\gamma \eta_{q-2}^{m-1}}{e(m-1)!} \int_{\eta_{q-2}}^T (T-s)^{m-1} d(s) g_0^i ds \right)^{-1}, \\ \tilde{L}_4 &= \alpha_2 \left( \frac{T^{m-1}}{e(m-1)!} \int_0^T (T-s)^{m-1} d(s) g_\infty^s ds \right)^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

**Theorem 3.** Assume that (H1), (H2') and (H3) hold and  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 > 0$  are positive numbers such that  $\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 = 1$ .

a) If  $f_0^i, g_0^i, f_\infty^s, g_\infty^s \in (0, \infty), \tilde{L}_1 < \tilde{L}_2$  and  $\tilde{L}_3 < \tilde{L}_4$ , then for each  $\lambda \in (\tilde{L}_1, \tilde{L}_2)$  and  $\mu \in (\tilde{L}_3, \tilde{L}_4)$  there exists a positive solution  $(u(t), v(t)), t \in [0, T]$  for (S) – (BC).

b) If  $f_\infty^s = g_\infty^s = 0, f_0^i, g_0^i \in (0, \infty)$ , then for each  $\lambda \in (\tilde{L}_1, \infty)$  and  $\mu \in (\tilde{L}_3, \infty)$  there exists a positive solution  $(u(t), v(t)), t \in [0, T]$  for (S) – (BC).

c) If  $f_\infty^s, g_\infty^s \in (0, \infty)$ ,  $f_0^i = g_0^i = \infty$ , then for each  $\lambda \in (0, \tilde{L}_2)$  and  $\mu \in (0, \tilde{L}_4)$  there exists a positive solution  $(u(t), v(t))$ ,  $t \in [0, T]$  for  $(S) - (BC)$ .

d) If  $f_\infty^s = g_\infty^s = 0$ ,  $f_0^i = g_0^i = \infty$ , then for each  $\lambda \in (0, \infty)$  and  $\mu \in (0, \infty)$  there exists a positive solution  $(u(t), v(t))$ ,  $t \in [0, T]$  for  $(S) - (BC)$ .

**Sketch of proof.** a) Let  $\lambda \in (\tilde{L}_1, \tilde{L}_2)$  and  $\mu \in (\tilde{L}_3, \tilde{L}_4)$ . We select a positive number  $\varepsilon$  such that  $\varepsilon < f_0^i$ ,  $\varepsilon < g_0^i$  and

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_1 \left( \frac{\gamma \xi_{p-2}^{n-1}}{d(n-1)!} \int_{\xi_{p-2}}^T (T-s)^{n-1} c(s) (f_0^i - \varepsilon) ds \right)^{-1} &\leq \lambda, \\ \alpha_1 \left( \frac{T^{n-1}}{d(n-1)!} \int_0^T (T-s)^{n-1} c(s) (f_\infty^s + \varepsilon) ds \right)^{-1} &\geq \lambda, \\ \alpha_2 \left( \frac{\gamma \eta_{q-2}^{m-1}}{e(m-1)!} \int_{\eta_{q-2}}^T (T-s)^{m-1} d(s) (g_0^i - \varepsilon) ds \right)^{-1} &\leq \mu, \\ \alpha_2 \left( \frac{T^{m-1}}{e(m-1)!} \int_0^T (T-s)^{m-1} d(s) (g_\infty^s + \varepsilon) ds \right)^{-1} &\geq \mu. \end{aligned}$$

We also consider the operators defined in the proof of Theorem 2. By the definitions of  $f_0^i, g_0^i \in (0, \infty)$ , we deduce that there exists  $K_3 > 0$  such that  $f(u, v) \geq (f_0^i - \varepsilon)(u + v)$ ,  $g(u, v) \geq (g_0^i - \varepsilon)(u + v)$  for all  $u, v \geq 0$ , with  $0 \leq u + v \leq K_3$ .

We denote by  $\Omega_3 = \{(u, v) \in Y; \|(u, v)\|_Y < K_3\}$ . Let  $(u, v) \in C$  with  $\|(u, v)\|_Y = K_3$ , that is  $\|u\| + \|v\| = K_3$ . Because  $u(t) + v(t) \leq \|u\| + \|v\| = K_3$  for all  $t \in [0, T]$ , then by using Lemma 4, we obtain after some computations  $P_1(u, v)(\xi_{p-2}) \geq \alpha_1 \|(u, v)\|_Y$ . Therefore,  $\|P_1(u, v)\| \geq (P_1(u, v))(\xi_{p-2}) \geq \alpha_1 \|(u, v)\|_Y$ . In a similar manner, we obtain  $\|P_2(u, v)\| \geq (P_2(u, v))(\eta_{q-2}) \geq \alpha_2 \|(u, v)\|_Y$ .

Thus for an arbitrary element  $(u, v) \in C \cap \partial\Omega_3$  we obtain

$$\|\mathcal{Q}(u, v)\|_Y \geq (\alpha_1 + \alpha_2) \|(u, v)\|_Y = \|(u, v)\|_Y.$$

Now we define the functions  $f^*, g^* : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ ,  $f^*(x) = \max_{0 \leq u+v \leq x} f(u, v)$ ,  $g^*(x) = \max_{0 \leq u+v \leq x} g(u, v)$ ,  $x \in \mathbb{R}_+$ . Then  $f(u, v) \leq f^*(x)$ ,  $g(u, v) \leq g^*(x)$  for all  $(u, v)$ ,  $u \geq 0$ ,  $v \geq 0$  and  $0 \leq u + v \leq x$ . The functions  $f^*, g^*$  are nondecreasing and they satisfy the conditions

$$\limsup_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f^*(x)}{x} \leq f_\infty^s, \quad \limsup_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{g^*(x)}{x} \leq g_\infty^s.$$

Therefore, for  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists  $\bar{K}_4 > 0$ , such that for all  $x \geq \bar{K}_4$ , we have

$$\frac{f^*(x)}{x} \leq \limsup_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f^*(x)}{x} + \varepsilon \leq f_\infty^s + \varepsilon, \quad \frac{g^*(x)}{x} \leq \limsup_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{g^*(x)}{x} + \varepsilon \leq g_\infty^s + \varepsilon,$$

and so  $f^*(x) \leq (f_\infty^s + \varepsilon)x$  and  $g^*(x) \leq (g_\infty^s + \varepsilon)x$ .

We now consider  $K_4 = \max\{2K_3, \bar{K}_4\}$ , and we denote by  $\Omega_4 = \{(u, v) \in Y, \|(u, v)\|_Y < K_4\}$ . Let  $(u, v) \in C \cap \partial\Omega_4$ . By definitions of  $f^*$  and  $g^*$  we have

$$f(u(t), v(t)) \leq f^*(\|(u, v)\|_Y), \quad g(u(t), v(t)) \leq g^*(\|(u, v)\|_Y), \quad \forall t \in [0, T].$$

Then for all  $t \in [0, T]$ , after some computations, we obtain  $P_1(u, v)(t) \leq \alpha_1 \|(u, v)\|_Y$ , and so  $\|P_1(u, v)\| \leq \alpha_1 \|(u, v)\|_Y$ . In a similar manner, we obtain  $\|P_2(u, v)\| \leq \alpha_2 \|(u, v)\|_Y$ .

Therefore for  $(u, v) \in C \cap \partial\Omega_4$  it follows that

$$\|\mathcal{Q}(u, v)\|_Y \leq (\alpha_1 + \alpha_2) \|(u, v)\|_Y = \|(u, v)\|_Y.$$

By using Theorem 1 ii) with  $T = \mathcal{Q}$ ,  $K = C$ ,  $a = K_3$ ,  $b = K_4$ ,  $K(a, b) = C \cap (\bar{\Omega}_4 \setminus \Omega_3)$ ,  $K_a = C \cap \partial\Omega_3$ ,  $K_b = C \cap \partial\Omega_4$ , we deduce that  $\mathcal{Q}$  has a fixed point  $(u, v) \in C \cap (\bar{\Omega}_4 \setminus \Omega_3)$  such that  $K_3 \leq \|(u, v)\|_Y \leq K_4$ .

The proofs of cases b)-d) are similar to that of case a) and we shall omit them (see also the paper [1]. □

**Remark 2.** The condition  $\tilde{L}_1 < \tilde{L}_2$  is equivalent to

$$f_\infty^s T^{n-1} \int_0^T (T-s)^{n-1} c(s) ds \leq f_0^i \gamma \xi_{p-2}^{n-1} \int_{\xi_{p-2}}^T (T-s)^{n-1} c(s) ds$$

and the condition  $\tilde{L}_3 < \tilde{L}_4$  is equivalent to

$$g_\infty^s T^{m-1} \int_0^T (T-s)^{m-1} d(s) ds \leq g_0^i \gamma \eta_{q-2}^{m-1} \int_{\eta_{q-2}}^T (T-s)^{m-1} d(s) ds$$

## 4 Examples

Let  $T = 1$ ,  $n = 3$ ,  $m = 4$ ,  $p = 5$ ,  $q = 4$ ,  $c(t) = c_0 t$ ,  $d(t) = d_0 t$ , for  $t \in [0, 1]$ , with  $c_0, d_0 > 0$ ,  $\xi_1 = \frac{1}{4}$ ,  $\xi_2 = \frac{1}{2}$ ,  $\xi_3 = \frac{3}{4}$ ,  $\eta_1 = \frac{1}{3}$ ,  $\eta_2 = \frac{2}{3}$ ,  $a_1 = 1$ ,  $a_2 = \frac{1}{2}$ ,  $a_3 = \frac{1}{3}$ ,  $b_1 = 1$ ,  $b_2 = 2$ . We have  $d = \frac{5}{8}$ ,  $e = \frac{10}{27}$ ,  $\theta_0 = \frac{3}{4}$ ,  $\gamma_1 = \frac{1}{16}$ ,  $\gamma_2 = \frac{1}{27}$ ,  $\gamma = \frac{1}{27}$ .

We consider the higher-order differential system

$$(S_1) \quad \begin{cases} u^{(3)}(t) + \lambda c_0 t f(u(t), v(t)) = 0, & t \in (0, 1), \\ v^{(4)}(t) + \mu d_0 t g(u(t), v(t)) = 0, & t \in (0, 1), \end{cases}$$

with the boundary conditions

$$(BC_1) \quad \begin{cases} u(0) = u'(0) = 0, & u(1) = u(\frac{1}{4}) + \frac{1}{2}u(\frac{1}{2}) + \frac{1}{3}u(\frac{3}{4}), \\ v(0) = v'(0) = v''(0) = 0, & v(1) = v(\frac{1}{3}) + 2v(\frac{2}{3}) \end{cases}$$

1. First we consider the functions

$$f(u, v) = \frac{(u+v)(p_1 u + 1)(q_1 + \sin v)}{u+1}, \quad g(u, v) = \frac{(u+v)(p_2 v + 1)(q_2 + \cos u)}{v+1},$$

with  $p_1, p_2 > 0$ ,  $q_1, q_2 > 1$ .

It follows that  $f_0^s = f_0^i = q_1$ ,  $g_0^s = g_0^i = q_2 + 1$ ,  $f_\infty^s = p_1(q_1 + 1)$ ,  $f_\infty^i = p_1(q_1 - 1)$ ,  $g_\infty^s = p_2(q_2 + 1)$ ,  $g_\infty^i = p_2(q_2 - 1)$ .

The constants  $L_i$ ,  $i = \overline{1, 4}$  from Section 3 are of the form

$$L_1 = \frac{184320\alpha_1}{13c_0 p_1 (q_1 - 1)}, \quad L_2 = \frac{15\alpha_1}{c_0 q_1}, \quad L_3 = \frac{259200\alpha_2}{d_0 p_2 (q_2 - 1)}, \quad L_4 = \frac{400\alpha_2}{9d_0 (q_2 + 1)},$$

and the conditions  $L_1 < L_2$  and  $L_3 < L_4$  are equivalent to

$$\frac{q_1}{p_1(q_1 - 1)} < \frac{13}{12288}, \quad \frac{q_2 + 1}{p_2(q_2 - 1)} < \frac{1}{5832}.$$

We apply Theorem 2 a) for  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 > 0$  with  $\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 = 1$ . If the above conditions are satisfied, then for each  $\lambda \in (L_1, L_2)$  and  $\mu \in (L_3, L_4)$ , there exists a positive solution  $(u(t), v(t))$ ,  $t \in [0, T]$  for problem  $(S_1) - (BC_1)$ .

**2.** We consider the functions

$$f(u, v) = (u + v)^{\beta_1}, \quad g(u, v) = (u + v)^{\beta_2}, \quad u, v \in [0, \infty),$$

with  $\beta_1, \beta_2 > 1$ . Then  $f_0^s = f_0^i = g_0^s = g_0^i = 0$  and  $f_\infty^s = f_\infty^i = g_\infty^s = g_\infty^i = \infty$ . By Theorem 2 d) we deduce that for each  $\lambda \in (0, \infty)$  and  $\mu \in (0, \infty)$  there exists a positive solution  $(u(t), v(t))$ ,  $t \in [0, T]$  for problem  $(S_1) - (BC_1)$ .

**3.** We consider the functions

$$f(u, v) = (u + v)^{\gamma_1}, \quad g(u, v) = (u + v)^{\gamma_2}, \quad u, v \in [0, \infty),$$

with  $\gamma_1, \gamma_2 \in (0, 1)$ . Then  $f_0^s = f_0^i = g_0^s = g_0^i = \infty$  and  $f_\infty^s = f_\infty^i = g_\infty^s = g_\infty^i = 0$ . By Theorem 3 d) we deduce that for each  $\lambda \in (0, \infty)$  and  $\mu \in (0, \infty)$  there exists a positive solution  $(u(t), v(t))$ ,  $t \in [0, T]$  for problem  $(S_1) - (BC_1)$ .

**Acknowledgement.** The work of the second author was supported by a grant of the Romanian National Authority for Scientific Research, CNCS-UEFISCDI, project number PN-II-ID-PCE-2011-3-0557.

## References

- [1] Henderson, J. and Luca, R., *Positive solutions for a system of higher-order multi-point boundary value problems*, Comput. Math. Appl., **62** (2011), 3920-3932.
- [2] Henderson, J. and Luca, R. *Positive solutions for a system of second-order multi-point boundary value problems*, Appl. Math. Comput., **218** (2012), 6083-6094.
- [3] Henderson, J. and Luca, R. *Positive solutions for a system of second-order multi-point discrete boundary value problems*, J. Difference Equ. Applic., DOI: 10.1080/10236198.2011.582868.
- [4] Henderson, J. and Ntouyas, S.K., *Positive solutions for systems of  $n$ th order three-point nonlocal boundary value problems*, Electron. J. Qual. Theory Differ. Equ., **18** (2007), 1-12.
- [5] Henderson, J. and Ntouyas, S.K., *Positive solutions for systems of nonlinear boundary value problems*, Nonlinear Stud., **15** (2008), 51-60.
- [6] Henderson, J. and Ntouyas, S.K., *Positive solutions for systems of three-point nonlinear boundary value problems*, Aust. J. Math. Anal. Appl., **5** (2008), 1-9.
- [7] Henderson, J., Ntouyas, S.K. and Purnaras, I.K., *Positive solutions for systems of three-point nonlinear discrete boundary value problems*, Neural Parallel Sci. Comput., **16** (2008), 209-224.

- [8] Henderson, J., Ntouyas, S.K. and Purnaras, I.K., *Positive solutions for systems of generalized three-point nonlinear boundary value problems*, Comment. Math. Univ. Carolin., **49** (2008), 79-91.
- [9] Henderson, J., Ntouyas, S.K. and Purnaras, I.K., *Positive solutions for systems of  $m$ -point nonlinear boundary value problems*, Math. Model. Anal., **13** (2008), 357-370.
- [10] Henderson, J., Ntouyas, S.K. and Purnaras, I.K., *Positive solutions for systems of nonlinear discrete boundary value problems*, J. Difference Equ. Appl., **15** (2009), 895-912.
- [11] Ji, Y., Guo, Y. and Yu, C., *Positive solutions to  $(n-1, n)$   $m$ -point boundary value problems with dependence on the first order derivative*, Appl. Math. Mech. (English Ed.), **30** (2009), 527-536.
- [12] Krasnosel'skii, M.A., *Fixed points of cone-compressing or cone-extending operators*, Sov. Math. Dokl., **1** (1960), 1285-1288.
- [13] Krasnosel'skii, M.A., *Topological methods in the theory of nonlinear integral equations*, Cambridge University Press, New York, 1964.
- [14] Luca, R., *Existence of positive solutions for a discrete boundary value problem*, Istanbul Univ. Fen Fak. Mat. Fiz. Astron. Derg. (New Ser.), **3** (2008-2009), 119-126.
- [15] Luca, R., *Positive solutions for  $m+1$ -point discrete boundary value problems*, Libertas Math., **XXIX** (2009), 65-82.
- [16] Luca, R., *Existence of positive solutions for a class of higher-order  $m$ -point boundary value problems*, Electron. J. Qual. Theory Diff. Equ., **74** (2010), 1-15.
- [17] Luca, R., *Positive solutions for a second-order  $m$ -point boundary value problem*, Dyn. Contin. Discrete Impuls. Syst. Ser. A Math. Anal., **18** (2011), 161-176.
- [18] Luca, R., *Existence of positive solutions for a second-order  $m+1$  point discrete boundary value problem*, J. Difference Equ. Appl., **18** (2012), 865-877.
- [19] Luca, R., *Positive solutions for a higher-order  $m$ -point boundary value problem*, Mediterr. J. Math., **9** (2012), 381-394.
- [20] Su, H., Wei, Z., Zhang, X. and Liu, J. *Positive solutions of  $n$ -order and  $m$ -order multi-point singular boundary value system*, Appl. Math. Comput., **188** (2007), 1234-1243.

