

ON A WEIGHTED K -FUNCTIONAL

Maria TALPĂU DIMITRIU¹

Communicated to:
International Conference on Mathematics and Computer Science,
June 26-28, 2014, Braşov, Romania

Abstract

In this paper we give some results related to a K -functional defined for some seminormed subspaces of the Banach spaces of continuous functions.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: 26A15, 41A36, 41A44.

Key words: Moduli of smoothness, Peetre's K -functional, Lipschitz spaces.

1 Introduction

Let (X, d) be a compact metric space and let $C(X)$ denote the Banach space of all real-valued continuous functions on X equipped with the supremum norm $\|f\| = \|f\|_X = \sup_{x \in X} |f(x)|$, $f \in C(X)$.

For $f \in C(X)$ and $t > 0$, the modulus of continuity is defined by [6], [7]

$$\omega_d(f, t) = \sup \{|f(x) - f(y)| \mid x, y \in X, d(x, y) \leq t\}. \quad (1)$$

The Lipschitz space $Lip_d(X)$ (the space of all real-valued Lipschitz functions on X) is a seminormed subspace dense in $C(X)$ with the seminorm

$$|f|_{Lip_d(X)} = \sup_{d(x,y) > 0} \frac{|f(x) - f(y)|}{d(x, y)}, \quad f \in Lip_d(X). \quad (2)$$

For a seminormed subspace $(Y, |\cdot|_Y)$ dense in $C(X)$, the Peetre's K -functional is defined by

$$K(f, t; C(X), Y) = \inf_{g \in Y} \{\|f - g\|_X + t|g|_Y\}, \quad f \in C(X), t > 0. \quad (3)$$

¹Faculty of Mathematics and Informatics, *Transilvania* University of Braşov, Romania, e-mail: mdimitriu@unitbv.ro

The Brudnyĭ's representation [13], [10], [5] is well known:

$$K\left(f, \frac{t}{2}; C(X), Lip_d(X)\right) = \frac{1}{2}\bar{\omega}_d(f, t), \quad 0 < t \leq \delta(X), \quad (4)$$

where $\bar{\omega}_d(f, \cdot)$ is the least concave majorant of $\omega_d(f, \cdot)$ given by

$$\bar{\omega}_d(f, t) = \begin{cases} \sup_{\substack{0 \leq x \leq t \leq y \leq \delta(X) \\ x \neq y}} \frac{(t-x)\omega_d(f, y) + (y-t)\omega_d(f, x)}{y-x}, & 0 \leq t \leq \delta(X), \\ \omega_d(f, \delta(X)) & , t > \delta(X), \end{cases}$$

$\delta(X)$ being the diameter of the compact space X .

For $X = [a, b] \subset \mathbb{R}$ endowed with the euclidian distance $d(x, y) = |x - y|$ we denote simply by ω the modulus of continuity and by $Lip[a, b]$ the Lipschitz space. In this case the following equality

$$K(f, t; C[a, b], Lip[a, b]) = K(f, t; C[a, b], C^1[a, b]), \quad (5)$$

holds [13], [5], [2], [12], where $C^1[a, b]$ is the space of all continuously differentiable functions on $[a, b]$ with the seminorm $|g|_{C^1[a, b]} = \|g'\|_{[a, b]}$, $g \in C^1[a, b]$.

In [3] (see also [4]), is given a generalized Shisha-Mond type inequality in terms of the φ -pseudomodulus of continuity

$$\omega_\varphi(f, t) = \sup \{|f(x) - f(y)| \mid x, y \in Q, |\varphi(x) - \varphi(y)| \leq t\}, \quad f \in C(Q), t > 0,$$

where Q is a connected compact Hausdorff space and $\varphi \in C(Q)$ fixed, $C(Q)$ being the space of real-valued continuous functions on Q .

In the following we consider $\varphi : [a, b] \rightarrow [c, d]$ a strictly increasing and continuous one-to-one map. In this case the weighted modulus ω_φ is a particular case of the modulus ω_d with the distance $d(x, y) = |\varphi(x) - \varphi(y)|$ and the following equality

$$\omega_\varphi(f, t) = \omega(f \circ \varphi^{-1}, t), \quad f \in C[a, b], t > 0, \quad (6)$$

holds [9]. For the K -functional $K(f, t; C[a, b], Lip_d[a, b])$, $f \in C[a, b]$, $t > 0$, in Section 2 we establish an equality of type (5) by considering a generalized derivatives. In Section 3 general estimates of the degree of approximation by positive linear operator in terms of this K -functional are established. The constants appearing in these estimates are optimal in the sense of [11].

2 The weighted K -functional

Let $\varphi : [a, b] \rightarrow [c, d]$ be a strictly increasing and continuous one-to-one map. We denote by $Lip_\varphi[a, b]$ the Lipschitz space $Lip_d[a, b]$ for $d(x, y) = |\varphi(x) - \varphi(y)|$ ie

$$Lip_\varphi[a, b] = \left\{ f \in C[a, b] \mid |f|_{Lip_\varphi[a, b]} = \sup_{x \neq y} \frac{|f(x) - f(y)|}{|\varphi(x) - \varphi(y)|} < \infty \right\}.$$

Lemma 1. *We have*

$$f \in Lip_\varphi[a, b] \iff f \circ \varphi^{-1} \in Lip[c, d];$$

furthermore $|f|_{Lip_\varphi[a, b]} = |f \circ \varphi^{-1}|_{Lip[c, d]}$.

Proof. We suppose that $f \in Lip_\varphi[a, b]$. For $u, v \in [c, d]$, $u \neq v$, we have

$$\frac{|(f \circ \varphi^{-1})(u) - (f \circ \varphi^{-1})(v)|}{|u - v|} = \frac{|f(\varphi^{-1}(u)) - f(\varphi^{-1}(v))|}{|\varphi(\varphi^{-1}(u)) - \varphi(\varphi^{-1}(v))|} \leq |f|_{Lip_\varphi[a, b]}.$$

Thus $f \circ \varphi^{-1} \in Lip[c, d]$ and $|f \circ \varphi^{-1}|_{Lip[c, d]} \leq |f|_{Lip_\varphi[a, b]}$.

Conversely, if $f \circ \varphi^{-1} \in Lip[c, d]$, then for $x, y \in [a, b]$, $x \neq y$, we have

$$\frac{|f(x) - f(y)|}{|\varphi(x) - \varphi(y)|} = \frac{|(f \circ \varphi^{-1})(\varphi(x)) - (f \circ \varphi^{-1})(\varphi(y))|}{|\varphi(x) - \varphi(y)|} \leq |f \circ \varphi^{-1}|_{Lip[c, d]}.$$

Therefore $f \in Lip_\varphi[a, b]$ and $|f|_{Lip_\varphi[a, b]} \leq |f \circ \varphi^{-1}|_{Lip[c, d]}$. \square

Proposition 1. *The following equality*

$$K(f, t; C[a, b], Lip_\varphi[a, b]) = K(f \circ \varphi^{-1}, t; C[c, d], Lip[c, d]) \quad (7)$$

holds.

Proof. Let $g \in Lip[c, d]$. Then $g \circ \varphi \in Lip_\varphi[a, b]$ and

$$\begin{aligned} K(f, t; C[a, b], Lip_\varphi[a, b]) &\leq \|f - g \circ \varphi\|_{[a, b]} + t |g \circ \varphi|_{Lip_\varphi[a, b]} \\ &= \|f \circ \varphi^{-1} - g\|_{[c, d]} + t |g|_{Lip[c, d]} \end{aligned}$$

Since g is arbitrary it involves

$$K(f, t; C[a, b], Lip_\varphi[a, b]) \leq K(f \circ \varphi^{-1}, t; C[c, d], Lip[c, d]).$$

For the reverse inequality, for $g \in Lip_\varphi[a, b]$, we have $g \circ \varphi^{-1} \in Lip[c, d]$ and

$$\begin{aligned} K(f \circ \varphi^{-1}, t; C[c, d], Lip[c, d]) &\leq \|f \circ \varphi^{-1} - g \circ \varphi^{-1}\|_{[c, d]} + t |g \circ \varphi^{-1}|_{Lip[c, d]} \\ &= \|f - g\|_{[a, b]} + t |g|_{Lip_\varphi[a, b]} \end{aligned}$$

Since g is arbitrary it involves

$$K(f \circ \varphi^{-1}, t; C[c, d], Lip[c, d]) \leq K(f, t; C[a, b], Lip_\varphi[a, b]).$$

\square

By following the paper [1] we consider the following generalization of differentiation:

Definition 1. The function $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is φ -differentiable in $x \in (a, b)$ if

$$f'_\varphi(x) = \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(x+h) - f(x)}{\varphi(x+h) - \varphi(x)} \quad (8)$$

exists and it is finite.

We say a function f is φ -differentiable on $[a, b]$ if f is φ -differentiable at each $x \in (a, b)$ and exists (in \mathbb{R})

$$f'_\varphi(a) = \lim_{h \downarrow 0} \frac{f(a+h) - f(a)}{\varphi(a+h) - \varphi(a)}, \quad (9)$$

$$f'_\varphi(b) = \lim_{h \downarrow 0} \frac{f(b) - f(b-h)}{\varphi(b) - \varphi(b-h)}; \quad (10)$$

in this case we denote by $f'_\varphi : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ the φ -derivative of f .

We denote by

$$C_\varphi^1[a, b] = \{f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \mid f \text{ is } \varphi\text{-differentiable on } [a, b] \text{ and } f'_\varphi \in C[a, b]\}$$

Lemma 2. We have

$$f \in C_\varphi^1[a, b] \iff f \circ \varphi^{-1} \in C^1[c, d];$$

furthermore $\|f'_\varphi\|_{[a, b]} = \|(f \circ \varphi^{-1})'\|_{[c, d]}$.

Proof. If $f \in C_\varphi^1[a, b]$, then for $y \in [c, d]$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{(f \circ \varphi^{-1})(y+h) - (f \circ \varphi^{-1})(y)}{h} &= \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(\varphi^{-1}(y+h)) - f(\varphi^{-1}(y))}{\varphi(\varphi^{-1}(y+h)) - \varphi(\varphi^{-1}(y))} \\ &= f'_\varphi(\varphi^{-1}(y)) \end{aligned}$$

Thus $(f \circ \varphi^{-1})' = f'_\varphi \circ \varphi^{-1}$.

Conversely, if $f \circ \varphi^{-1} \in C^1[c, d]$, then for $x \in [a, b]$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(x+h) - f(x)}{\varphi(x+h) - \varphi(x)} &= \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{(f \circ \varphi^{-1})(\varphi(x+h)) - (f \circ \varphi^{-1})(\varphi(x))}{\varphi(x+h) - \varphi(x)} \\ &= (f \circ \varphi^{-1})'(\varphi(x)) \end{aligned}$$

Thus $f'_\varphi = (f \circ \varphi^{-1})' \circ \varphi$. □

Proposition 2. The following equality

$$K(f, t; C[a, b], C_\varphi^1[a, b]) = K(f \circ \varphi^{-1}, t; C[c, d], C^1[c, d]) \quad (11)$$

holds.

Proof. Let $g \in C^1[c, d]$. Then $g \circ \varphi \in C^1_\varphi[a, b]$ and

$$\begin{aligned} K(f, t; C[a, b], C^1_\varphi[a, b]) &\leq \|f - g \circ \varphi\|_{[a, b]} + t \left\| (g \circ \varphi)'_\varphi \right\|_{[a, b]} \\ &= \|f \circ \varphi^{-1} - g\|_{[c, d]} + t \|g'\|_{[c, d]}. \end{aligned}$$

Since g is arbitrary this implies

$$K(f, t; C[a, b], C^1_\varphi[a, b]) \leq K(f \circ \varphi^{-1}, t; C[c, d], C^1[c, d]).$$

For the reverse inequality, for $g \in C^1_\varphi[a, b]$, we have $g \circ \varphi^{-1} \in C^1[c, d]$ and

$$\begin{aligned} K(f \circ \varphi^{-1}, t; C[c, d], C^1[c, d]) &\leq \|f \circ \varphi^{-1} - g \circ \varphi^{-1}\|_{[c, d]} + t \left\| (g \circ \varphi^{-1})' \right\|_{[c, d]} \\ &= \|f - g\|_{[a, b]} + t \|g'_\varphi\|_{[a, b]}. \end{aligned}$$

Since g is arbitrary this implies

$$K(f \circ \varphi^{-1}, t; C[c, d], C^1[c, d]) \leq K(f, t; C[a, b], C^1_\varphi[a, b]).$$

□

From (7) and (11) we obtain

Proposition 3. For any $f \in C[a, b]$ and any $t > 0$ we have

$$K(f, t; C[a, b], C^1_\varphi[a, b]) = K(f, t; C[a, b], Lip_\varphi[a, b]). \quad (12)$$

3 Estimates

We agree to denote by $K_\varphi(f, t) = K(f, t; C[a, b], C^1_\varphi[a, b])$, $f \in C[a, b]$, $t > 0$. We use the notation e_k for the function $e_k(x) = x^k$, $k = 0, 1$.

Theorem 1. Let $L : \mathbf{C}[a, b] \rightarrow \mathbf{C}[a, b]$ a positive linear operator and $f \in \mathbf{C}[a, b]$. Then $(\forall)x \in [a, b]$, $(\forall)t > 0$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} |L(f, x) - f(x)| &\leq |f(x)| \cdot |L(e_0, x) - 1| \\ &+ \max \left\{ 2L(e_0, x), \frac{L(|\varphi - \varphi(x)e_0|, x)}{t} \right\} K_\varphi(f, t). \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

Conversely, if $(\exists)A, B, C \geq 0$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} |L(f, x) - f(x)| &\leq A \cdot |f(x)| \cdot |L(e_0, x) - 1| \\ &+ \max \left\{ B \cdot L(e_0, x), C \cdot \frac{L(|\varphi - \varphi(x)e_0|, x)}{t} \right\} K_\varphi(f, t) \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

holds for all positive linear operator, any $f \in \mathbf{C}[a, b]$, any $x \in [a, b]$ and any $t > 0$, then $A \geq 1$, $C \geq 1$ and $B \geq 2$.

Proof. Let $g \in C^1_\varphi[a, b]$. Then $g \circ \varphi^{-1} \in C^1[c, d]$ and

$$\begin{aligned} |g(y) - g(x)| &= |(g \circ \varphi^{-1})(\varphi(y)) - (g \circ \varphi^{-1})(\varphi(x))| \\ &= \left| (g \circ \varphi^{-1})'(\varphi(\xi)) \right| \cdot |\varphi(y) - \varphi(x)| \\ &\leq \left\| (g \circ \varphi^{-1})' \right\| \cdot |\varphi(y) - \varphi(x)| \\ &= \|g'_\varphi\| \cdot |\varphi(y) - \varphi(x)|, \end{aligned}$$

where ξ is between x and y .

We have

$$\begin{aligned} |L(f, x) - f(x)| &\leq |f(x)| \cdot |L(e_0, x) - 1| + L(|f - f(x)e_0|, x) \\ &\leq |f(x)| \cdot |L(e_0, x) - 1| + L(2\|f - g\|e_0 + \|g'_\varphi\| \cdot |\varphi - \varphi(x)e_0|, x) \\ &\leq |f(x)| \cdot |L(e_0, x) - 1| \\ &\quad + \max \left\{ 2L(e_0, x), \frac{L(|\varphi - \varphi(x)e_0|, x)}{t} \right\} \cdot \{\|f - g\| + t\|g'_\varphi\|\}. \end{aligned}$$

Since g is arbitrary this implies (13).

If we choose $L(h, x) = 0$ and $f = e_0$ and replace in (14) we obtain $A \geq 1$.

If we choose $[a, b] = [0, 1]$, $L(h, x) = h(1)$, $f = \varphi$, $x = 0$ and replace in (14) we obtain $\varphi(1) - \varphi(0) \leq \max\{Bt, C(\varphi(1) - \varphi(0))\} \leq Bt + C(\varphi(1) - \varphi(0))$, $(\forall)t > 0$ (we use $K_\varphi(f, t) = K(f \circ \varphi^{-1}, t) = K(e_1, t) \leq t$). Passing to the limit $t \rightarrow 0$ we obtain $C \geq 1$.

To show that $B \geq 2$ we choose $[a, b] = [0, 1]$, $L(h, x) = (h \circ \psi^{-1})(1)$, $f = 2\psi - e_0$, $x = 0$, where $\psi = \frac{\varphi - \varphi(0)e_0}{\varphi(1) - \varphi(0)}$. We have $L(f, 0) = 1$, $f(0) = 2\psi(0) - 1 = -1$, $L(e_0, 0) = 1$, $L(|\varphi - \varphi(0)|, 0) = L((\varphi(1) - \varphi(0))\psi, 0) = \varphi(1) - \varphi(0)$ and

$$K_\varphi(f, t) \leq \|f\| = \|2\psi - e_0\| = 1.$$

Replace in (14) and we obtain $2 \leq B + \frac{C(\varphi(1) - \varphi(0))}{t}$, $(\forall)t > 0$. Passing to the limit $t \rightarrow \infty$ we obtain $B \geq 2$. \square

Theorem 2. Let $L : \mathbf{C}[a, b] \rightarrow \mathbf{C}[a, b]$ a positive linear operator and $f \in C^1_\varphi[a, b]$. Then $(\forall)x \in [a, b]$, $(\forall)t > 0$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} |L(f, x) - f(x)| &\leq |f(x)| \cdot |L(e_0, x) - 1| \\ &\quad + |f'_\varphi(x)| \cdot |L(\varphi - \varphi(x)e_0, x)| \\ &\quad + \max \left\{ 2L(|\varphi - \varphi(x)e_0|, x), \frac{L\left((\varphi - \varphi(x)e_0)^2, x\right)}{2t} \right\} \cdot K_\varphi(f'_\varphi, t) \end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

Conversely, if $(\exists)A_0, A_1, B, C \geq 0$ such that

$$|L(f, x) - f(x)| \leq A_0 \cdot |f(x)| \cdot |L(e_0, x) - 1|$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + A_1 \cdot |f'_\varphi(x)| \cdot |L(\varphi - \varphi(x)e_0, x)| \\
& + \max \left\{ B \cdot L(|\varphi - \varphi(x)e_0|, x), C \cdot \frac{L((\varphi - \varphi(x)e_0)^2, x)}{t} \right\} \cdot K_\varphi(f'_\varphi, t)
\end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

holds for all positive linear operator $L : \mathbf{C}[a, b] \rightarrow \mathbf{C}[a, b]$, any $f \in C^1_\varphi[a, b]$, any $x \in [a, b]$ and any $t > 0$ then $A_0, A_1 \geq 1$, $B \geq 2$ and $C \geq \frac{1}{2}$.

Proof. Let $g \in C[a, b]$ such that $g \circ \varphi^{-1} \in C^2[c, d]$. Then $g'_\varphi \in C^1_\varphi[a, b]$ and $(g'_\varphi)'_\varphi = (g \circ \varphi^{-1})'' \circ \varphi$. Following the method from [8] we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& |f(y) - f(x) - f'_\varphi(x)(\varphi(y) - \varphi(x))| \\
& \leq |(f - g)(y) - (f - g)(x) - (f - g)'_\varphi(x)(\varphi(y) - \varphi(x))| \\
& + |g(y) - g(x) - g'_\varphi(x)(\varphi(y) - \varphi(x))| \\
& = |(f - g)'_\varphi(\eta) - (f - g)'_\varphi(x)| \cdot |\varphi(y) - \varphi(x)| \\
& + \left| (g \circ \varphi^{-1})(\varphi(y)) - (g \circ \varphi^{-1})(\varphi(x)) - (g \circ \varphi^{-1})'(\varphi(x))(\varphi(y) - \varphi(x)) \right| \\
& \leq 2 \|f'_\varphi - g'_\varphi\| \cdot |\varphi(y) - \varphi(x)| + \frac{|(g \circ \varphi^{-1})''(\varphi(\mu))|}{2} (\varphi(y) - \varphi(x))^2 \\
& = 2 \|f'_\varphi - g'_\varphi\| \cdot |\varphi(y) - \varphi(x)| + \frac{|(g'_\varphi)'_\varphi(\mu)|}{2} (\varphi(y) - \varphi(x))^2 \\
& \leq 2 \|f'_\varphi - g'_\varphi\| |\varphi(y) - \varphi(x)| + \frac{\|(g'_\varphi)'_\varphi\|}{2} (\varphi(y) - \varphi(x))^2
\end{aligned}$$

where η and μ are between x and y . Then

$$\begin{aligned}
& L(|f(y) - f(x) - f'_\varphi(x)(\varphi(y) - \varphi(x))|, x) \\
& \leq 2 \|f'_\varphi - g'_\varphi\| L(|\varphi - \varphi(x)e_0|, x) + \frac{\|(g'_\varphi)'_\varphi\|}{2} L((\varphi - \varphi(x)e_0)^2, x) \\
& \leq \max \left\{ 2L(|\varphi - \varphi(x)e_0|, x), \frac{L((\varphi - \varphi(x)e_0)^2, x)}{2t} \right\} \times \\
& \times \left\{ \|f'_\varphi - g'_\varphi\| + t \|(g'_\varphi)'_\varphi\| \right\}
\end{aligned}$$

from where

$$\begin{aligned}
& L(|f(y) - f(x) - f'_\varphi(x)(\varphi(y) - \varphi(x))|, x) \\
& \leq \max \left\{ 2L(|\varphi - \varphi(x)e_0|, x), \frac{L((\varphi - \varphi(x)e_0)^2, x)}{2t} \right\} \cdot K_\varphi(f'_\varphi, t).
\end{aligned}$$

So

$$\begin{aligned}
& |L(f, x) - f(x)| \leq |f(x)| \cdot |L(e_0, x) - 1| + |f'_\varphi(x)| \cdot |L((\varphi - \varphi(x)e_0), x)| \\
& + L(|f - f(x)e_0 - f'_\varphi(x)(\varphi - \varphi(x)e_0)|, x) \\
& \leq |f(x)| \cdot |L(e_0, x) - 1| + |f'_\varphi(x)| \cdot |L((\varphi - \varphi(x)e_0), x)| \\
& + \max \left\{ 2L(|\varphi - \varphi(x)e_0|, x), \frac{L((\varphi - \varphi(x)e_0)^2, x)}{2t} \right\} \cdot K_\varphi(f'_\varphi, t).
\end{aligned}$$

If we choose $L(h, x) = 0$ and $f = e_0$ and replace in (16) we obtain $A_0 \geq 1$.

If we choose $[a, b] = [0, 1]$, $L(h, x) = h(1)$, $f = \varphi$, $x = 0$ and replace in (16) we obtain $A_1 \geq 1$.

To show that $C \geq \frac{1}{2}$ we choose $[a, b] = [0, 1]$, $L(h, x) = h(1)$, $f = \psi^2$ and $x = 0$, where $\psi = \varphi - \varphi(0)e_0$. We have $L(f, 0) = (\varphi(1) - \varphi(0))^2$, $f(0) = \psi(0)^2 = 0$, $f'_\varphi = f'_\psi = (f \circ \psi^{-1})' \circ \psi = 2\psi$ and

$$K_\varphi(f'_\varphi, t) = K_\psi(f'_\psi, t) = K(f'_\psi \circ \psi^{-1}, t) = K(2e_1, t) \leq 2t.$$

From (16) we obtain $(\varphi(1) - \varphi(0))^2 \leq 2Bt(\varphi(1) - \varphi(0)) + 2C(\varphi(1) - \varphi(0))^2 (\forall) t > 0$. Passing to the limit $t \rightarrow 0$ we obtain $C \geq \frac{1}{2}$.

To show that $B \geq 2$ we choose $[a, b] = [0, 1]$, $L(h, x) = h(1)$, $f(x) = 2\psi(x)^{\alpha+1}$ with $\alpha > 0$ and $x = 0$, where $\psi = \frac{\varphi - \varphi(0)e_0}{\varphi(1) - \varphi(0)}$. We have $L(f, 0) = 2\psi(1)^{\alpha+1} = 2$, $f(0) = 2\psi(0)^{\alpha+1} = 0$, $f'_\varphi = (f \circ \varphi^{-1})' \circ \varphi = \frac{2(\alpha+1)\psi^\alpha}{\varphi(1) - \varphi(0)}$ and

$$K_\varphi(f'_\varphi, t) \leq \left\| \frac{2(\alpha+1)\psi^\alpha}{\varphi(1) - \varphi(0)} - \frac{(\alpha+1)e_0}{\varphi(1) - \varphi(0)} \right\| = \frac{\alpha+1}{\varphi(1) - \varphi(0)}.$$

From (16) we obtain

$$2 \leq \left[B(\varphi(1) - \varphi(0)) + \frac{C}{t}(\varphi(1) - \varphi(0))^2 \right] \frac{\alpha+1}{\varphi(1) - \varphi(0)}, (\forall) t > 0.$$

Passing to the limit $t \rightarrow \infty$ and $\alpha \rightarrow 0$ we obtain $B \geq 2$. \square

Remark 1. In particular, for $\varphi = e_1$ we obtain the results given in [14].

Acknowledgement This paper is supported by the Sectoral Operational Programme Human Resources Development (SOP HRD), financed from the European Social Fund and by the Romanian Government under the project number POS-DRU/159/1.5/S/134378.

References

- [1] Amat, S., Busquier, S., Escudero, A., Trillo, J. C., *Lagrange interpolation for continuous piecewise smooth functions*, Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics 221(2008), 47–51
- [2] Anastassiou, G.A., Gal, S.G., *Approximation Theory. Moduli of Continuity and Global Smoothness Preservation*, Birkhäuser, Boston, Basel, Berlin, 2000.
- [3] Anastassiou, G.A., *Generalized Shisha-Mond type inequality*, Anals of Univ. in Oradea, Fascicola Mathematica, Tom XV(2008), 141–143
- [4] Anastassiou, G.A., *Intelligent Mathematics: Computational Analysis*, Springer, 2011
- [5] Brudnyi, Yu. A., Krugljak, N. Ya., *Interpolation Functors and Interpolation Spaces*, Vol. I, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1991
- [6] Cheney, E.W., *Introduction to Approximation Theory*, AMS Chelsea Publishing, 1966
- [7] Gonska, H.H., *On approximation in spaces of continuous functions*, Bull. Austral. Math. Soc., 28(1983), 411–432
- [8] Gonska, H. H., Pițul, P., Rașu, I., *On Peano's form of the Taylor remainder, Voronovskaja's theorem and the commutator of positive linear operators*, Numerical Analysis and Approximation Theory (Proc. Int. Conf. Cluj-Napoca 2006, ed. by O. Agratini and P. Blaga), 55-80
- [9] Holhoș, A., *The rate of convergence of positive linear operators in weighted spaces*, Automat. Comput. Appl. Math., 17(2008), no. 2, 239–246
- [10] Mitjagin, B. S. , Semenov, E. M., *Lack of interpolation of linear operators in spaces of smooth functions*, Math. USSR-Izv. 11(1977), 1229-1266
- [11] Păltănea, R., *Approximation theory using positive linear operators*, Birkhäuser, 2004
- [12] Păltănea, R., *Representation of the K -functional $K(f, C[a, b], C^1[a, b], \cdot)$ - a new approach*, Bulletin of the Transilvania University of Brașov, Vol **3(52)** (2010), 93-100.
- [13] Peetre, J., *Exact interpolation theorems for Lipschitz continuous functions*, Ricerce Math. 18(1969), 239-259
- [14] Talpău Dimitriu, M., *Estimates with optimal constants using Peetre's K -functionals*, Carpathian J. Math. **26** (2010), No. 2, 158 - 169

