Bulletin of the *Transilvania* University of Braşov Series III: Mathematics and Computer Science, Vol. 2(64), No. 1 - 2022, 1-14 https://doi.org/10.31926/but.mif.2022.2.64.1.1

SOME GEOMETRIC PROPERTIES OF CERTAIN FAMILIES OF q-BESSEL FUNCTIONS

İbrahim AKTAŞ^{*,1} and Muhey U DIN²

Abstract

In this paper, we are mainly interested in finding sufficient conditions for the q-close-to-convexity of certain families of q-Bessel functions with respect to certain functions in the open unit disk. The strong convexity and strong starlikeness of the same functions are also the part of our investigation.

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification: 30C45, 33C10.

Key words: analytic functions, starlike, convex and close-to-convex functions, q-Bessel functions, strong starlikeness, strong convexity.

1 Introduction

Let \mathcal{A} denote the class of functions f of the form

$$f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n,$$
(1)

analytic in the open unit disk $\mathcal{U} = \{z : |z| < 1\}$ and \mathcal{S} denote the class of all functions in \mathcal{A} which are univalent in \mathcal{U} . Let $\mathcal{S}^*(\alpha)$, $\mathcal{C}(\alpha)$, $\mathcal{K}(\alpha)$, $\widetilde{\mathcal{S}^*}(\alpha)$ and $\widetilde{\mathcal{C}}(\alpha)$ denote the classes of starlike, convex, close-to-convex, strongly starlike and strongly convex functions of order α , respectively, and are defined as:

$$\begin{split} \mathbb{S}^{*}\left(\alpha\right) &= \left\{f: f \in \mathcal{A} \text{ and } \Re\left(\frac{zf'\left(z\right)}{f\left(z\right)}\right) > \alpha, \ z \in \mathfrak{U}, \, \alpha \in [0,1)\right\}, \\ \mathbb{C}\left(\alpha\right) &= \left\{f: f \in \mathcal{A} \text{ and } \Re\left(\frac{\left(zf'\left(z\right)\right)'}{f'\left(z\right)}\right) > \alpha, z \in \mathfrak{U}, \alpha \in [0,1)\right\}, \end{split} \right. \end{split}$$

^{1*} Corresponding author, Karamanoğlu Mehmetbey University, Kamil Özdağ Science Faculty, Department of Mathematics, Turkey, e-mail: aktasibrahim38@gmail.com

 $^{^2 \}rm Government$ Post Graduate Islamia College, Department of Mathematics, Pakistan, e-mail: muheyudin@yahoo.com

$$\mathcal{K}(\alpha) = \left\{ f : f \in \mathcal{A} \text{ and } \Re\left(\frac{zf'(z)}{g(z)}\right) > \alpha, \quad z \in \mathcal{U}, \, \alpha \in [0,1) \,, \, g \in \mathcal{S}^*(0) :\equiv \mathcal{S}^* \right\},$$
$$\widetilde{\mathcal{S}^*}(\alpha) = \left\{ f : f \in \mathcal{A} \text{ and } \left| \arg\left(\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)}\right) \right| < \frac{\alpha\pi}{2}, \quad z \in \mathcal{U}, \, \alpha \in (0,1] \right\}$$

and

$$\overset{\sim}{\mathbb{C}}(\alpha) = \left\{ f : f \in \mathcal{A} \text{ and } \left| \arg\left(1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} \right) \right| < \frac{\alpha\pi}{2}, \ z \in \mathfrak{U}, \, \alpha \in (0,1] \right\}.$$

It is clear that

$$\overset{\sim}{\mathbb{S}^{*}}(1) = \mathbb{S}^{*}(0) = \mathbb{S}^{*}, \ \overset{\sim}{\mathbb{C}}(1) = \mathbb{C}(0) = \mathbb{C} \ \mathrm{and} \ \mathcal{K}(0) = \mathcal{K},$$

where S^* , \mathcal{C} and \mathcal{K} are the classes of starlike, convex and close-to-convex functions, respectively. If f and g are two analytic functions, then the function f is said to be subordinate to g, written as $f(z) \prec g(z)$, if there exists a Schwarz function w with w(0) = 0 and |w| < 1 such that f(z) = g(w(z)). Furthermore, if the function g is univalent in \mathcal{U} , then we have the following equivalent relation:

$$f(z) \prec g(z) \iff f(0) = g(0)$$
 and $f(\mathcal{U}) \subset g(\mathcal{U})$.

The q-analogue of the normalized starlike functions was first introduced by Ismail et al. [7] with the help of q-difference operator D_q . The operator D_q applying on the analytic functions is defined by

$$(D_q f)(z) = \begin{cases} \frac{f(z) - f(qz)}{z(1-q)}, & z \in \mathcal{U} \setminus \{0\}, q \in (0,1), \\ f'(0), & z = 0, q \in (0,1). \end{cases}$$
(2)

We can easily observe from the definition of (2) that

$$\lim_{q \to 1^{-}} \left\{ (D_q f)(z) \right\} = f'(z), \qquad z \in \mathfrak{U}.$$

By using q-difference operator D_q given by (2) the classes of q-starlike functions and q-close-to-convex functions are defined as follows:

A function $f \in \mathcal{A}$ is said to be in the class q-starlike functions \mathbb{S}_q^* if

$$\left|\frac{z}{f(z)}(D_q f)(z) - \frac{1}{1-q}\right| \le \frac{1}{1-q}, \qquad z \in \mathcal{U}, \ q \in (0,1).$$
(3)

It is observed that, when $q \to 1^-$, the function class S_q^* defined by (3) reduces to the normalized starlike functions class S^* .

A function $f \in \mathcal{A}$ is said to be in the class q-close-to-convex functions \mathcal{K}_q if there exists a function $h \in S^*$ such that

$$\left|\frac{z}{h(z)}(D_q f)(z) - \frac{1}{1-q}\right| \le \frac{1}{1-q}, \qquad z \in \mathcal{U}, \ q \in (0,1).$$
(4)

It is observed that when $q \to 1^-$, the function class \mathcal{K}_q defined by (4) reduces to the normalized close-to-convex functions class \mathcal{K} .

For functions $f, g \in \mathcal{A}$ given by (1) and $g(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} b_n z^n$, respectively, the Hadamard product (or convolution) of these functions is defined by

$$(f * g)(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n b_n z^n, \qquad z \in \mathcal{U}.$$

After the solution of famous Bieberbach conjecture with the help of hypergeometric functions, some special functions have become very attractive for many mathematicians. The basic q-hypergeometric function was first introduced by Srivastava [14]. In the last three decades, some different geometric properties like univalence, starlikeness, convexity and close-to-convexity of many special functions were discussed by many authors. These geometric properties of different special functions can be found in the papers [2, 3, 4, 8, 11, 15, 12] and references therein. Also, for comprehensive informations about q-calculus we refer [5, 13].

Our main objective in the present paper is to investigate q-close-to-convexity of certain family of q-Bessel functions. Moreover, we deal with strong starlikeness and strong convexity of the mentioned functions. The motivation of this paper is due to the work of Srivastava and Bansal [16] and Raza and Din [10].

This paper is organized as follows: in the rest of this section we remember the definitions of Jackson's q-Bessel functions and define some families of q-Bessel functions by using Hadamard product. Also, we give the set of lemmas which will be needed in the proofs. In subsection 2.1 we deal with the q-close-to-convexity of some families of q-Bessel functions with respect to certain functions. In subsection 2.2 we investigate strong convexity and strong starlikeness of the mentioned functions.

The Jackson's second and third q-Bessel functions are defined by [1]

$$J_{v}^{(2)}(z;q) = \frac{\left(q^{v+1};q\right)_{\infty}}{\left(q;q\right)_{\infty}} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\left(-1\right)^{n} \left(\frac{z}{2}\right)^{2n+v}}{\left(q;q\right)_{n} \left(q^{v+1};q\right)_{n}} q^{n(n+v)}$$
(5)

and

$$J_{v}^{(3)}(z;q) = \frac{\left(q^{v+1};q\right)_{\infty}}{(q;q)_{\infty}} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{n} z^{2n+v}}{(q;q)_{n} \left(q^{v+1};q\right)_{n}} q^{\frac{1}{2}n(n+1)},\tag{6}$$

where $q \in (0, 1)$, v > -1, $z \in \mathbb{C}$ with conditions

$$(b;q)_0 = 1, \ (b;q)_n = \prod_{k=1}^n \left(1 - bq^{k-1}\right), \ (b;q)_\infty = \prod_{k=1}^\infty \left(1 - bq^{k-1}\right).$$

The functions defined by (5) and (6) do not belong to the class \mathcal{A} . We consider the following normalized forms of the Jackson's second and third *q*-Bessel functions.

$$\varphi_v^{(2)}(z;q) = 2^v c_v(q) z^{1-\frac{v}{2}} J_v^{(2)}(\sqrt{z};q) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n q^{n(n+v)}}{4^n (q;q)_n (q^{v+1};q)_n} z^{n+1}$$
(7)

and

$$\varphi_v^{(3)}(z;q) = c_v(q) z^{1-\frac{v}{2}} J_v^{(3)}(\sqrt{z};q) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n q^{\frac{1}{2}n(n+1)}}{(q;q)_n (q^{v+1};q)_n} z^{n+1}, \tag{8}$$

where $c_v(q) = \frac{(q;q)_{\infty}}{(q^{v+1};q)_{\infty}}$. As a result of the normalizations defined by (7) and (8), these functions belong to the class \mathcal{A} .

To discuss the q-close-to-convexity of certain families of q-Bessel functions with respect to the functions $\frac{z}{1-z}$ and $\frac{z}{1-z^2}$, we consider the following Hadamard products:

$$\mathcal{H}_{v}^{(2)}(z;q) = \frac{z}{1+z} * \varphi_{v}^{(2)}(z;q) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{q^{(n-1)(n-1+v)}}{4^{n-1} (q;q)_{n-1} (q^{v+1};q)_{n-1}} z^{n-1} (q^{n-1}) z^{n-1} (q^{n-1}) (q^{n-1}) z^{n-1} (q^{n-1}) (q$$

and

$$\mathcal{H}_{v}^{(3)}(z;q) = \frac{z}{1+z} * \varphi_{v}^{(3)}(z;q) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{q^{\frac{n(n-1)}{2}}}{(q;q)_{n-1} (q^{v+1};q)_{n-1}} z^{n},$$

where $\frac{z}{1+z} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^n z^{n+1}$. The following lemmas will be used in order to prove our main results.

Lemma 1. [16] Let (A_n) be a sequence of real numbers such that

$$B_n = \frac{A_n(1-q^n)}{1-q}, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}, q \in (0,1).$$

Let

$$1 \ge B_1 \ge B_2 \ge B_3 \ge \dots \ge B_n \ge \dots \ge 0$$

or

$$1 \le B_1 \le B_2 \le B_3 \le \dots \le B_n \le \dots \le 2.$$

Then

$$f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} A_n z^n \in \mathcal{K}_q$$

with respect to $g(z) = \frac{z}{1-z}$.

Lemma 2. [9] Let (A_n) be a sequence of real numbers such that

$$B_n = \frac{A_n(1-q^n)}{1-q}, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}, q \in (0,1).$$

Let

$$1 \ge B_3 \ge B_5 \ge B_5 \ge \dots \ge B_{2n-1} \ge \dots \ge 0$$

or

$$1 \le B_3 \le B_5 \le B_5 \le \dots \le B_{2n-1} \le \dots \le 2.$$

Then

$$f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} A_{2n-1} z^{2n-1} \in \mathfrak{K}_q$$

with respect to $h(z) = \frac{z}{1-z^2}$.

Lemma 3. [6] Let M(z) be convex and univalent in the open unit disk with condition M(0) = 1. Let F(z) be analytic in the open unit disk with condition F(0) = 1 and $F \prec M$ in the open unit disk. Then $\forall n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$, we obtain

$$(n+1)z^{-1-n}\int_{0}^{z}t^{n}F(t)dt \prec (n+1)z^{-1-n}\int_{0}^{z}t^{n}M(t)dt$$

2 Main results

In this section we present our main results. This section is divided into two subsections.

2.1 q-Close-to-convexity of the functions $\mathcal{H}_v^{(2)}(z;q)$ and $\mathcal{H}_v^{(3)}(z;q)$

In this part, we present some sufficient conditions for the *q*-close-to-convexity of the functions $\mathcal{H}_v^{(2)}(z;q)$ and $\mathcal{H}_v^{(3)}(z;q)$.

Theorem 1. Let $v \ge 0$ and $n \in \mathbb{N} = \{1, 2, ...\}$. *a.* If $q \in (0, \frac{1}{2}]$ and

$$4(1-q^n)^2(1-q^{\nu+n}) \ge (1-q^{n+1})q^{2n+\nu-1},$$
(9)

then the function $z \mapsto \mathcal{H}_v^{(2)}(z;q)$ is q-close-to-convex in the open unit disk with respect to $p(z) = \frac{z}{1-z}$.

b. If $q \in (0, \frac{3}{10}]$ and

$$(1-q^n)^2(1-q^{v+n}) \ge (1-q^{n+1})q^n,$$
(10)

then the function $z \mapsto \mathcal{H}_v^{(3)}(z;q)$ is q-close-to-convex in the open unit disk with respect to $p(z) = \frac{z}{1-z}$.

Proof.

a. Consider the function $z \to \mathcal{H}_v^{(2)}(z;q)$ as follows:

$$\mathcal{H}_v^{(2)}(z;q) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} H_n z^n,$$

where $H_n = \frac{q^{(n-1)(n-1+v)}}{4^{n-1}(q;q)_{n-1}(q^{v+1};q)_{n-1}}$. By using Lemma 1, the *q*-close-to-convexity of function $\mathcal{H}_v^{(2)}(z;q)$ can be process. If we take n = 1 in the inequality (9), we have

$$4(1-q)(1-q^{\nu+1}) \ge (1+q)q^{\nu+1}.$$
(11)

Now, we construct

$$B_n = \frac{(1-q^n)}{1-q} H_n, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}, q \in \left(0, \frac{1}{2}\right].$$

It is easily observed that $B_1 = 1$ and all the values of B_n are positive for $n \in \mathbb{N}$. On the other hand, it is easily seen that

$$B_2 = \frac{(1+q)q^{v+1}}{4(1-q)(1-q^{v+1})}$$

and from the inequality (11) we have $B_2 \leq 1$. Now, we would like to show that $B_{n+1} \leq B_n$ for all $n \geq 2$. For this purpose, consider

$$\frac{B_{n+1}}{B_n} = \frac{\frac{(1-q^{n+1})}{1-q} \frac{q^{n(n+v)}}{4^n (q;q)_n (q^{v+1};q)_n}}{\frac{(1-q^n)}{1-q} \frac{q^{(n-1)(n-1+v)}}{4^{n-1} (q;q)_{n-1} (q^{v+1};q)_{n-1}}} = \frac{1-q^{n+1}}{1-q^n} \frac{\frac{q^{n(n+v)}}{4} \frac{q^{n(n+v)}}{\prod_{k=1}^n (1-q^k) \prod_{k=1}^n (1-q^{v+k})}}{\prod_{k=1}^n (1-q^{v+k})}}{= \frac{1-q^{n+1}}{1-q^n} \frac{q^{2n+v-1}}{4(1-q^n)(1-q^{v+n})}}{\frac{q^{2n+v-1}}{4(1-q^n)(1-q^{v+n})}} = \frac{(1-q^{n+1})}{4(1-q^n)^2(1-q^{v+n})}.$$

The inequality (9) implies that $\frac{B_{n+1}}{B_n} \leq 1$, so the proof is completed.

b. In order to prove the q-close-to-convexity of function $\mathcal{H}_v^{(3)}(z;q)$, consider the function $z \to \mathcal{H}_v^{(3)}(z;q)$ as follows:

$$\mathcal{H}^{(3)}(z;q) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} K_n z^n,$$

where $K_n = \frac{q^{\frac{n(n-1)}{2}}}{(q;q)_{n-1}(q^{v+1};q)_{n-1}}.$

By making use of the Lemma 1, the q-close-to-convexity of the function $\mathcal{H}_{v}^{(3)}(z;q)$ can be shown. By taking n = 1 in the inequality (10), we get

$$(1-q)(1-q^{\nu+1}) \ge (1+q)q.$$
 (12)

Now, we construct

$$B_n = \frac{(1-q^n)}{1-q} K_n, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}, q \in \left(0, \frac{3}{10}\right].$$

It is easily seen that $B_1 = 1$ and all the values of B_n are positive for $n \in \mathbb{N}$. On the other hand, it is easily obtained that

$$B_2 = \frac{(1+q)q}{(1-q)(1-q^{\nu+1})}$$

and from the inequality (12) we have $B_2 \leq 1$. Now, we would like to show that

 $B_{n+1} \leq B_n$ for all $n \geq 2$. For this purpose, consider

$$\frac{B_{n+1}}{B_n} = \frac{\frac{(1-q^{n+1})}{1-q} \frac{q^{\frac{n(n+1)}{2}}}{(q;q)_n (q^{v+1};q)_n}}{\frac{(1-q^n)}{1-q} \frac{q^{\frac{n(n-1)}{2}}}{(q;q)_{n-1} (q^{v+1};q)_{n-1}}} = \frac{1-q^{n+1}}{1-q^n} \frac{\frac{q^{\frac{n(n+1)}{2}}}{\prod\limits_{k=1}^{n} (1-q^k) \prod\limits_{k=1}^{n} (1-q^{v+k})}}{\prod\limits_{k=1}^{n-1} (1-q^k) \prod\limits_{k=1}^{n} (1-q^{v+k})}}{= \frac{1-q^{n+1}}{1-q^n} \frac{q^n}{(1-q^n)(1-q^{v+n})}} = \frac{(1-q^{n+1}) q^n}{(1-q^n)^2(1-q^{v+n})}.$$

The inequality (10) implies that $\frac{B_{n+1}}{B_n} \leq 1$, which is desired.

Theorem 2. Let $v \ge 0$ and $n \in \mathbb{N} = \{1, 2, ... \}$.

a. If $q \in (0, \frac{3}{5}]$ and

$$16(1-q^{2n})(1-q^{\nu+2n-1})(1-q^{\nu+2n})\left(1-q^{2n-1}\right)^2 \ge (1-q^{2n+1})q^{2\nu+8n-4}, \quad (13)$$

then the function $z \mapsto \mathcal{H}_v^{(2)}(z;q)$ is q-close-to-convex in the open unit disk with respect to $k(z) = \frac{z}{1-z^2}$.

b. If $q \in (0, \frac{2}{5}]$ and

$$(1 - q^{2n-1})^2 (1 - q^{2n})(1 - q^{v+2n-1})(1 - q^{v+2n}) \ge (1 - q^{2n+1})q^{5n-1},$$
(14)

then the function $z \mapsto \mathcal{H}_v^{(3)}(z;q)$ is q-close-to-convex in the open unit disk with respect to $k(z) = \frac{z}{1-z^2}$.

Proof.

a. By using Lemma 2 the q-close-to-convexity of the function $\mathcal{H}_v^{(2)}(z;q)$ with respect to $k(z) = \frac{z}{1-z^2}$ can be proven. If we take n = 1 in the inequality (13) we have

$$16(1-q)^2(1+q)(1-q^{\nu+1})(1-q^{\nu+2}) \ge (1+q+q^2)q^{2(\nu+2)}.$$
 (15)

If we consider

$$B_n = \frac{(1-q^n)}{1-q} H_n, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}, q \in \left(0, \frac{3}{5}\right],$$

then it is easily observed that $B_1 = 1$ and all the values of B_n are positive for $n \in \mathbb{N}$. On the other hand, it is easily seen that

$$B_3 = \frac{q^{2(v+2)}(1+q+q^2)}{16(1-q)(1-q^2)(1-q^{v+1})(1-q^{v+2})}$$

and from the inequality (15) we have $B_3 \leq 1$. Now, we would like to show that $B_{2n+1} \leq B_{2n-1}$ for all $n \geq 2$. For this purpose, consider

$$\frac{B_{2n+1}}{B_{2n-1}} = \frac{\frac{(1-q^{2n+1})}{1-q} \frac{q^{2n(2n+v)}}{4^{2n}(q;q)_{2n}(q^{v+1};q)_{2n}}}{\frac{(1-q^{2n-1})}{1-q} \frac{q^{(2n-2)(2n-2+v)}}{4^{2n-2}(q;q)_{2n-2}(q^{v+1};q)_{2n-2}}} = \frac{1-q^{2n+1}}{1-q^{2n-1}} \frac{\frac{q^{2n(2n+v)}}{\prod_{k=1}^{2n}(1-q^k)\prod_{k=1}^{2n}(1-q^{v+k})}}{\frac{q^{(2n-2)(2n-2+v)}}{\prod_{k=1}^{2n-2}(1-q^k)\prod_{k=1}^{2n-2}(1-q^{v+k})}} \\
= \frac{1-q^{2n+1}}{1-q^{2n-1}} \frac{q^{2v+8n-4}}{16(1-q^{2n})(1-q^{v+2n-1})(1-q^{v+2n})(1-q^{v+2n-1})} \\
= \frac{(1-q^{2n+1})}{16(1-q^{2n})(1-q^{v+2n-1})(1-q^{v+2n})(1-q^{2n-1})^2}.$$

The inequality (13) implies that $\frac{B_{2n+1}}{B_{2n-1}} \leq 1$, so the proof is completed.

b. With the help of Lemma 2 we show that the function $\mathcal{H}_v^{(3)}(z;q)$ is q-close-toconvex in the open unit disk with respect to $k(z) = \frac{z}{1-z^2}$. If we take n = 1 in the inequality (13), we have

$$(1-q)^2(1+q)(1-q^{\nu+1})(1-q^{\nu+2}) \ge (1+q+q^2)q^3.$$
(16)

Now, we construct

$$B_n = \frac{(1-q^n)}{1-q} K_n, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}, q \in \left(0, \frac{2}{5}\right]$$

It is easily observed that $B_1 = 1$ and all the values of B_n are positive for $n \in \mathbb{N}$. On the other hand, it is easily seen that

$$B_3 = \frac{(1+q+q^2)q^3}{(1-q)^2(1+q)(1-q^{\nu+1})(1-q^{\nu+2})}$$

and from the inequality (16) we have $B_3 \leq 1$. Now, we would like to show that $B_{2n+1} \leq B_{2n-1}$ for all $n \geq 2$. For this purpose, consider

$$\frac{B_{2n+1}}{B_{2n-1}} = \frac{\frac{(1-q^{2n+1})}{1-q} \frac{q^{n(2n+1)}}{(q;q)_{2n}(q^{v+1};q)_{2n}}}{\frac{(1-q^{2n-1})}{1-q} \frac{q^{(n-1)(2n-1)}}{(q;q)_{2n-2}(q^{v+1};q)_{2n-2}}} = \frac{1-q^{2n+1}}{1-q^{2n-1}} \frac{\frac{q^{n(2n+1)}}{\prod_{k=1}^{n}(1-q^k)} \prod_{k=1}^{2n}(1-q^{v+k})}{\prod_{k=1}^{2n-2}(1-q^{v+k})}} \\
= \frac{1-q^{2n+1}}{1-q^{2n-1}} \frac{q^{5n-1}}{(1-q^{2n})(1-q^{v+2n-1})(1-q^{v+2n})(1-q^{2n-1})} \\
= \frac{(1-q^{2n+1})}{(1-q^{2n})(1-q^{v+2n-1})(1-q^{v+2n})(1-q^{2n-1})^2}.$$

The inequality (14) implies that $\frac{B_{2n+1}}{B_{2n-1}} \leq 1$, which is desired.

2.2 Strong convexity and strong starlikeness of the functions $\mathcal{H}_{v}^{(2)}(z;q)$ and $\mathcal{H}_{v}^{(3)}(z;q)$

In this section, we are mainly interested in finding some sufficient conditions for the functions $\mathcal{H}_{v}^{(2)}(z;q)$ and $\mathcal{H}_{v}^{(3)}(z;q)$ to belong to the function classes of strongly convex functions of order α and strongly starlike functions of order α , respectively.

Theorem 3. Let v > -1 and $q \in (0, 1)$.

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{a.} \ \ If \ (1-q) \ (1-q^v) - 2q^v > 0, \ then \ the \ function \ z \mapsto \mathcal{H}_v^{(2)}(z;q) \in \mathcal{C}(\alpha) \ , \ where \\ \alpha &= \frac{2}{\pi} \arcsin\left(\varkappa \sqrt{1 - \frac{\varkappa^2}{4}} + \frac{\varkappa}{2}\sqrt{1 - \varkappa^2}\right) \ and \ \varkappa &= \frac{q^v}{(1-q) \ (1-q^v) - q^v}. \end{aligned}$$
$$\mathbf{b.} \ \ If \ \frac{q^v}{2\{(1-q)(1-q^v) - q^v\}} < 1, \ then \ the \ function \ z \mapsto \mathcal{H}_v^{(2)}(z;q) \in \widetilde{S^*}(\alpha) \ , \ where \\ \alpha &= \frac{2}{\pi} \arcsin\left(\psi \sqrt{1 - \frac{\psi^2}{4}} + \frac{\psi}{2}\sqrt{1 - \psi^2}\right) \ and \ \psi &= \frac{q^v}{2\{(1-q)(1-q^v) - q^v\}}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof.

a. By using the well-known triangle inequality

$$|z_1 + z_2| \le |z_1| + |z_2|, \qquad (z_1, z_2 \in \mathbb{C})$$
(17)

 $\langle \alpha \rangle$

with the inequalities

$$(n+1)^2 \le 4^n, \quad q^{n(n+v)} \le q^{nv}, \quad (1-q)^n \le (q;q)_n, \quad (1-q^v)^n \le (q^{v+1};q)_n,$$

for $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we obtain

$$\left| \left(z \mathcal{H}_{v}^{\prime(2)}(z;q) \right)^{\prime} - 1 \right| \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{q^{n(n+v)} (n+1)^{2}}{4^{n} (q;q)_{n} (q^{v+1};q)_{n}} \\ \leq \frac{q^{v}}{(1-q) (1-q^{v})} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{q^{v}}{(1-q) (1-q^{v})} \right)^{n-1} \\ = \frac{q^{v}}{(1-q) (1-q^{v}) - q^{v}} = \varkappa.$$
(18)

From (18), we concluded that

$$\left(z\mathcal{H}_{v}^{\prime(2)}(z;q)\right)' \prec 1 + \varkappa z \quad \Rightarrow \quad \left|\arg\left(z\mathcal{H}_{v}^{\prime(2)}(z;q)\right)'\right| < \arcsin \varkappa.$$
 (19)

With the help of Lemma 3, take n = 0 with $F(z) = \left(z\mathcal{H}'^{(2)}_v(z;q)\right)'$ and $M(z) = 1 + \varkappa z$, we get

$$\frac{z\mathcal{H}_v^{\prime(2)}(z;q)}{z} \prec 1 + \frac{\varkappa}{2}z.$$

This implies that

$$\mathcal{H}_{v}^{\prime(2)}(z;q) \prec 1 + \frac{\varkappa}{2}z.$$

$$\left|\arg\mathcal{H}_{v}^{\prime(2)}(z;q)\right| < \arcsin\frac{\varkappa}{2}.$$
(20)

As a result

By using (19) and (20), we obtain

$$\left| \arg\left(\frac{\left(z\mathcal{H}_{v}^{\prime(2)}(z;q)\right)^{\prime}}{\mathcal{H}_{v}^{\prime(2)}(z;q)}\right) \right| = \left| \arg\left(z\mathcal{H}_{v}^{\prime(2)}(z;q)\right)^{\prime} - \arg\left(\mathcal{H}_{v}^{\prime(2)}(z;q)\right) \right|$$
$$\leq \left| \arg\left(z\mathcal{H}_{v}^{\prime(2)}(z;q)\right)^{\prime} \right| + \left| \arg\left(\mathcal{H}_{v}^{\prime(2)}(z;q)\right) \right|$$
$$< \arcsin\frac{\varkappa}{2} + \arcsin\varkappa$$
$$= \arcsin\left(\varkappa\sqrt{1 - \frac{\varkappa^{2}}{4}} + \frac{\varkappa}{2}\sqrt{1 - \varkappa^{2}}\right),$$

which implies that $\mathcal{H}_v^{(2)} \in \overset{\sim}{\mathcal{C}}(\alpha)$ for $\alpha = \frac{2}{\pi} \arcsin\left(\varkappa \sqrt{1 - \frac{\varkappa^2}{4}} + \frac{\varkappa}{2}\sqrt{1 - \varkappa^2}\right)$.

 \boldsymbol{b} . By using the well-known triangle inequality given by (17) with the inequalities

$$2(n+1) \le 4^n, \quad q^{n(n+v)} \le q^{nv}, \quad (1-q)^n \le (q;q)_n, \quad (1-q^v)^n \le (q^{v+1};q)_n,$$

for $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we can write that

$$\left| \mathcal{H}_{v}^{\prime(2)}(z;q) - 1 \right| \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{q^{n(n+v)} (n+1)^{2}}{4^{n} (q;q)_{n} (q^{v+1};q)_{n}} \\ \leq \frac{1}{2} \frac{q^{v}}{(1-q) (1-q^{v})} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{q^{v}}{(1-q) (1-q^{v})} \right)^{n-1} \\ = \frac{q^{v}}{2 \left\{ (1-q) (1-q^{v}) - q^{v} \right\}} = \psi.$$

$$(21)$$

From (21), we concluded that

As a result

$$\mathcal{H}_{v}^{\prime(2)}(z;q) \prec 1 + \psi z \quad \Rightarrow \quad \left| \arg \left(\mathcal{H}_{v}^{\prime(2)}(z;q) \right) \right| < \arcsin \psi.$$
 (22)

With the help of Lemma 3, take n = 0 with $F(z) = \mathcal{H}'^{(2)}_v(z;q)$ and $M(z) = 1 + \psi z$, we get

$$\frac{\mathcal{H}_{v}^{(2)}(z;q)}{z} \prec 1 + \frac{\psi}{2}z.$$

$$\left|\arg\left(\frac{\mathcal{H}_{v}^{(2)}(z;q)}{z}\right)\right| < \arcsin\frac{\psi}{2}.$$
(23)

By using (22) and (23), we obtain

$$\begin{vmatrix} \arg\left(\frac{z\mathcal{H}_{v}^{\prime(2)}(z;q)}{\mathcal{H}_{v}^{(2)}(z;q)}\right) \end{vmatrix} = \left| \arg\left(\frac{z}{\mathcal{H}_{v}^{(2)}(z;q)}\right) - \arg\left(\mathcal{H}_{v}^{\prime(2)}(z;q)\right) \right| \\ \leq \left| \arg\left(\frac{z}{\mathcal{H}_{v}^{(2)}(z;q)}\right) \right| + \left| \arg\left(\mathcal{H}_{v}^{\prime(2)}(z;q)\right) \right| \\ < \arcsin\frac{\psi}{2} + \arcsin\psi \\ = \arcsin\left(\psi\sqrt{1 - \frac{\psi^{2}}{4}} + \frac{\psi}{2}\sqrt{1 - \psi^{2}}\right), \end{aligned}$$

which implies that $\mathcal{H}_{v}^{(2)} \in \widetilde{\mathcal{S}^{*}}(\alpha)$ for $\alpha = \frac{2}{\pi} \arcsin\left(\psi\sqrt{1-\frac{\psi^{2}}{4}}+\frac{\psi}{2}\sqrt{1-\psi^{2}}\right)$. \Box

Theorem 4. Let v > -1, $q \in (0, 1)$.

a. If
$$(1-q)(1-q^v) - 8q^{\frac{1}{2}} > 0$$
, then $\mathcal{H}_v^{(3)}(z;q) \in \widetilde{\mathcal{C}}(\alpha)$, where

$$\alpha = \frac{2}{\pi} \arcsin\left(\kappa\sqrt{1-\frac{\kappa^2}{4}} + \frac{\kappa}{2}\sqrt{1-\kappa^2}\right),$$
(24)

and
$$\kappa = \frac{4q^{\frac{1}{2}}}{(1-q)(1-q^v)-4q^{\frac{1}{2}}}$$
.
b. If $(1-q)(1-q^v)-4q^{\frac{1}{2}} > 0$, then $\mathcal{H}_v^{(3)}(z;q) \in \widetilde{S^*}(\alpha)$, where
 $\alpha = \frac{2}{\pi} \arcsin\left(\mu\sqrt{1-\frac{\mu^2}{4}}+\frac{\psi_1}{2}\sqrt{1-\psi_1^2}\right)$, (25)

and $\mu = \frac{2q^{\frac{1}{2}}}{(1-q)(1-q^v)-2q^{\frac{1}{2}}}.$

Proof.

a. By using the well-known triangle inequality given by (17) with the inequalities

 $(n+1)^2 \le 4^n, \quad q^{\frac{n(n+1)}{2}} \le q^{\frac{n}{2}}, \quad (1-q)^n \le (q;q)_n, \quad (1-q^v)^n \le \left(q^{v+1};q\right)_n,$

for $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we obtain

$$\left| \left(z \mathcal{H}_{v}^{\prime(3)}(z;q) \right)^{\prime} - 1 \right| \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{q^{\frac{n(n+1)}{2}} (n+1)^{2}}{(q;q)_{n} (q^{v+1};q)_{n}} \\ \leq \frac{4q^{\frac{1}{2}}}{(1-q) (1-q^{v})} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{4q^{\frac{1}{2}}}{(1-q) (1-q^{v})} \right)^{n-1} \\ = \frac{4q^{\frac{1}{2}}}{(1-q) (1-q^{v}) - 4q^{\frac{1}{2}}} = \kappa.$$
(26)

From (18), we concluded that

$$\left(z\mathcal{H}_{v}^{\prime(3)}(z;q)\right)' \prec 1 + \kappa z \Rightarrow \left|\arg\left(z\mathcal{H}_{v}^{\prime(3)}(z;q)\right)'\right| < \arcsin\kappa.$$
(27)

With the help of Lemma 3, take n = 0 with $F(z) = \left(z\mathcal{H}'^{(3)}_v(z;q)\right)'$ and $M(z) = 1 + \kappa z$, we get

$$\frac{z\mathcal{H}_v^{\prime(3)}(z;q)}{z} \prec 1 + \frac{\kappa}{2}z.$$

This implies that

$$\mathcal{H}_{v}^{\prime(3)}(z;q) \prec 1 + \frac{\kappa}{2}z.$$

As a result

$$\left|\arg \mathcal{H}_{v}^{\prime(3)}(z;q)\right| < \arcsin \frac{\kappa}{2}.$$
(28)

By using (27) and (28), we obtain

$$\begin{vmatrix} \arg\left(\frac{\left(z\mathcal{H}_{v}^{\prime(3)}(z;q)\right)'}{\mathcal{H}_{v}^{\prime(3)}(z;q)}\right) \end{vmatrix} = \left| \arg\left(z\mathcal{H}_{v}^{\prime(3)}(z;q)\right)' - \arg\left(\mathcal{H}_{v}^{\prime(3)}(z;q)\right) \right| \\ \leq \left| \arg\left(z\mathcal{H}_{v}^{\prime(3)}(z;q)\right)' \right| + \left| \arg\left(\mathcal{H}_{v}^{\prime(3)}(z;q)\right) \right| \\ < \arcsin\frac{\kappa}{2} + \arcsin\kappa \\ = \arcsin\left(\kappa\sqrt{1 - \frac{\kappa^{2}}{4}} + \frac{\kappa}{2}\sqrt{1 - \kappa^{2}}\right), \end{aligned}$$

which implies that $\mathcal{H}_{v}^{(3)} \in \widetilde{\mathcal{C}}(\alpha)$ for $\alpha = \frac{2}{\pi} \arcsin\left(\kappa \sqrt{1 - \frac{\kappa^{2}}{4}} + \frac{\kappa}{2}\sqrt{1 - \kappa^{2}}\right)$.

 $\boldsymbol{b}.$ By using the well-known triangle inequality given by (17) with the inequalities

$$(n+1) \le 2^n, \ q^{\frac{n(n+1)}{2}} \le q^{\frac{n}{2}}, \ (1-q)^n \le (q;q)_n, \ (1-q^v)^n \le (q^{v+1};q)_n,$$

for $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we obtain

$$\left| \mathcal{H}_{v}^{\prime(3)}(z;q) - 1 \right| \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{q^{\frac{n(n+1)}{2}}(n+1)}{(q;q)_{n} (q^{v+1};q)_{n}} \\ \leq \frac{2q^{\frac{1}{2}}}{(1-q)(1-q^{v})} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{2q^{\frac{1}{2}}}{(1-q)(1-q^{v})} \right)^{n-1} \\ = \frac{2q^{\frac{1}{2}}}{(1-q)(1-q^{v}) - 2q^{\frac{1}{2}}} = \mu.$$

$$(29)$$

From (29) we conclude that

$$\mathcal{H}_{v}^{\prime(3)}(z;q) \prec 1 + \mu z \Rightarrow \left| \arg \left(\mathcal{H}_{v}^{\prime(3)}(z;q) \right) \right| < \arcsin \mu.$$
(30)

With the help of Lemma 3, take n = 0 with $F(z) = \mathcal{H}'^{(3)}_v(z;q)$ and $M(z) = 1 + \mu z$, we get

$$\frac{\mathcal{H}_{v}^{(3)}(z;q)}{z} \prec 1 + \frac{\mu}{2}z.$$

$$\left|\arg\left(\frac{\mathcal{H}_{v}^{(3)}(z;q)}{z}\right)\right| < \arcsin\frac{\mu}{2}.$$
(31)

As a result

By using
$$(30)$$
 and (31) , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \arg\left(\frac{z\mathcal{H}_{v}^{\prime(3)}(z;q)}{\mathcal{H}_{v}^{(3)}(z;q)}\right) \right| &= \left| \arg\left(\frac{z}{\mathcal{H}_{v}^{(3)}(z;q)}\right) - \arg\left(\mathcal{H}_{v}^{\prime(3)}(z;q)\right) \right| \\ &\leq \left| \arg\left(\frac{z}{\mathcal{H}_{v}^{(3)}(z;q)}\right) \right| + \left| \arg\left(\mathcal{H}_{v}^{\prime(3)}(z;q)\right) \right| \\ &< \arcsin\frac{\mu}{2} + \arcsin\mu \\ &= \arcsin\left(\mu\sqrt{1 - \frac{\mu^{2}}{4}} + \frac{\mu}{2}\sqrt{1 - \mu^{2}}\right), \end{aligned}$$

which implies that $\mathcal{H}_v^{(3)} \in \widetilde{\mathcal{S}^*}(\alpha)$ for $\alpha = \frac{2}{\pi} \arcsin\left(\mu\sqrt{1-\frac{\mu^2}{4}+\frac{\mu}{2}\sqrt{1-\mu^2}}\right)$. \Box

References

- Annaby, M.H. and Mansour, Z.S., *q-fractional calculus and equations*, Springer-Verlag, Heidelberg, 2012.
- [2] Baricz, A., Geometric properties of generalized Bessel functions, Publ. Math. Debrecen 73 (2008), 155–178.
- [3] Dziok, J. and Srivastava, H.M., Certain subclasses of analytic functions associated with the generalized hypergeometric function, Integr. Transf. Spec. F. 14 (2003), no. 1, 7–18.
- [4] Dziok, J. and Srivastava, H.M., Classes of analytic functions associated with the generalized hypergeometric function, Appl. Math. Comput. 103 (1999), no. 1, 1–13.
- [5] Gasper, G. and Rahman, M., Basic Hypergeometric series (Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications), Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2004.

- [6] Hallenbeck, D.J. and Ruscheweyh, S., Subordination by convex functions, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 52 (1975), 191–195.
- [7] Ismail, M.E.H., Merkes, E., and Styer, D., A generalization of starlike functions, Complex Var. Elliptic., 14 (1990), no. 1-4, 77–84.
- [8] Owa, S. and Srivastava, H.M., Univalent and starlike generalized hypergeometric functions, Canad. J. Math., 39 (1987), no. 5, 1057–1077.
- [9] Raghavendar, K. and Swaminathan, A., Close-to-convexity properties of basic hypergeometric functions using their Taylor coefficients, J. Math. Appl. 35 (2012), 53–67.
- [10] Raza, M. and Din, M.U., Close-to-convexity of q-Mittag-Leffler functions, C. R. Acad. Bulgare. Sci., 71 (2018), no. 12, 1581–1591.
- [11] Raza, M., Din, M.U., and Malik, S.N., Certain geometric properties of normalized Wright functions, J. Funct. Spaces, Volume 2016, Article ID 1896154.
- [12] Sharma, S.K. and Jain, R., On Some properties of generalized q-Mittag Leffler function, Mathematica Acterna, 4 (2014), no. 6, 613–619.
- [13] Srivastava, H.M. and Karlsson, P.W., Multiple Gaussian hypergeometric series, Ellis Horwood, 1985.
- [14] Srivastava, H.M., Univalent functions, fractional calculus, and their applications, Ellis Horwood, 1989.
- [15] Srivastava, H.M., Some Fox-Wright generalized hypergeometric functions and associated families of convolution operators, Appl. Anal. Discrete Math., 1 (2007), no. 1, 56–71.
- [16] Srivastava, H.M. and Bansal, D., Close-to-convexity of a certain family of q-Mittag-Leffler functions, J. Nonlinear Var. Anal., 1 (2017), no. 1, 61–69.