

## A CERTAIN CLASS OF ANALYTIC FUNCTIONS ASSOCIATED WITH A DIFFERENTIAL OPERATOR

Dorina RĂDUCANU<sup>1</sup>

### Abstract

For  $0 \leq \mu \leq \lambda$ ,  $0 \leq \alpha < 1$ ,  $-\pi/2 < \beta < \pi/2$  and  $m \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ , a new class  $R^m(\lambda, \mu, \alpha, \beta)$  of analytic functions defined by means of the differential operator  $D_{\lambda\mu}^m$  is introduced. Basic properties of the class  $R^m(\lambda, \mu, \alpha, \beta)$  are investigated. Connections with previous known results are also pointed out.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: 30C45

*Key words*: analytic functions, differential operator, coefficient estimates, Hadamard product .

## 1 Introduction

Let  $\mathcal{H}$  be the class of analytic functions in the unit disk  $\mathcal{U} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}$ . Denote by  $\mathcal{A}$  the class of functions  $f$  in  $\mathcal{H}$  of the form

$$f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n \quad z \in \mathcal{U}. \quad (1)$$

Let  $\mathcal{R}$  denote the family of functions  $f \in \mathcal{A}$  which satisfy the condition

$$\Re(f'(z) + z f''(z)) > 0, \quad z \in \mathcal{U}. \quad (2)$$

The class  $\mathcal{R}$  was introduced and investigated by P. N. Chichra [4] and R. Sing and S. Sing [12].

Later, H. Silverman [11] investigated the class  $\mathcal{R}(\alpha)$  ( $0 \leq \alpha < 1$ ) of all functions  $f \in \mathcal{A}$  which satisfy the inequality

$$\Re(f'(z) + z f''(z)) > \alpha, \quad z \in \mathcal{U}. \quad (3)$$

In [4], [11] and [12] lower bounds for  $\Re f'(z)$  and  $\Re \frac{f(z)}{z}$  were obtained for functions belonging to the classes  $\mathcal{R}$  and  $\mathcal{R}(\alpha)$  respectively.

---

<sup>1</sup>Faculty of Mathematics and Informatics, *Transilvania* University of Braşov, Romania, e-mail: draducanu@unitbv.ro

Let  $\mathcal{P}_{\alpha,\beta}$  be the class of functions  $p \in \mathcal{H}$  with  $p(0) = 1$  such that

$$\Re(e^{i\beta}p(z)) > \alpha \cos \beta, \quad z \in \mathcal{U}. \quad (4)$$

Here and through the rest of the paper we suppose that  $\alpha, \beta$  are real numbers with  $0 \leq \alpha < 1$  and  $|\beta| < \frac{\pi}{2}$ .

Note that for  $\alpha = \beta = 0$  the class  $\mathcal{P}_{\alpha,\beta}$  reduces to the well known Carathéodory class of functions

$$\mathcal{P} = \{p \in \mathcal{H}, p(0) = 1 \text{ and } \Re p(z) > 0\}.$$

It is easy to see that a function  $p \in \mathcal{H}$  belongs to the class  $\mathcal{P}_{\alpha,\beta}$  if and only if

$$\frac{e^{i\beta}p(z) - (\alpha \cos \beta + i \sin \beta)}{(1 - \alpha) \cos \beta} \in \mathcal{P}. \quad (5)$$

The function

$$p_{\alpha,\beta}(z) = \frac{1 + e^{-i\beta}(e^{-i\beta} - 2\alpha \cos \beta)z}{1 - z}, \quad z \in \mathcal{U}. \quad (6)$$

maps the open unit disk onto the half-plane  $H_{\alpha,\beta} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : \Re(e^{i\beta}z) > \alpha \cos \beta\}$ .

If

$$p_{\alpha,\beta}(z) = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} p_n z^n \quad (7)$$

then

$$p_n = 2e^{-i\beta}(1 - \alpha) \cos \beta, \quad n \geq 1. \quad (8)$$

Herglotz' representation formula for the class  $\mathcal{P}$  (see [6]) together with (5) shows that a function  $p \in \mathcal{H}$  belongs to the class  $\mathcal{P}_{\alpha,\beta}$  if and only if there exists a Borel probability measure  $\mu$  on the unit circle  $T = \{x \in \mathbb{C} : |x| = 1\}$  such that

$$p(z) = \int_{|x|=1} \frac{1 + e^{-i\beta}(e^{-i\beta} - 2\alpha \cos \beta)xz}{1 - xz} d\mu(x), \quad z \in \mathcal{U}. \quad (9)$$

If  $f \in \mathcal{A}$  is given by (1.1) and  $g \in \mathcal{A}$  is given by

$$g(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} b_n z^n$$

then the Hadamard product (or convolution) of the functions  $f$  and  $g$  is defined by

$$(f * g)(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n b_n z^n = (g * f)(z), \quad z \in \mathcal{U}.$$

For a function  $f \in \mathcal{A}$  we consider the following differential operator introduced by Răducanu and Orhan in [8]:

$$\begin{aligned}
 D_{\lambda\mu}^0 f(z) &= f(z) \\
 D_{\lambda\mu}^1 f(z) &= D_{\lambda\mu} f(z) = \lambda\mu z^2 f''(z) + (\lambda - \mu)z f'(z) + (1 - \lambda + \mu)f(z) \\
 D_{\lambda\mu}^m f(z) &= D_{\lambda\mu} \left( D_{\lambda\mu}^{m-1} f(z) \right)
 \end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

where  $0 \leq \mu \leq \lambda$  and  $m \in \mathbb{N} := \{1, 2, \dots\}$ .

Note that, if  $f \in \mathcal{A}$  is given by (1), then

$$D_{\lambda\mu}^m f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} A_n(\lambda, \mu, m) a_n z^n \tag{11}$$

where

$$A_n(\lambda, \mu, m) = [1 + (\lambda\mu n + \lambda - \mu)(n - 1)]^m \quad n \geq 2. \tag{12}$$

It should be remarked that the operator  $D_{\lambda\mu}^m$  generalizes two other differential operators considered earlier:

- (i)  $D_{10}^m f(z) = D^m f(z)$ , the operator introduced by Sălăgean in [10]
- (ii)  $D_{\lambda 0}^m f(z) = D_{\lambda}^m f(z)$ , the operator studied by Al-Oboudi in [1].

In view of (11) the operator  $D_{\lambda\mu}^m f(z)$  can be written in terms of convolution as

$$D_{\lambda\mu}^m f(z) = (f * g_{\lambda\mu})(z), \quad z \in \mathcal{U} \tag{13}$$

where

$$g_{\lambda\mu}(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} A_n(\lambda, \mu, m) z^n, \quad z \in \mathcal{U}. \tag{14}$$

Define the function  $g_{\lambda\mu}^{(-1)}(z)$  such that

$$\left( g_{\lambda\mu}^{(-1)} * g_{\lambda\mu} \right) (z) = \frac{z}{1 - z}, \quad z \in \mathcal{U}. \tag{15}$$

It is easy to observe that

$$f(z) = \left( g_{\lambda\mu}^{(-1)} * D_{\lambda\mu}^m f \right) (z), \quad z \in \mathcal{U}. \tag{16}$$

Making use of the differential operator  $D_{\lambda\mu}^m f$ , we define the following class of functions.

**Definition 1.** We say that a function  $f \in \mathcal{A}$  is in the class  $R^m(\lambda, \mu, \alpha, \beta)$  if  $(D_{\lambda\mu}^m f(z))' + z(D_{\lambda\mu}^m f(z))'' \in \mathcal{P}_{\alpha, \beta}$ , that is

$$\Re \left\{ e^{i\beta} \left[ (D_{\lambda\mu}^m f(z))' + z(D_{\lambda\mu}^m f(z))'' \right] \right\} > \alpha \cos \beta \tag{17}$$

for  $0 \leq \alpha < 1$ ,  $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$  with  $|\beta| < \frac{\pi}{2}$ ,  $0 \leq \mu \leq \lambda$  and  $m \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ .

The class  $R^m(\lambda, \mu, \alpha, \beta)$  contains as particular cases the following classes of functions:

- (i)  $R^0(\lambda, \mu, 0, 0) = \mathcal{R}$ , the class investigated by P. N. Chichra in [4] and R. Sing and S. Sing in [12].
- (ii)  $R^0(\lambda, \mu, \alpha, 0) = \mathcal{R}(\alpha)$ , the class studied by Silverman in [11].

In this paper we investigate some properties of the class  $R^m(\lambda, \mu, \alpha, \beta)$ . In particular, for this class, we derive inclusion results, membership characterization, integral formula, coefficient estimates and also convolution property. Connections with previous known results are also pointed out.

## 2 Inclusion results

In order to obtain our results, we shall need the following two lemmas.

**Lemma 1.** ([5], [9]) Let  $\{c_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  be a convex decreasing sequence, i.e

$$c_n - 2c_{n+1} + c_{n+2} \geq 0 \quad \text{and} \quad c_{n+1} - c_{n+2} \geq 0, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Then

$$\Re \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n z^{n-1} \right\} > \frac{1}{2}, \quad z \in \mathcal{U}.$$

The next lemma follows from Herglotz' representation formula for the class  $\mathcal{P}$  (see [6]).

**Lemma 2.** Let  $P(z)$  be analytic in  $\mathcal{U}$  with  $P(0) = 1$  and  $\Re P(z) > \frac{1}{2}$  in  $\mathcal{U}$ . Then, for any analytic function  $F$  in  $\mathcal{U}$ , the function  $F * P$  takes values in the convex hull of  $F(\mathcal{U})$ .

**Theorem 1.** Let  $\lambda \geq 0$  and  $\mu \geq 0$  such that  $\lambda \geq \mu + 1$ . Then

$$R^{m+1}(\lambda, \mu, \alpha, \beta) \subset R^m(\lambda, \mu, \alpha, \beta), \quad m \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}.$$

*Proof.* Let  $f$  given by (1) be in  $R^{m+1}(\lambda, \mu, \alpha, \beta)$ . It follows that

$$\Re \left\{ e^{i\beta} \left[ (D_{\lambda\mu}^{m+1} f(z))' + z(D_{\lambda\mu}^{m+1} f(z))'' \right] \right\} > \alpha \cos \beta$$

or, making use of (11) and (12)

$$\Re \left\{ e^{i\beta} \left[ 1 + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n^2 [1 + (\lambda\mu n + \lambda - \mu)(n-1)]^{m+1} a_n z^{n-1} \right] \right\} > \alpha \cos \beta, \quad z \in \mathcal{U}.$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} (D_{\lambda\mu}^m f(z))' + z(D_{\lambda\mu}^m f(z))'' &= 1 + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n^2 [1 + (\lambda\mu n + \lambda - \mu)(n-1)]^m a_n z^{n-1} \\ &= \left\{ 1 + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n^2 [1 + (\lambda\mu n + \lambda - \mu)(n-1)]^{m+1} a_n z^{n-1} \right\} \\ &\quad * \left\{ 1 + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{z^{n-1}}{1 + (\lambda\mu n + \lambda - \mu)(n-1)} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Let

$$P(z) = 1 + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{1 + (\lambda\mu n + \lambda - \mu)(n-1)} z^{n-1}$$

and consider the sequence

$$c_1 = 1 \text{ and } c_n = \frac{1}{1 + (\lambda\mu n + \lambda - \mu)(n-1)}, \quad n \geq 2.$$

After lengthy but elementary calculations, we obtain that for  $\lambda \geq \mu + 1$ , the sequence  $\{c_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  is convex decreasing. Therefore, from Lemma 1 we have  $\Re P(z) > \frac{1}{2}$  for all  $z \in \mathcal{U}$ . Now, our result follows as an application of Lemma 2.  $\square$

Making use of Lemma 1 and Lemma 2 we obtain the next result.

**Theorem 2.** *Let  $f \in R^m(\lambda, \mu, \alpha, \beta)$ . Then*

- (i)  $\Re \left\{ e^{i\beta} (D_{\lambda\mu}^m f(z))' \right\} > \alpha \cos \beta, \quad z \in \mathcal{U};$
- (ii)  $\Re \left\{ e^{i\beta} \left( \frac{D_{\lambda\mu}^m f(z)}{z} \right) \right\} > \alpha \cos \beta, \quad z \in \mathcal{U}.$

*Proof.* Let  $f \in R^m(\lambda, \mu, \alpha, \beta)$ . It follows that

$$\Re \left\{ e^{i\beta} [(D_{\lambda\mu}^m f(z))' + z(D_{\lambda\mu}^m f(z))''] \right\} > \alpha \cos \beta$$

or equivalently

$$\Re \left\{ e^{i\beta} \left[ 1 + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n^2 [1 + (\lambda\mu n + \lambda - \mu)(n-1)]^m a_n z^{n-1} \right] \right\} > \alpha \cos \beta.$$

(i) The sequence  $\{c_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  defined by  $c_1 = 1$  and  $c_n = \frac{1}{n}, n \geq 2$  is a convex decreasing sequence and in view of Lemma 1, we have

$$\Re \left\{ 1 + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n} z^{n-1} \right\} > \frac{1}{2}, \quad z \in \mathcal{U}.$$

Writing  $(D_{\lambda\mu}^m f(z))'$  as

$$(D_{\lambda\mu}^m f(z))' = \left\{ 1 + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n^2 [1 + (\lambda\mu n + \lambda - \mu)(n-1)]^m a_n z^{n-1} \right\} * \left\{ 1 + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n} z^{n-1} \right\}$$

and making use of Lemma 2, we conclude that  $\Re \left\{ e^{i\beta} (D_{\lambda\mu}^m f(z))' \right\} > \alpha \cos \beta$ ,  $z \in \mathcal{U}$ .

(ii) We observe that the sequence  $\{c_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  given by  $c_1 = 1$  and  $c_n = \frac{1}{n^2}$ ,  $n \geq 2$  is a convex decreasing sequence. It follows, from Lemma 1 that

$$\Re \left\{ 1 + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^2} z^{n-1} \right\} > \frac{1}{2}, \quad z \in \mathcal{U}.$$

Since

$$\frac{D_{\lambda\mu}^m f(z)}{z} = \left\{ 1 + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n^2 [1 + (\lambda\mu n + \lambda - \mu)(n-1)]^m a_n z^{n-1} \right\} * \left\{ 1 + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^2} z^{n-1} \right\},$$

we obtain our result as an application of Lemma 2.  $\square$

Letting  $m = 0$  and  $\alpha = \beta = 0$  in Theorem 2 (i), we have the next result due to Chichra [4].

**Corollary 1.** *If  $\Re \{f'(z) + zf''(z)\} > 0$ ,  $z \in \mathcal{U}$ , then  $\Re f'(z) > 0$ ,  $z \in \mathcal{U}$  and thus, the function  $f$  is univalent in  $\mathcal{U}$ .*

Letting  $m = 0$  in Theorem 2, we obtain the following result.

**Corollary 2.** *If  $\Re \{e^{i\beta}(f'(z) + zf''(z))\} > \alpha \cos \beta$ ,  $z \in \mathcal{U}$ , then*

$$(i) \quad \Re e^{i\beta} f'(z) > \alpha \cos \beta, \quad z \in \mathcal{U};$$

$$(ii) \quad \Re \left\{ e^{i\beta} \left[ \frac{f(z)}{z} \right] \right\} > \alpha \cos \beta, \quad z \in \mathcal{U}.$$

### 3 Membership characterization

A necessary and sufficient condition for a function  $f \in \mathcal{A}$  to be in the class  $R^m(\lambda, \mu, \alpha, \beta)$ , in terms of convolution, is given in the following theorem.

**Theorem 3.** *Let  $0 \leq \alpha < 1$ ,  $|\beta| < \frac{\pi}{2}$  and  $0 \leq \mu \leq \lambda, m \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then,  $f \in \mathcal{A}$  belongs to the class  $R^m(\lambda, \mu, \alpha, \beta)$  if and only if  $(f * H_{\lambda\mu\theta})(z)/z \neq 0$  in  $\mathcal{U}$ , where*

$$H_{\lambda\mu\theta}(z) = (h_{\lambda\mu} * h_{\theta})(z) \quad (18)$$

with  $h_{\lambda\mu}(z)$  and  $h_{\theta}(z)$  defined by

$$h_{\lambda\mu}(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n^2 A_n(\lambda, \mu, m) z^n \quad (19)$$

and

$$h_{\theta}(z) = \frac{z}{1-z} \left\{ 1 - \frac{1 + e^{-i\beta}(e^{-i\beta} - 2\alpha \cos \beta)e^{i\theta}}{e^{i\theta}[1 + e^{-i\beta}(e^{-i\beta} - 2\alpha \cos \beta)]} z \right\}, \quad 0 < \theta < 2\pi, z \in \mathcal{U}. \quad (20)$$

*Proof.* Let  $p(z) = (D_{\lambda\mu}^m f(z))' + z(D_{\lambda\mu}^m f(z))'' = [z(D_{\lambda\mu}^m f(z))']'$ . Since  $p \in \mathcal{P}_{\alpha, \beta}$  if and only if  $p \prec p_{\alpha, \beta}$  and noting that the function  $p_{\alpha, \beta}$  given by (6) is univalent, we have that  $p(z) \in \mathcal{P}_{\alpha, \beta}$  if and only if

$$p(z) \neq \frac{1 + e^{-i\beta}(e^{-i\beta} - 2\alpha \cos \beta)e^{i\theta}}{1 - e^{i\theta}}, \quad 0 < \theta < 2\pi, z \in \mathcal{U}$$

or

$$(1 - e^{i\theta})p(z) - \left\{ 1 + e^{-i\beta}(e^{-i\beta} - 2\alpha \cos \beta)e^{i\theta} \right\} \neq 0, \quad 0 < \theta < 2\pi, z \in \mathcal{U}.$$

Further, using the convolution, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & (1 - e^{i\theta})p(z) - \left\{ 1 + e^{-i\beta}(e^{-i\beta} - 2\alpha \cos \beta)e^{i\theta} \right\} \\ &= (1 - e^{i\theta}) \left[ \frac{1}{1-z} * p(z) \right] - \left\{ 1 + e^{-i\beta}(e^{-i\beta} - 2\alpha \cos \beta)e^{i\theta} \right\} * p(z) \\ &= \left\{ \frac{1 - e^{i\theta}}{1 - z} - \left[ 1 + e^{-i\beta}(e^{-i\beta} - 2\alpha \cos \beta)e^{i\theta} \right] \right\} * p(z) \neq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Consider the function  $q_{\theta}(z)$  defined by

$$q_{\theta}(z) = \frac{\frac{1 - e^{i\theta}}{1 - z} - \left[ 1 + e^{-i\beta}(e^{-i\beta} - 2\alpha \cos \beta)e^{i\theta} \right]}{-e^{i\theta} [1 + e^{-i\beta}(e^{-i\beta} - 2\alpha \cos \beta)]}$$

or

$$q_{\theta}(z) = \frac{1}{1-z} \left\{ 1 - \frac{1 + e^{-i\beta}(e^{-i\beta} - 2\alpha \cos \beta)e^{i\theta}}{e^{i\theta} [1 + e^{-i\beta}(e^{-i\beta} - 2\alpha \cos \beta)]} z \right\}, \quad 0 < \theta < 2\pi, z \in \mathcal{U}. \quad (21)$$

It follows that  $p(z) \in \mathcal{P}_{\alpha, \beta}$  if and only if  $(q_\theta * p)(z) \neq 0$ . Since

$$zp(z) = z[z(D_{\lambda\mu}^m f(z))'] = (f * h_{\lambda\mu})(z)$$

and  $zq_\theta(z) = h_\theta(z)$ , we obtain that  $p(z) \in \mathcal{P}_{\alpha, \beta}$  if and only if  $(f * h_{\lambda\mu} * h_\theta)(z)/z \neq 0$ .

Consequently, we have that  $f \in R^m(\lambda, \mu, \alpha, \beta)$  if and only if  $(f * H_{\lambda\mu\theta})(z)/z \neq 0$  in  $\mathcal{U}$ , where  $H_{\lambda\mu\theta}$  is given by (18).  $\square$

**Theorem 4.** *The coefficients  $H_n$  of the function  $H_{\lambda\mu\theta}(z)$  defined by (3.1) satisfy the inequality*

$$|H_n| \leq \frac{n^2 A_n(\lambda, \mu, m)}{(1 - \alpha) \cos \beta}, \quad n \geq 2$$

where  $A_n(\lambda, \mu, m)$  is given by (12).

*Proof.* In view of (18), (19) and (20) we have

$$H_{\lambda\mu\theta}(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{e^{i\theta} - 1}{[1 + e^{-i\beta}(e^{-i\beta} - 2\alpha \cos \beta)]e^{i\theta}} n^2 A_n(\lambda, \mu, m) z^n$$

or

$$H_{\lambda\mu\theta}(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} H_n z^n$$

where

$$H_n = \frac{e^{i\theta} - 1}{2e^{i(\theta-\beta)}(1 - \alpha) \cos \beta} n^2 A_n(\lambda, \mu, m), \quad n \geq 2.$$

It is easy to check that

$$|H_n| \leq \frac{n^2 A_n(\lambda, \mu, m)}{(1 - \alpha) \cos \beta}, \quad n \geq 2$$

and thus, our theorem is proved.  $\square$

Theorem 4 enables us to show that the function class  $R^m(\lambda, \mu, \alpha, \beta)$  is non-empty.

**Corollary 3.** *Let  $f(z) = z + az^n$ . If*

$$|a| \leq \frac{(1 - \alpha) \cos \beta}{n^2 A_n(\lambda, \mu, m)}$$

then,  $f \in R^m(\lambda, \mu, \alpha, \beta)$ .

*Proof.* Since

$$\left| \frac{(f * H_{\lambda\mu\theta})(z)}{z} \right| = |1 + aH_n z^{n-1}| \geq 1 - |a||H_n||z| \geq 1 - |z| > 0, \quad z \in \mathcal{U}$$

it follows that  $f \in R^m(\lambda, \mu, \alpha, \beta)$ .  $\square$



## 4 Integral representation

Making use of the integral representation of the functions in  $\mathcal{P}_{\alpha,\beta}$ , given by (9), we obtain an integral representation for the class  $R^m(\lambda, \mu, \alpha, \beta)$ .

**Theorem 5.** *A function  $f \in \mathcal{A}$  is in the class  $R^m(\lambda, \mu, \alpha, \beta)$  if and only if it can be expressed as*

$$f(z) = g_{\alpha\beta}^{(-1)}(z) * \int_{|x|=1} \left[ z + 2(1 - \alpha)e^{-i\beta} \cos \beta \bar{x} \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{(xz)^n}{n^2} \right] d\mu(x) \quad (22)$$

where  $\mu(x)$  is a Borel probability measure on  $T = \{x \in \mathbb{C} : |x| = 1\}$  and  $g_{\alpha\beta}^{(-1)}(z)$  is given by (15).

*Proof.* In view of the definition of the class  $R^m(\lambda, \mu, \alpha, \beta)$ , we have that  $f \in R^m(\lambda, \mu, \alpha, \beta)$  if and only if

$$(D_{\lambda\mu}^m f(z))' + z(D_{\lambda\mu}^m f(z))'' \in \mathcal{P}_{\alpha,\beta}.$$

Making use of (9), we obtain

$$(D_{\lambda\mu}^m f(z))' + z(D_{\lambda\mu}^m f(z))'' = \int_{|x|=1} \frac{1 + e^{-i\beta}(e^{-i\beta} - 2\alpha \cos \beta)xz}{1 - xz} d\mu(x)$$

or

$$[z(D_{\lambda,\mu}^m f(z))']' = \int_{|x|=1} \frac{1 + e^{-i\beta}(e^{-i\beta} - 2\alpha \cos \beta)xz}{1 - xz} d\mu(x).$$

Integrating the above equality, we have

$$z(D_{\lambda,\mu}^m f(z))' = \int_{|x|=1} \left[ \int_0^z \frac{1 + e^{-i\beta}(e^{-i\beta} - 2\alpha \cos \beta)x\zeta}{1 - x\zeta} d\zeta \right] d\mu(x)$$

which is equivalent to

$$(D_{\lambda,\mu}^m f(z))' = \int_{|x|=1} \left[ 1 + 2(1 - \alpha)e^{-i\beta} \cos \beta \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(xz)^n}{n+1} \right] d\mu(x).$$

Integrating again this equality, we obtain

$$D_{\lambda,\mu}^m f(z) = \int_{|x|=1} \left[ z + 2(1 - \alpha)e^{-i\beta} \cos \beta \bar{x} \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{(xz)^n}{n^2} \right] d\mu(x). \quad (23)$$

Equality (22) follows easily from (16) and (23).

Since this deductive process can be converse, we have proved our theorem.  $\square$

## 5 Coefficient estimates

The first result on coefficient estimates for the class  $R^m(\lambda, \mu, \alpha, \beta)$  is the following.

**Theorem 6.** *If  $f \in R^m(\lambda, \mu, \alpha, \beta)$  is given by (1), then*

$$|a_n| \leq \frac{2(1-\alpha)\cos\beta}{n^2 A_n(\lambda, \mu, m)}, \quad n \geq 2 \quad (24)$$

where  $A_n(\lambda, \mu, m)$  is given by (12).

*Proof.* Let  $f \in R^m(\lambda, \mu, \alpha, \beta)$ . Then

$$p(z) = (D_{\lambda\mu}^m f(z))' + z(D_{\lambda\mu}^m f(z))'' \in \mathcal{P}_{\alpha, \beta}.$$

Since

$$p(z) = 1 + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n^2 A_n(\lambda, \mu, m) a_n z^{n-1},$$

in view of (8), we have

$$|n^2 A_n(\lambda, \mu, m) a_n| \leq 2(1-\alpha)\cos\beta, \quad n \geq 2,$$

that is

$$|a_n| \leq \frac{2(1-\alpha)\cos\beta}{n^2 A_n(\lambda, \mu, m)}, \quad n \geq 2.$$

□

In order to obtain our next result on coefficient estimates, we need the following lemma.

**Lemma 3.** ([7]) *Let  $w(z) = c_1 z + c_2 z^2 + \dots$  be an analytic function with  $|w(z)| < 1$  in  $\mathcal{U}$ . Then, for any complex number  $\nu$*

$$|c_2 - \nu c_1^2| \leq \max\{1, |\nu|\}. \quad (25)$$

*The equality is attained for  $w(z) = z^2$  and  $w(z) = z$ .*

**Theorem 7.** *Let  $f \in R^m(\lambda, \mu, \alpha, \beta)$  be given by (1) and let  $\delta$  be a complex number. Then*

$$|a_3 - \delta a_2^2| \leq \frac{2(1-\alpha)\cos\beta}{9A_3(\lambda, \mu, m)} \max\{1, |\nu|\}, \quad (26)$$

where

$$\nu = \frac{9(1-\alpha)e^{-i\beta}\cos\beta A_3(\lambda, \mu, m)\delta - 8A_2(\lambda, \mu, m)^2}{8A_2(\lambda, \mu, m)^2}$$

and

$$A_2(\lambda, \mu, m) = (2\lambda\mu + \lambda - \mu + 1)^m, \quad A_3(\lambda, \mu, m) = (6\lambda\mu + 2(\lambda - \mu) + 1)^m.$$

*The result is sharp.*

*Proof.* Suppose  $f \in R^m(\lambda, \mu, \alpha, \beta)$ . Then  $(D_{\lambda\mu}^m f(z))' + z(D_{\lambda\mu}^m f(z))'' \in \mathcal{P}_{\alpha, \beta}$ . It follows from (6), that there exists an analytic function  $w(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n z^n$ , with  $|w(z)| < 1$  in  $\mathcal{U}$  such that

$$(D_{\lambda\mu}^m f(z))' + z(D_{\lambda\mu}^m f(z))'' = \frac{1 + e^{-i\beta}(e^{-i\beta} - 2\alpha \cos \beta)w(z)}{1 - w(z)}$$

which is equivalent to

$$(1 - w(z)) [(D_{\lambda\mu}^m f(z))' + z(D_{\lambda\mu}^m f(z))''] = 1 + e^{-i\beta}(e^{-i\beta} - 2\alpha \cos \beta)w(z). \quad (27)$$

Equating the coefficients of  $z$  and  $z^2$  on both sides of (27), we obtain

$$a_2 = \frac{(1 - \alpha)e^{-i\beta} \cos \beta}{2A_2(\lambda, \mu, m)} c_1 \quad (28)$$

and

$$a_3 = \frac{2(1 - \alpha)e^{-i\beta} \cos \beta}{9A_3(\lambda, \mu, m)} (c_2 + c_1^2). \quad (29)$$

From (28) and (29), it follows that

$$a_3 - \delta a_2^2 = \frac{2(1 - \alpha)e^{-i\beta} \cos \beta}{9A_3(\lambda, \mu, m)} [c_2 - \nu c_1^2]$$

where

$$\nu = \frac{9(1 - \alpha)e^{-i\beta} \cos \beta A_3(\lambda, \mu, m)\delta - 8A_2(\lambda, \mu, m)^2}{8A_2(\lambda, \mu, m)^2}.$$

Applying Lemma 3, we get

$$\begin{aligned} |a_3 - \delta a_2^2| &= \frac{2(1 - \alpha) \cos \beta}{9A_3(\lambda, \mu, m)} |c_2 - \nu c_1^2| \\ &\leq \frac{2(1 - \alpha) \cos \beta}{9A_3(\lambda, \mu, m)} \max \{1, |\nu|\}. \end{aligned}$$

The sharpness of (26) follows from the sharpness of inequality (25).  $\square$

## 6 Convolution property

Making use of Lemma 2, we obtain a convolution property for the class  $R^m(\lambda, \mu, \alpha, \beta)$ .

**Theorem 8.** *The class  $R^m(\lambda, \mu, \alpha, \beta)$  is closed under the convolution with a convex function. That is, if  $f \in R^m(\lambda, \mu, \alpha, \beta)$  and  $g$  is convex in  $\mathcal{U}$ , then  $f * g \in R^m(\lambda, \mu, \alpha, \beta)$ .*

*Proof.* It is known that, if  $g$  is a convex function in  $\mathcal{U}$ , then

$$\Re \frac{g(z)}{z} > \frac{1}{2}. \quad (30)$$

Suppose  $f \in R^m(\lambda, \mu, \alpha, \beta)$ . Making use of the convolution properties, we have

$$z[D_{\lambda\mu}^m(f * g)(z)]' = z(D_{\lambda\mu}^m f(z))' * g(z)$$

and thus

$$\begin{aligned} & (D_{\lambda\mu}^m(f * g)(z))' + z(D_{\lambda\mu}^m(f * g)(z))'' \\ &= [(D_{\lambda\mu}^m f(z))' + z(D_{\lambda\mu}^m f(z))''] * \frac{g(z)}{z}. \end{aligned} \quad (31)$$

Since

$$\Re \left\{ e^{i\beta} [(D_{\lambda\mu}^m f(z))' + z(D_{\lambda\mu}^m f(z))''] \right\} > \alpha \cos \beta,$$

the desired result follows immediately from (30), (31) and Lemma 2.  $\square$

**Corollary 4.** *The class  $R^m(\lambda, \mu, \alpha, \beta)$  is invariant under Bernardi integral operator (see [3]) defined by*

$$F_c(f)(z) = \frac{1+c}{z^c} \int_0^z t^{c-1} f(t) dt, \quad \Re c > 0$$

that is, if  $f \in R^m(\lambda, \mu, \alpha, \beta)$ , then  $F_c(f) \in R^m(\lambda, \mu, \alpha, \beta)$ .

*Proof.* Assume  $f \in R^m(\lambda, \mu, \alpha, \beta)$ . It is easy to check that  $F_c(f)(z) = (f * g)(z)$ , where

$$g(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1+c}{n+c} z^n.$$

Since the function  $g$  is convex (see [2]), by applying Theorem 8, the result follows.  $\square$

## References

- [1] Al-Oboudi, F. M., *On univalent functions defined by a generalized Sălăgean operator*, Internat. J. Math. Math. Sci., **27** (2004), 1429-1436.
- [2] Barnard, R. W., Kellogg, C., *Applications of convolution operators to problems in univalent function theory*, Michigan Math. J., **27** (1980), no. 1, 81-94.
- [3] Bernardi, S. D., *Convex and starlike functions*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **135** (1969), 429-446.
- [4] Chichra, P. N., *New subclasses of the class of close-to-convex functions*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **62** (1977), no. 1, 37-43.

- [5] Fejér, L., *Untersuchungen über Potenzreihen mit mehrfach monotoner Koeffizientenfolge*, Acta Lit. Sci., **8** (1936), 89-115.
- [6] Goodman, A. W., *Univalent functions*, vol. I, Mariner Publishing Company, Inc., 1983.
- [7] Keogh, F. R., Merkes, E. P., *A coefficient inequality for certain classes of analytic functions*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **20** (1969), 8-12.
- [8] Răducanu, D., Orhan, H., *Subclasses of analytic functions defined by a generalized differential operator*, Int. Journ. Math. Anal., **4** (2010), no. 1-4, 1-16.
- [9] Ruscheweyh, St., *Convolutions in geometric function theory*, Les Presses de l'Univ. de Montreal, 1982.
- [10] Sălăgean, G. S., *Subclasses of univalent functions*, Complex Analysis 5th Romanian-Finnish Seminar, Part. I (Bucharest, 1981), Lect. Notes Math., **1013**, Springer-Verlag, (1983), 362-372.
- [11] Silverman, H., *A class of bounded starlike functions*, Internat. J. Math. Math. Sci., **17** (1994), no. 2, 249-252.
- [12] Singh, R., Singh, S., *Convolution properties of a class of starlike functions*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **106** (1989), no. 1, 145-152.

