

## $\mathbb{R}$ - COMPLEX CARTAN SPACES

Gabriela CÂMPEAN<sup>1</sup>

### Abstract

In this paper, we investigate the  $\mathbb{R}$ - complex Cartan spaces highlighting some classes of  $\mathbb{R}$ - complex Hermitian Cartan spaces. In this study we use Chern-Cartan and canonical connections. Some classes of  $\mathbb{R}$ - complex Hermitian Cartan spaces are introduced (weakly Kähler-Cartan, Kähler-Cartan, strongly Kähler-Cartan). The conditions for a  $\mathbb{R}$ - complex Hermitian Cartan space to be weakly Berwald-Cartan or Berwald-Cartan are obtained. In the last section we emphasize the necessary and sufficient conditions under which an  $\mathbb{R}$ - complex Hermitian Cartan space with a Randers metric is Berwald-Cartan. We come with some explicit examples to illustrate the interest for this classes of spaces.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: 53B35, 53B40, 53C60.

*Key words and phrases*:  $\mathbb{R}$ - complex Hermitian Cartan space,  $\mathbb{R}$ - complex Berwald-Cartan space, Randers metrics.

## 1 Introduction

Hamilton real space, and in particular Cartan spaces, were a frequent subject of study in recent years [7],[8]. The study of these classes of spaces was initiated by R. Miron in [17], which offered a geometric approach to the concepts used in mechanical and physical. His study was inspired by the well-known duality between the Lagrangian mechanics and Hamiltonian by Legendre transformation. This link between Lagrangian and Hamiltonian given by Legendre transformation had a great influence on the study of this type of geometry and brought a multitude of applications.

Many of these results from real Hamilton geometry were then translated into complex Hamilton geometry and after that into real and Finsler geometry [19].

This section arises from the need to extend recent results from  $\mathbb{R}$ - complex Finsler spaces geometry [3],[18],[19].

---

<sup>1</sup>Faculty of Mathematics and Informatics, *Transilvania* University of Braşov, Iuliu Maniu 50, Braşov 500091, Romania, e-mail: cameang@yahoo.com

R- complex Cartan spaces study was initiated by M. Purcaru in [20]. In the first section we reproduce some of the results of [20], which then develop in the following sections, highlighting some classes of R- complex Hermitian Cartan spaces .

## 2 $\mathbb{R}$ -complex Cartan spaces: definition, properties

Let  $M$  be an  $n$ -dimensional complex manifold and  $(z^k)$  be local coordinates in a local chart. The complexified of the real tangent bundle  $T_C M$  splits into the sum of the holomorphic tangent bundle  $T' M$  and its conjugate  $T'' M$ . The bundle  $T' M$  has complex manifold structure and the coordinates in a local chart are  $u = (z^k, \eta^k)$ . These are changed by the rules  $z'^k = z^k(z)$ ;  $\eta'^k = \frac{\partial z^j}{\partial z'^k} \eta^j$ . The dual of  $T' M$  is denoted by  $T'^* M$ . On the manifold  $T'^* M$ , in a local chart, a point  $u^*$  is characterized by the coordinates  $u^* = (z^k, \zeta_k)$ ,  $k = \overline{1, n}$  and a change of these has the form

$$z'^k = z^k(z) ; \zeta'_k = \frac{\partial z^j}{\partial z'^k} \zeta_j, \text{rank} \left( \frac{\partial z^j}{\partial z'^k} \right) = n \quad (1)$$

**Definition 1.** [20] A  $\mathbb{R}$ -complex Cartan metric on  $M$  is a continuous function  $\mathcal{C} : T'^* M \setminus \{0\} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ , satisfying: i)  $H = \mathcal{C}^2$  is smooth on  $T'^* M$  except for the 0 section ;

ii)  $\mathcal{C}(z, \zeta) \geq 0$ , the equality holds if and only if  $\zeta = 0$ ;

iii)  $\mathcal{C}(z, \lambda \zeta, \bar{z}, \lambda \bar{\zeta}) = \lambda^2 \mathcal{C}(z, \zeta, \bar{z}, \bar{\zeta})$ ,  $\forall \lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ .

Let us set the following metric tensors:

$$h^{ij} := \frac{\partial^2 H}{\partial \zeta_i \partial \zeta_j} ; h^{\bar{j}i} := \frac{\partial^2 H}{\partial \zeta_i \partial \bar{\zeta}_j} ; h^{\bar{i}j} := \frac{\partial^2 H}{\partial \bar{\zeta}_i \partial \bar{\zeta}_j}. \quad (2)$$

**Proposition 1.** [20] The  $\mathbb{R}$ -complex Cartan metric satisfies the conditions:

i)  $\frac{\partial H}{\partial \zeta_j} \zeta_j + \frac{\partial H}{\partial \bar{\zeta}_j} \bar{\zeta}_j = 2H$ ; ii)  $h^{ij} \zeta_j + h^{\bar{j}i} \bar{\zeta}_j = \frac{\partial H}{\partial \zeta_i}$ ;

iii)  $2H = h^{ij} \zeta_i \zeta_j + 2h^{\bar{j}i} \zeta_i \bar{\zeta}_j + h^{\bar{i}j} \bar{\zeta}_i \bar{\zeta}_j$ ;

iv)  $\frac{\partial h^{ik}}{\partial \bar{\zeta}_j} \zeta_j + \frac{h^{ik}}{\partial \bar{\zeta}_j} \bar{\zeta}_j = 0$ ;  $\frac{\partial h^{\bar{k}i}}{\partial \zeta_j} \zeta_j + \frac{h^{\bar{k}i}}{\partial \zeta_j} \bar{\zeta}_j = 0$ .

An immediat consequence of the homogeneity condition leads us to the following Cartan type complex tensors:

$$C^{ijk} = -\frac{\partial h^{ij}}{\partial \zeta_k} ; C^{ij\bar{k}} = -\frac{\partial h^{ij}}{\partial \bar{\zeta}_k} ; C^{\bar{i}j\bar{k}} = -\frac{\partial h^{\bar{j}i}}{\partial \bar{\zeta}_k}, \quad (3)$$

and their conjugates. We denote with 0 and  $\bar{0}$  the contracting of the Cartan tensors with  $\zeta_k$  or  $\bar{\zeta}_k$ , respectively.

The Cartan complex tensors are symmetric in the indices of the same type:

$$\overline{C^{ijk}} = C^{\bar{i}\bar{j}\bar{k}} = C^{k\bar{i}\bar{j}}; \quad \overline{C^{i\bar{j}\bar{k}}} = C^{\bar{i}jk} = C^{jk\bar{i}}, \quad (4)$$

$$C^{ij0} + C^{i\bar{j}\bar{0}} = 0; \quad C^{\bar{j}i0} + C^{\bar{j}\bar{i}\bar{0}} = 0; \quad C^{0ij} + C^{\bar{0}\bar{i}\bar{j}} = 0. \quad (5)$$

In complex Cartan geometry a strongly pseudoconvex requirement is assumed, that is the metric tensor  $h^{\bar{j}i}$  which defines a positive-definite quadratic form, and then the  $(h^{\bar{j}i})$  matrix is invertible.

We remark that by restricting the homogeneity of Cartan function in the definition of the complex Cartan space, the associated Hamiltonian  $H$  acquires a more general form than in the complex Cartan geometry([19]).

Firstly, we notice that if there is a set of local charts where  $h^{ij} = 0$ , then we have  $C^{ijk} = C^{i\bar{j}\bar{k}} = C^{\bar{k}ij} = 0$ , and  $h^{\bar{j}i}$  depends only on position  $z$ , or in [19] terminology is a purely Hermitian Cartan space. We have a very important question: which of the two metric tensors  $h^{ji}$  or  $h^{\bar{j}i}$  must be invertible? Although in Hermitian geometry the compulsory request is that  $h^{\bar{j}i}$  has to be invertible, in terms of physical notions it seems more important that  $h^{ij}$  has to be invertible.

These considerations lead us to a new class of spaces:

**Definition 2.** [20] An  $\mathbb{R}$ - complex Hermitian Cartan space is the pair  $(M, \mathcal{C})$ , where  $H$  is an  $\mathbb{R}$ -complex Cartan metric , satisfying:

$$h^{\bar{j}i} = \frac{\partial^2 H}{\partial \zeta_i \partial \bar{\zeta}_j}$$

is nondegenerated, i.e.  $\det(h^{\bar{j}i}) \neq 0$  in any point of  $T^*M \setminus \{0\}$ , and determines a Hermitian metric structure .

The most important problem in the study of  $T^*M$  is that of the existence of a complex nonlinear connection, depending only on the Hamiltonian  $H$ .

In a complex Cartan space a special derivative law is usually considered, namely the Chern-Cartan connection [19]. Similar reasons lead us to a  $\mathbb{R}$ - complex Hermitian Cartan spaces.

**Theorem 1.** [20] A complex nonlinear connection for the  $\mathbb{R}$ -complex Hermitian Cartan space  $(M, \mathcal{C})$ , called the Chern-Cartan (c.n.c.), is given by:

$${}^{CC}N_{ji} = -h_{j\bar{k}} \left( \frac{\partial h^{\bar{k}\bar{m}}}{\partial z^i} \partial \bar{\zeta}_m + \frac{\partial h^{\bar{k}l}}{\partial z^i} \partial \zeta_l \right). \quad (6)$$

**Remark 1.** Moreover, the functions  $N_{ij} = \frac{1}{2} \left( {}^{CC}N_{ji} + {}^{CC}N_{ij} \right)$  and

$$N_{ij}^c = \frac{{}^{CC}N_{ji}}{\partial \zeta_m} \zeta_m = - \left[ \frac{\partial h_{j\bar{l}}}{\partial \zeta_m} \left( \frac{\partial h^{\bar{k}\bar{r}}}{\partial z^i} \partial \bar{\zeta}_r + \frac{\partial h^{\bar{k}l}}{\partial z^i} \partial \zeta_l \right) + h_{j\bar{l}} \frac{\partial h^{\bar{l}m}}{\partial z^i} \right] \zeta_m \quad (7)$$

both determine (c.n.c.) on  $T^*M$ .

Now, having this (c.n.c.), our aim is to obtain an  $N$ –(c.l.c.), of Chern-Cartan type. Let us consider the metric structure acting on  $T_C(T'^*M)$  :

$$\mathbf{H} = h_{i\bar{j}} dz^i \otimes d\bar{z}^j + h^{\bar{j}i} \delta\zeta_i \otimes \delta\bar{\zeta}_j.$$

called the  $\overset{CC}{N}$ –lift of the Hermitian metric  $h_{i\bar{j}}$

A  $N$ –(c.l.c.)  $D$  is said to be metrical if  $D_X H = 0$ , that is

$$(D_X H)(Y, Z) = X(H(Y, Z)) - \mathbf{H}(D_X Y, Z) - \mathbf{H}(Y, D_X Z) = 0,$$

for any  $X, Y, Z \in \Gamma T_C(T'^*M)$ . Then, in the adapted frame of Chern-Cartan (c.n.c.),  $\{\delta_i, \dot{\partial}^i, \delta_{\bar{i}}, \dot{\partial}^{\bar{i}}\}$  we have :

**Theorem 2.** [20] *In an  $\mathbb{R}$ –complex Hermitian Cartan space, an  $\overset{CC}{N}$ –complex linear connexion, which is metrical of  $(1, 0)$ –type is given by*

$$\overset{CC}{H}_{jk}^i = h^{\bar{m}i} \frac{\delta h_{j\bar{m}}}{\delta z^k}; \overset{CC}{V}_j^{ik} = h^{\bar{m}i} \frac{\partial h_{j\bar{m}}}{\partial \zeta_k}; \overset{CC}{H}_{\bar{j}k}^{\bar{i}} = \overset{CC}{V}_j^{\bar{i}k} = 0. \quad (8)$$

This  $\overset{CC}{N}$ –(c.l.c.), will be called Chern-Cartan connection of an  $\mathbb{R}$ –complex Hermitian Cartan space. We have relations:

$$\overset{CC}{H}_{jk}^i = \dot{\partial}^i \overset{CC}{N}_{ji} \overset{CC}{H}_{jk}^i \zeta_i = \overset{CC}{N}_{ji}. \quad (9)$$

and the following nonzero torsions:

$${}^*hT(\delta_k, \delta_j) = \left( \overset{CC}{H}_{jk}^i - \overset{CC}{H}_{kj}^i \right) \delta_i; {}^*vT(\delta_{\bar{k}}, \delta_j) = -\delta_{\bar{k}} \left( \overset{CC}{N}_{ij} \right) \dot{\partial}^i \quad (10)$$

$${}^*hT(\dot{\partial}^k, \delta_j) = \overset{CC}{V}_j^{ik} \delta_i; {}^*vT(\dot{\partial}^{\bar{k}}, \delta_j) = -\dot{\partial}^{\bar{k}} \left( \overset{CC}{N}_{ij} \right) \dot{\partial}^i$$

Using the correspondence between the various geometrical objects on an  $\mathbb{R}$ –complex Finsler space and those of an  $\mathbb{R}$ –complex Cartan space, via complex Legendre transformation, it is proved:

**Proposition 2.** *The  $\mathcal{L}$ –dual of the complex spray of Chern-Finsler (c.n.c.) is given by*

$$\frac{\partial \zeta_i}{dt} - \overset{CC}{N}_{ji} \frac{d^* \bar{z}^j}{dt} = 0,$$

and it is called the complex spray of the  $\mathcal{L}$ –dual of  $\mathbb{R}$ –complex Hermitian

### 3 Classes of $\mathbb{R}$ -complex Hermitian Cartan spaces

We begin with the study of connections of an  $\mathbb{R}$ -complex Cartan space and the first one we consider, is an  $\mathbb{R}$ -complex Cartan space (*c.n.c.*) with the following coefficients:

$${}^{CC}N_{ji} = -h_{j\bar{k}} \left( \frac{\partial h^{\bar{k}m}}{\partial z^i} \partial \bar{\zeta}_m + \frac{\partial h^{\bar{k}l}}{\partial z^i} \partial \zeta_l \right) \quad (11)$$

Therefore, because  $H$  is  $\mathbb{R}$ -homogenous of degree 2 in the fibre variables and denoting by  $H_i$  the complex spray coefficients, we have:

$$(\dot{\partial}^j H_i) \zeta_j + (\dot{\partial}^{\bar{j}} H_i) \bar{\zeta}_j = 2H_i, \quad (12)$$

and this shows us that  $H_i$  are  $\mathbb{R}$ -homogenous of degree 2 with respect to  $\zeta$ . After that, we obtain also:

$$(\dot{\partial}^j {}^{CC}N_{li}) \zeta_j + (\dot{\partial}^{\bar{j}} {}^{CC}N_{li}) \bar{\zeta}_j = {}^{CC}N_{li} \quad (13)$$

this proves that  ${}^{CC}N_{li}$  are  $\mathbb{R}$ -homogenous of degree 1 with respect to  $\zeta$ .

Based on this relation and taking into account  $H_{jk}^i = \dot{\partial}^i {}^{CC}N_{ji}$  we obtain:

$$(\dot{\partial}^j H_{lk}^i) \zeta_j + (\dot{\partial}^{\bar{j}} H_{lk}^i) \bar{\zeta}_j = 0 \quad (14)$$

i.e.  $H_{lk}^i$  are  $\mathbb{R}$ -homogenous of degree 0 with respect to  $\zeta$ .

The second important nonlinear connection is the canonical one (*c.n.c.*). The local coefficients of this connection on  $(M, \mathbb{C})$  are given by relation  $\overset{c}{N}_{ji} = (\dot{\partial}^k N_{ji}) \zeta_k$  and they are  $\mathbb{R}$ -homogenous of degree 1. We associate to Chern-Cartan (*c.n.c.*) another connection of Berwald-type:

$$B\Gamma := \left( N_{ji}, B_{jk}^i := \dot{\partial}^k N_{jk}, B_{j\bar{k}}^i := \dot{\partial}^{\bar{k}} \overset{c}{N}_{ji}, 0, 0 \right) \quad (15)$$

$B\Gamma$  neither  $h^*$ - nor  $v^*$ - metrical, but we have the following properties:

$$B_{jk}^i \zeta_i = \overset{c}{N}_{jk} + (\dot{\partial}^{\bar{r}} \overset{c}{N}_{jk}) \bar{\zeta}_r, \quad B_{jk}^i = B_{kj}^i \quad (16)$$

We set the connection form

$$\omega_j^i(z, \zeta) = H_{jk}^i dz^k + V_j^{ik} \delta \zeta_k \quad (17)$$

which satisfies the following structure equations

$$d(dz^i) - dz^k \wedge \omega_k^i = \theta^i; \quad d(\delta \zeta_k) + \delta \zeta_k \wedge \omega_k^i = \tau^i, \quad (18)$$

and their complex conjugates, where  $d$  is exterior differential with respect to the Chern-Cartan (*c.n.c.*). We have

$d(\delta\zeta_k) = -dN_{kj} \wedge dz^k = -(\delta_{\bar{h}}N_{kj})dz^h \wedge dz^j - H_{kj}^s \delta\zeta_s \wedge dz^j - (\dot{\partial}^{\bar{h}}N_{kj})\delta\bar{\zeta}_h \wedge dz^j$   
 And next we determine torsion forms :

$$\theta^i = -\frac{1}{2}T_{jk}^{*i}dz^j \wedge dz^k - V_j^{ik}dz^j \wedge \delta\zeta_k ; \quad (19)$$

$$\tau^i = -(\delta_{\bar{h}}N_{ik})dz^h \wedge dz^k - (\dot{\partial}^{\bar{h}}N_{kj})\delta\bar{\zeta}_h \wedge dz^j,$$

where  $T_{jk}^{*i} = H_{jk}^i - H_{kj}^i$ , and the mixed part of the torsion form  $\theta^i$  vanishes in the purely Hermitian case (i.e.  $V_j^{ik} = 0$ ). Also from  $\theta^i = 0$  we obtain  $\frac{\partial h^{j\bar{m}}}{\partial z^i} = \frac{\partial h^{i\bar{m}}}{\partial z^j}$ . We check that:  $N_{ik}^c = N_{ik} - \frac{1}{2}[T_{jk}^{*i}\zeta_l + (\dot{\partial}^{\bar{r}}N_{ik})\bar{\zeta}_r]$ , and its differentiation with respect to  $\zeta$  leads us to:

$$B_{jk}^i = H_{jk}^i - \frac{1}{2}[\dot{\partial}^l(T_{jk}^{*i}\zeta_l) + (\dot{\partial}^{\bar{r}}H_{jk}^i)\bar{\zeta}_r] \quad (20)$$

In  $\mathbb{R}$ -complex Finsler space geometry we have three kinds of Kahler properties. According to this we introduce similar notions on  $\mathbb{R}$ -complex Hermitian Cartan space.

**Definition 3.** Let  $(M, \mathbb{C})$  be an  $\mathbb{R}$ -complex Hermitian Cartan space.  $(M, \mathbb{C})$  is calling

- i) strongly Kähler-Cartan if  $T_{jk}^{*i} = 0$ ;
- ii) Kähler-Cartan if  $T_{jk}^{*i}\zeta_j = 0$ ;
- iii) weakly Kähler-Cartan if  $h^{i\bar{m}}T_{jk}^{*i}\zeta_j\bar{\zeta}_j = 0$ .

In complex Finsler geometry Kähler and Kähler notions coincide, here, similar to  $\mathbb{R}$ -complex Finsler space case, this does not happen.

If  $H$  is Kähler it results that  $B_{jk}^i = H_{jk}^i - \frac{1}{2}(\dot{\partial}^{\bar{r}}H_{jk}^i)\bar{\zeta}_r$  and taking into account  $H_{jk}^i$  is  $\mathbb{R}$ -homogeneous of degree 0 with respect to  $\zeta$  we have  $B_{jk}^i = H_{jk}^i$ . But  $B_{jk}^i = B_{kj}^i$ , and from this we obtain  $H_{jk}^i = H_{kj}^i$ . Therefore, we deduced:

**Theorem 3.** Let  $(M, \mathbb{C})$  be an  $\mathbb{R}$ -complex Hermitian Cartan space. Then,  $\mathbb{C}$  is Kähler-Cartan and the coefficients  $H_{jk}^i$  are  $(0, 0)$ -homogeneous with respect to  $\zeta$  if and only if  $\mathbb{C}$  is strongly Kähler-Cartan and  $B_{jk}^i = H_{jk}^i$ .

If Chern-Cartan (c.n.c.) comes from a complex spray we have  $N_{ik} = N_{ik}^c$  and taking into account  $N_{ik}^c = N_{ik} - \frac{1}{2}[T_{jk}^{*i}\zeta_l + (\dot{\partial}^{\bar{r}}N_{ik})\bar{\zeta}_r]$  it results  $T_{jk}^{*i}\zeta_l + (\dot{\partial}^{\bar{r}}N_{ik})\bar{\zeta}_r = 0$ . By contracting with  $\zeta_k$  and differentiating, after a few computations we obtain the following outcome:

**Theorem 4.** Let  $(M, \mathbb{C})$  be an  $\mathbb{R}$ -complex Hermitian Cartan space. Then, Chern-Cartan (c.n.c.) comes from a complex spray if and only if its local coefficients are  $(1, 0)$ -homogeneous with respect to  $\zeta$  and  $\mathbb{C}$  is Kähler-Cartan. Moreover, in this case  $\mathbb{C}$  is strongly Kähler-Cartan and  $N_{ik} = N_{ik}^c$ .

In addition, we can go further and

$$\overset{c}{\delta}_k = \delta_k - (N_{ik}^c - N_{ik})\dot{\partial}^i \quad (21)$$

We notice that if  $\overset{c}{\delta}_k = \delta_k$  then we have  $N_{ik}^c = N_{ik}$ , and from the above theorem, we obtain that Chern- Cartan(*c.n.c.*) comes from a complex spray.

Now, we consider the relation  $N_{ik}^c = N_{ik} - \frac{1}{2}[T_{jk}^{*i}\zeta_l + (\dot{\partial}^{\bar{r}} N_{ik})\bar{\zeta}_r]$  and contract it with  $h^{i\bar{m}}\bar{\zeta}_m$ .

$$N_{ik}^c h^{i\bar{m}}\bar{\zeta}_m = N_{ik} h^{i\bar{m}}\bar{\zeta}_m - \frac{1}{2}[T_{jk}^{*i}\zeta_l + (\dot{\partial}^{\bar{r}} N_{ik})\bar{\zeta}_r] h^{i\bar{m}}\bar{\zeta}_m, \text{ i.e. } (N_{ik}^c - N_{ik})h^{i\bar{m}}\bar{\zeta}_m = -\frac{1}{2}h^{i\bar{m}}\bar{\zeta}_m[T_{jk}^{*i}\zeta_l + (\dot{\partial}^{\bar{r}} N_{ik})\bar{\zeta}_r]$$

But  $\overset{c}{\delta}_k(\dot{\partial}^{\bar{r}} H)\bar{\zeta}_r = \delta_k(\dot{\partial}^{\bar{r}} H)\bar{\zeta}_r - (N_{ik}^c - N_{ik})\dot{\partial}^i(\dot{\partial}^{\bar{r}} H)\bar{\zeta}_r$  and we obtain  $\overset{c}{\delta}_k(\dot{\partial}^{\bar{r}} H)\bar{\zeta}_r = -(N_{ik}^c - N_{ik})h^{i\bar{r}}\bar{\zeta}_r$  and after that  $2\overset{c}{\delta}_k(\dot{\partial}^{\bar{r}} H)\bar{\zeta}_r - h^{i\bar{m}}\bar{\zeta}_m(\dot{\partial}^{\bar{r}} N_{ik})\bar{\zeta}_r = 0$ . And so, we have following Theorem:

**Theorem 5.** *Let  $(M, \mathbb{C})$  be an  $\mathbb{R}$ -complex Hermitian Cartan space. Then,  $\mathbb{C}$  is weakly Kähler- Cartan if and only if*

$$[2\overset{c}{\delta}_k(\dot{\partial}^{\bar{r}} H) - h^{i\bar{m}}\bar{\zeta}_m(\dot{\partial}^{\bar{r}} N_{ik})]\bar{\zeta}_r = 0 \quad (22)$$

Our next purpose is to introduce the Berwald-Cartan notions and to obtain some relations between Berwald-Cartan and Kähler- Cartan spaces.

**Definition 4.** *Let  $(M, \mathbb{C})$  be a  $\mathbb{R}$ -complex Hermitian Cartan space .*

*i)  $(M, \mathbb{C})$  is weakly Berwald-Cartan if the local coefficients  $B_{jk}^i$  depend only on position  $z$*

*ii)  $(M, \mathbb{C})$  is Berwald-Cartan if the local coefficients  $H_{jk}^i$  depend only on position  $z$ .*

Whereas  $B_{jk}^i = H_{jk}^i - \frac{1}{2}[\dot{\partial}^l(T_{jk}^{*i}\zeta_l) + (\dot{\partial}^{\bar{r}} H_{jk}^i)\bar{\zeta}_r]$  we can conclude that any  $\mathbb{R}$ -complex Hermitian Cartan space which is Berwald-Cartan is weakly Berwald-Cartan. But the converse is not true. Because  $(M, \mathbb{C})$  is Berwald-Cartan we have

$$B_{jk}^i(z) = \frac{1}{2}[H_{jk}^i(z) + H_{kj}^i(z)] \quad (23)$$

**Theorem 6.** *Let  $(M, \mathbb{C})$  be an  $\mathbb{R}$ -complex Hermitian Cartan space. Then,  $(M, \mathbb{C})$  is a weakly Berwald-Cartan space if and only if  $B_{j\bar{k}}^i$  depend only on the position  $z$ . Furthermore, in this case*

$$N_{ik}^c = B_{jk}^i(z)\zeta_j + B_{k\bar{h}}^i(z)\bar{\zeta}_h \quad (24)$$

*Proof.* Assuming that  $B_{jk}^i = B_{jk}^i(z)$  we have  $\dot{\partial}^{\bar{r}} B_{jk}^i = 0$ , and on the other hand  $\dot{\partial}^{\bar{r}} B_{jk}^i = \dot{\partial}^{\bar{r}}(\dot{\partial}^k N_{ij}) = \dot{\partial}^k(\dot{\partial}^{\bar{r}} N_{ij}) = \dot{\partial}^k B_{j\bar{r}}^i$ , so  $\dot{\partial}^k B_{j\bar{r}}^i = 0$ . After the complex conjugation we obtain  $\dot{\partial}^{\bar{k}} B_{j\bar{r}}^i = 0$ , which means that  $B_{j\bar{r}}^i$  are holomorphic and

due to the strong maximum principle  $B_{j\bar{r}}^i$  depend only on position  $z$ , and from here  $B_{j\bar{r}}^i$  depend only on  $z$ . Conversely, from  $B_{k\bar{h}}^i = B_{k\bar{h}}^i(z)$  it results  $\dot{\partial}^l B_{j\bar{k}}^i = 0$ ,  $\dot{\partial}^l B_{j\bar{k}}^i = \dot{\partial}^l(\dot{\partial}^{\bar{k}} N_{ij}) = \dot{\partial}^{\bar{k}}(\dot{\partial}^l N_{ij}) = \dot{\partial}^{\bar{k}} B_{lj}^i$ , so  $B_{lj}^i$  are holomorphic and  $B_{jk}^i = B_{jk}^i(z)$ .  $\square$

We also can prove that:

**Theorem 7.** *Let  $(M, \mathcal{C})$  be an  $\mathbb{R}$ -complex Hermitian Cartan space. Then,  $(M, \mathcal{C})$  is a Berwald-Cartan space if and only if  $\dot{\partial}^{\bar{r}} N_{ik}^i$  depend only on position  $z$ . Moreover, in this case,*

$$N_{ik} = H_{jk}^i(z)\zeta_j + (\dot{\partial}^{\bar{h}} N_{ij})(z)\bar{\zeta}_h \quad (25)$$

and

$$\dot{\partial}^{\bar{k}} N_{ij}^c = \frac{1}{2} \dot{\partial}^{\bar{k}} N_{ij}$$

We call strongly Berwald-Cartan space, an  $\mathbb{R}$ -complex Hermitian Cartan space which is at the same time Berwald-Cartan and Kähler-Cartan.

Returning to  $\mathcal{L}$ -dual process and the correspondents between diferent geometric notions which are generated we can get some conclusions.

First and foremost, we obtain:

**Theorem 8.** *Let  $(M, F)$  be an  $\mathbb{R}$ -complex Hermitian Finsler space. If  $(M, F)$  is Kähler then its  $\mathcal{L}$ -dual  $(M, \mathcal{C})$  is Kähler-Cartan.*

We move forward and assume that  $(M, F)$  is an  $\mathbb{R}$ -Berwald space. It means that  $L_{jk}^i = L_{jk}^i(z)$  and from here it results that  $\dot{\partial}_h L_{jk}^i = \dot{\partial}_h L_{jk}^i(z) = 0$ . Taking into account  $\mathcal{L}$ -dual process we obtain  $\dot{\partial}^{\bar{h}} H_{jk}^i = 0$ . Therefore the  $H_{jk}^i$  functions are holomorphic with respect to  $\zeta$ .

But we know that  $H_{jk}^i$  are  $\mathbb{R}$ -homogeneous of degree 0 with respect to  $\zeta$ , and due to the strong maximum principle we have  $H_{jk}^i = H_{jk}^i(z)$ .

Moreover if we assume that  $(M, F)$  is an  $\mathbb{R}$ -complex Kähler space it results:

**Theorem 9.** *Let  $(M, F)$  be an  $\mathbb{R}$ -complex Hermitian Finsler space. If  $(M, F)$  is strongly Berwald then its  $\mathcal{L}$ -dual  $(M, \mathcal{C})$  is strongly Berwald-Cartan.*

First example of  $\mathbb{R}$ -complex Hermitian Cartan spaces which are Berwald is given by the class of purely Hermitian spaces. So, considering a purely Hermitian metric, (i.e.  $h^{i\bar{j}} = h^{i\bar{j}}(z)$  and  $h^{\bar{r}\bar{m}}(z)$ ) with  $h^{i\bar{j}}$  invertible), we have

$$N_{ik} = -h_{\bar{m}i} \left( \frac{\partial h^{\bar{r}\bar{m}}}{\partial z^k} \bar{\zeta}_r + \frac{\partial h^{s\bar{m}}}{\partial z^k} \zeta_s \right), \quad (26)$$

and from here it results  $H_{sk}^i = h_{\bar{m}i} \frac{\partial h^{s\bar{m}}}{\partial z^k}$  depend only on  $z$ . Thus, all purely Hermitian spaces, with  $h^{i\bar{j}}$  invertible, are Berwald-Cartan.

The second example of Berwald-Cartan space is given by function:

$$H(z, w, \zeta, v) = e^{2\sigma} \sqrt{(\zeta + \bar{\zeta})^4 + (v + \bar{v})^4}, \text{ with } \zeta, v \neq 0 \quad (27)$$

on  $\mathbb{C}^2$ , where  $\sigma(z, w)$  is a real valued function. We relabeled the usual local coordinates  $z^1, z^2, \zeta_1, \zeta_2$  as  $z, w, \zeta, v$  respectively. Now, we can compute the coefficients:

$$H_1 = \left( \frac{\partial \sigma}{\partial z} \zeta + \frac{\partial \sigma}{\partial w} v \right) (\zeta + \bar{\zeta}); \quad H_2 = \left( \frac{\partial \sigma}{\partial z} \zeta + \frac{\partial \sigma}{\partial w} v \right) (v + \bar{v}) \quad (28)$$

From

$$N_{1i} = -2 (\zeta + \bar{\zeta}) \frac{\partial \sigma}{\partial z^i}; \quad N_{2i} = -2 (v + \bar{v}) \frac{\partial \sigma}{\partial z^i}, \quad i = 1, 2, \quad (29)$$

we find the horizontal coefficients of Chern-Cartan connection:

$$H_{1i}^1 = H_{2i}^2 = -2 \frac{\partial \sigma}{\partial z^i}; \quad H_{2i}^1 = H_{1i}^2 = 0, \quad i = 1, 2, \quad (30)$$

which depend only on  $z^i, i = 1, 2$ .

## 4 $\mathbb{R}$ -complex Hermitian Berwald spaces with Randers-Cartan metrics

We consider  $z \in M, \zeta \in T_z^* M, \zeta = \zeta_i \frac{\partial}{\partial z^i}$ . An  $\mathbb{R}$ -complex Cartan space  $(M, \mathcal{C})$  is called Randers-Cartan if

$$\mathcal{C} = \alpha + \beta, \quad (31)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha^2(z, \zeta, \bar{z}, \bar{\zeta}) &:= \text{Re}\{a^{ij} \zeta_i \zeta_j\} + a^{i\bar{j}} \zeta_i \bar{\zeta}_j; \\ \beta(z, \zeta, \bar{z}, \bar{\zeta}) &:= \text{Re}\{b^i \zeta_i\}. \end{aligned}$$

with  $a^{ij} = a^{ij}(z), a^{i\bar{j}} = a^{i\bar{j}}(z)$ , and  $b = b_i(z) dz^i$  is a  $(1, 0)$ - differential form. The Randers function produces two tensor fields  $h^{ij}$  and  $h^{i\bar{j}}$ . For the study of  $\mathbb{R}$ -complex Hermitian Cartan spaces with Randers metric, we assume that  $a^{ij} = 0$ . Then, only  $h^{i\bar{j}}$  tensor field is invertible and it has the following properties:

**Proposition 3.** *For an  $\mathbb{R}$ -complex Hermitian Randers-Cartan space, with  $a^{ij} = 0$ , we have*

- i)  $h^{i\bar{j}} = \frac{\mathcal{C}}{\alpha} a^{i\bar{j}} - \frac{\beta}{2\alpha^3} \zeta^i \bar{\zeta}^j + \frac{1}{2} b^i \bar{b}^j + \frac{1}{2\alpha} (b^{\bar{j}} \zeta^i + b^i \bar{\zeta}^{\bar{j}}) \xi_i$
- $h^{ij} = -\frac{\beta}{2\alpha^3} \zeta^i \zeta^j + \frac{1}{2} b^i b^j + \frac{1}{2\alpha} (b^j \zeta^i + b^i \zeta^j);$
- ii)  $h_{i\bar{j}} = \frac{\alpha}{\mathcal{C}} a_{\bar{j}i} + \frac{2\beta + \alpha\omega}{\mathcal{C}} \zeta_i \bar{\zeta}_j - \frac{\alpha^3}{T\mathcal{C}} b_i \bar{b}_j - \frac{\alpha}{T\mathcal{C}} \left[ (\bar{\varepsilon} + 2\alpha) \zeta^i \bar{b}_j + (\varepsilon + 2\alpha) b_i \bar{\zeta}^j \right];$
- iii)  $\det(h^{i\bar{j}}) = \left(\frac{\mathcal{C}}{\alpha}\right)^n \frac{T}{4\alpha\mathcal{C}} \det(a^{i\bar{j}}),$

where

$$\alpha^2 = a^{j\bar{k}}\zeta_i\bar{\zeta}_j; \quad \zeta^i = a^{i\bar{j}}\bar{\zeta}_j; \quad b_j = a_{j\bar{k}}b^{\bar{k}}; \quad b^l = b_{\bar{k}}a^{l\bar{k}}; \quad b^{\bar{k}} := \bar{b}^k; \quad (32)$$

$$\varepsilon := b^j\zeta_j; \quad \omega := b_jb^j = \bar{\omega}; \quad \varepsilon + \bar{\varepsilon} = 2\beta;$$

$$T := \alpha(4\mathcal{C} + 2\beta + \alpha\omega) + \varepsilon\bar{\varepsilon} > 0.$$

After a technical computation, we obtain:

$$N_{ij} = N_{ij}^a + \frac{2}{T}[(2\mathcal{C} - \varepsilon)\zeta_i + \alpha^2b^i](\delta_j\beta) + \mathcal{C}h_{\bar{r}i}\frac{\partial b^{\bar{r}}}{\partial z^j} \quad (33)$$

where  $N_{kj}^a := a_{\bar{m}i}\frac{\partial^2\alpha^2}{\partial z^k\partial\bar{\zeta}_m} = a_{\bar{m}i}\frac{\partial a^{s\bar{m}}}{\partial z^k}\zeta_s$  and  $2(\delta_j\beta) := \frac{\partial\beta}{\partial z^j} - N_{kj}^a(\dot{\partial}_k\beta) = \frac{\partial\bar{b}_r}{\partial z^j}\zeta^{\bar{r}} + \frac{\partial b^{\bar{r}}}{\partial z^j}\bar{\zeta}^r$ .

Proceeding as in the case of  $\mathbb{R}$ -complex Finsler spaces we can prove:

**Lemma 1.** *The functions  $b^i$  and  $b_i$  are holomorphic if and only if  $\delta_j\beta = 0$ .*

**Theorem 10.** *Let  $(M, \mathcal{C})$  be an  $\mathbb{R}$ -complex Hermitian Randers-Cartan space, with  $a_{ij} = 0$ . If  $\delta_j\beta = 0$ , then it is Berwald-Cartan space and  $N_{ij} = N_{ij}^a$ . Moreover, if  $\alpha$  is Kähler-Cartan, then  $\mathcal{C}$  is strongly Kähler-Cartan.*

If  $\delta_j\beta = 0$  it results that  $N_{ij} = N_{ij}^a + \mathcal{C}h_{\bar{r}i}\frac{\partial b^{\bar{r}}}{\partial z^j}$  and using the previous Lemma we have  $N_{ij} = N_{ij}^a$ . Since  $\alpha$  is a purely Hermitian metric, thus it is Berwald-Cartan, we obtain that  $\mathcal{C} = \alpha + \beta$  is also Berwald-Cartan and then  $H_{jk}^i(z) = H_{jk}^i(z)$ . Now, we assume that  $\alpha$  is Kähler-Cartan and we have  $T_{jk}^{*i} = H_{jk}^i - H_{kj}^i = 0$ , which show us that  $\mathcal{C}$  is strongly Kähler-Cartan.

An example is given by function:

$$\mathcal{C} = \sqrt{e^{z^1+\bar{z}^1}|\zeta_1|^2 + e^{z^2+\bar{z}^2}|\zeta_2|^2} + \frac{1}{2}(e^{z^2}\zeta^2 + e^{\bar{z}^2}\bar{\zeta}^2)$$

which is a Hermitian Randers-Cartan metric having

$$\det(h^{i\bar{j}}) = \left(\frac{\mathcal{C}}{\alpha}\right)^2 \frac{T}{4\alpha\mathcal{C}} \det(a^{i\bar{j}}) = \frac{\mathcal{C}T}{4\alpha^3} e^{z^1+\bar{z}^1+z^2+\bar{z}^2} > 0, \quad (i, j = 1, 2), \quad \text{and}$$

$$T = \alpha(5\mathcal{C} + \beta) + \varepsilon\bar{\varepsilon} > 0.$$

A direct computation gives

$$2(\delta_j\beta) = \frac{\partial\bar{b}_2}{\partial z^j}\zeta^{\bar{2}} + \frac{\partial b^{\bar{2}}}{\partial z^j}\bar{\zeta}_2 = 0, \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\partial b^{\bar{m}}}{\partial z^j} = 0, \quad (j, m = 1, 2).$$

Substituting these relations into coefficients formula, we have:  $N_{11} = N_{11}^a = -\zeta_1$ ;  $N_{12} = N_{12}^a = N_{21} = N_{21}^a = 0$ ;  $N_{22} = N_{22}^a = -\zeta_2$ . Therefore, our metric is Berwald-Cartan. Due to the coefficients form we deduce that it is Kähler-Cartan too, and together with the previous theorem this leads us to the strongly Kähler-Cartan property.

## References

- [1] Abate, N. and Patrizio, G., *Finsler metrics - a global approach*, Lecture Notes in Math., **1591**, Springer-Verlag, 1994.
- [2] Aikou, T., *Finsler geometry on complex vector bundles*, *Riemann–Finsler Geometry*, MSRI Publications, **50** (2004), 1-23.
- [3] Aldea, N., **G. Câmpean**, *On some classes of  $\mathbb{R}$  - complex Hermitian Finsler spaces*, J. Korean Math. Soc., **52** (2015), no. 3, 587–601.
- [4] Aldea, N. and Munteanu, G., *On complex Landsberg and Berwald spaces*, Journal of Geometry and Physics, **62** (2012), no. 2, 368-380.
- [5] Aldea, N. and Munteanu, G., *On complex Finsler spaces with Randers metrics*, Journal Korean Math. Soc., **46** (2009), no. 5, 949-966.
- [6] Aldea, N. and Purcaru, M.,  *$\mathbb{R}$  – complex Finsler spaces with  $(\alpha, \beta)$ -metric*, Novi Sad J. Math., **38**, (2008), no. 1, 1-9.
- [7] Anastasiei, M., *Geometry of Berwald-Cartan spaces*, BSG Proc. 11, Geometry Balkan Press, Bucharest, 1-9, 2004.
- [8] Berwald, L., *Über Finslersche und Cartansche Geometrie. IV.*, Ann. of Math, **48** (1947), 755-781.
- [9] Câmpean, G., *New results on two dimensional  $\mathbb{R}$  - complex Finsler spaces*, BSG Proceedings 21. The International Conference "Difererential Geometry - Dynamical Systems" DGDS-2013, October 10-13, Bucharest-Romania, 27-37, 2013.
- [10] Câmpean, G. and Purcaru, M.,  *$\mathbb{R}$  - complex Hermitian  $(\alpha, \beta)$  –metrics*, Bull. of the Transilvania Univ. of Brasov, **7(56)** (2014), no. 2, 15-29.
- [11] Chen, B. and Shen, Y., *On complex Randers metrics*, Int. J. Math. **21** **8** (2010), 971-986.
- [12] Y. Ichijyō, *Kaehlerian Finsler Manifolds*, J. Math. Tokushima Univ., **28** (1994), 19-27.
- [13] Kähler, E., *Über eine bemerkenswerte Hermitische Metrik*, Abh. Math. Sem. Univ. Hamburg, **9** (1933), 173-186.
- [14] Kobayashi, S., *Complex Finsler Vector Bundles*, Contemporary Math. (1996), 145-153.
- [15] Matsumoto, M., *Theory of Finsler spaces with  $(\alpha, \beta)$  – metric*, Rep. on Math. Phys., **31** (1991), 43-83.
- [16] Miron, R., *General Randers spaces*, Kluwer Acad. Publ., FTPH **76** (1996), 126-140.

- [17] Miron, R., *Sur la geometrie des espaces d'Hamilton*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris **306**, (1998), no. 4, 195-198.
- [18] Munteanu, G. and Purcaru, M., *On  $\mathbb{R}$  - complex Finsler spaces*, Balkan J. Geom. Appl., **14** (2009), no. 1, 52-59.
- [19] Munteanu, G., *Complex spaces in Finsler, Lagrange and Hamilton geometries*, Kluwer Acad. Publ., **141**, FTPH, 2004.
- [20] Purcaru, M., *On  $\mathbb{R}$  - complex Cartan spaces*, Lagrange and Hamilton spaces, University "Al. I. Cuza" Iași, September 3-8 (2007).
- [21] Shibata, C., Shimada, H., Azuma, M. and Yosuda, H., *On Finsler spaces with Randers metric*, Tensor, N. S. **31** (1977), 219-226.
- [22] Szabo, Z., *Positive definite Berwald spaces*, Tensor, N.S. **35** (1981), 25-39.