

## A FIXED POINT THEOREM IN $G$ - METRIC SPACES FOR MAPPINGS USING AUXILIARY FUNCTIONS

Alina-Mihaela PATRICIU<sup>\*,1</sup> and Valeriu POPA<sup>2</sup>

### Abstract

In this paper we introduce a new type of implicit relation and we prove a general fixed point theorem in  $G$  - metric spaces using two auxiliary functions, generalizing Theorem 3.3 [1].

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: 54H25, 47H10.

*Key words*: fixed point,  $G$  - metric space, auxiliary function, implicit relation.

## 1 Introduction

In [2], [3] Dhage introduced a new class of generalized metric space, named  $D$  - metric spaces. Mustafa and Sims [5], [6] proved that most of the claims concerning the fundamental topological structures on  $D$  - metric spaces are incorrect and introduced an appropriate notion of generalized metric space, named  $G$  - metric space.

In fact, Mustafa, Sims and other authors studied many fixed point results for self mappings in  $G$  - metric spaces.

Several classical fixed point theorems and common fixed point theorems have been unified considering a general condition by an implicit function [7], [8] and in other papers. The study of fixed points for mappings satisfying implicit relations in  $G$  - metric spaces is initiated in [9] - [11] and in other papers.

Recently, in [1], new fixed point results for mappings in  $G$  - metric spaces using a new type of auxiliary mappings are obtained.

M. S. Khan et al. [4] introduced the notion of altering distance. Some results using altering distance in metric spaces are obtained in [13], [14] and in other papers. Recently results in  $G$  - metric spaces are obtained in [12].

The purpose of this paper is to introduce a new type of implicit relation and to prove a general fixed point theorem using two auxiliary functions, generalizing Theorem 3.3 [1].

---

<sup>1\*</sup> *Corresponding author* Department of Mathematics and Computer Sciences, Faculty of Sciences and Environment, *Dunărea de Jos* University of Galaţi, Romania, e-mail: Alina.Patriciu@ugal.ro

<sup>2</sup>Faculty of Sciences, *Vasile Alecsandri* University of Bacău, Romania, e-mail: vpopa@ub.ro

## 2 Preliminaries

**Definition 1** ([6]). Let  $X$  be a nonempty set and  $G : X^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$  be a function satisfying the following conditions:

( $G_1$ ) :  $G(x, y, z) = 0$  for  $x = y = z$ ,

( $G_2$ ) :  $G(x, x, y) > 0$  for all  $x, y \in X$  with  $x \neq y$ ,

( $G_3$ ) :  $G(x, y, y) \leq G(x, y, z)$  for all  $x, y, z \in X$  with  $y \neq z$ ,

( $G_4$ ) :  $G(x, y, z) = G(y, z, x) = \dots$  (symmetry in all three variables),

( $G_5$ ) :  $G(x, y, z) \leq G(x, a, a) + G(a, y, z)$  for all  $x, y, z, a \in X$  (triangle inequality).

The function  $G$  is called a  $G$  - metric on  $X$  and  $(X, G)$  is called a  $G$  - metric space.

Note that if  $G(x, y, z) = 0$ , then  $x = y = z$ .

**Remark 1.** Let  $(X, G)$  be a  $G$  - metric space. If  $y = z$ , then  $G(x, y, y)$  is a quasi - metric on  $X$ . Hence,  $(X, Q)$ , where  $Q(x, y) = G(x, y, y)$ , is a quasi - metric space and since every metric space is a quasi - metric space it follows that the notion of  $G$  - metric space is a generalization of metric space.

**Definition 2** ([6]). Let  $(X, G)$  be a  $G$  - metric space. A sequence  $\{x_n\}$  in  $X$  is said to be:

a)  $G$  - convergent if for  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exist  $x \in X$  and  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  such that for all  $m, n \in \mathbb{N}, m, n \geq k$ ,  $G(x_n, x_m, x) < \varepsilon$ .

b)  $G$  - Cauchy if for  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  such that for all  $m, n, p \in \mathbb{N}, m, n, p \geq k$ ,  $G(x_n, x_m, x_p) < \varepsilon$ , that is  $G(x_n, x_m, x_p) \rightarrow 0$  as  $n, m, p \rightarrow \infty$ .

c) A  $G$  - metric space is said to be  $G$  - complete if every  $G$  - Cauchy sequence in  $X$  is  $G$  - convergent.

**Lemma 1** ([6]). Let  $(X, G)$  be a  $G$  - metric space. Then, the following conditions are equivalent:

1)  $\{x_n\}$  is  $G$  - convergent to  $x$ ;

2)  $G(x_n, x_n, x) \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ ;

3)  $G(x_n, x, x) \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ ;

4)  $G(x_n, x_m, x) \rightarrow 0$  as  $n, m \rightarrow \infty$ .

**Lemma 2** ([6]). Let  $(X, G)$  be a  $G$  - metric space. Then, the function  $G(x, y, z)$  is jointly continuous in all three of its variables.

**Definition 3** ([6]). A  $G$  - metric on a nonempty set  $X$  is said to be symmetric if  $G(x, y, y) = G(y, x, x)$  for all  $x, y \in X$ . Then,  $(X, G)$  is said to be symmetric  $G$  - metric space.

**Lemma 3** ([1]). Let  $(X, G)$  be a  $G$  - metric space and  $\{x_n\}$  be a sequence in  $X$  such that  $G(x_n, x_{n+1}, x_{n+1})$  is decreasing and  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} G(x_n, x_{n+1}, x_{n+1}) = 0$ . If  $\{x_{2n}\}$  is not a Cauchy sequence, then there exists  $\varepsilon > 0$  and two sequences  $\{m_k\}$  and  $\{n_k\}$  of positive integers such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} G(x_{2n_k+1}, x_{2m_k}, x_{2m_k}) = \varepsilon,$$

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} G(x_{2n_k}, x_{2m_k-1}, x_{2m_k-1}) &= \varepsilon, \\ \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} G(x_{2m_k-1}, x_{2n_k+1}, x_{2n_k+1}) &= \varepsilon, \\ \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} G(x_{2n_k}, x_{2m_k}, x_{2m_k}) &= \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

**Definition 4** ([4]). An altering distance is a function  $\psi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  satisfying:

- $(\psi_1) : \psi$  is increasing and continuous;
- $(\psi_2) : \psi(t) = 0$  if and only if  $t = 0$ .

The set of all altering distances is denoted by  $\Psi$ .

In the following we denote by  $\Phi$  the set of all continuous nondecreasing functions  $\varphi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ .

**Lemma 4** ([1]). If  $\varphi \in \Phi$  and  $\psi \in \Psi$  such that  $\psi(t) > \varphi(t)$  for  $t > 0$ , then  $\varphi(0) = 0$ .

The following theorem is proved in [1].

**Theorem 1** ([1]). Let  $(X, G)$  be a complete  $G$  - metric space and  $T : X \rightarrow X$  be a mapping. If there exist  $\varphi \in \Phi$  and  $\psi \in \Psi$  with condition  $\psi(t) > \varphi(t)$  for  $t > 0$  such that

$$\psi(G(Tx, Ty, Tz)) \leq \varphi \max \left( \left\{ \begin{array}{l} G(x, y, y), G(x, Tx, Tx), \\ G(y, Ty, Ty), G(z, Tz, Tz), \\ \alpha G(y, Tx, Tx) + (1 - \alpha) G(z, Ty, Ty), \\ \beta G(x, Tx, Tx) + (1 - \beta) G(y, Ty, Ty), \end{array} \right\} \right) \quad (1)$$

for all  $x, y, z \in X$ , where  $\alpha, \beta \in (0, 1)$ . Then  $T$  has a unique fixed point.

**Remark 2.** Since

$$\beta G(x, Tx, Tx) + (1 - \beta) G(y, Ty, Ty) \leq \max \{G(x, Tx, Tx), G(y, Ty, Ty)\},$$

then  $\beta G(x, Tx, Tx) + (1 - \beta) G(y, Ty, Ty)$  is redundant in the inequality (1).

### 3 $\psi - \phi$ - implicit relations

Let  $\mathfrak{F}_5$  be the set of all continuous functions  $F : \mathbb{R}_+^5 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that:

- $(F_1) : F$  is decreasing in variables  $t_2$  and  $t_4$ ,
- $(F_2) : \text{for all } u, v \geq 0, F(u, v, v, u, 0) \leq 0 \text{ implies } u \leq v,$
- $(F_3) : F(t, t, 0, 0, t') \leq 0 \text{ implies } t \leq t' \text{ for } t, t' > 0.$

**Remark 3.** 1) In the following examples  $\psi \in \Psi, \phi \in \Phi$  and  $\psi(t) > \phi(t), \forall t > 0$ .  
 2) Since  $\phi(t)$  is nondecreasing, then

$$\phi(\max \{t_1, t_2, t_3, t_4\}) = \max \{\phi(t_1), \phi(t_2), \phi(t_3), \phi(t_4)\}.$$

3) In the following examples, the proofs of property  $(F_1)$  is obviously.

**Example 1.**  $F(t_1, \dots, t_5) = \psi(t_1) - \phi(\max\{t_2, t_3, t_4, t_5\})$ .

( $F_2$ ) Let  $u, v \geq 0$  be and  $F(u, v, v, u, 0) = \psi(u) - \phi(\max\{u, v\}) \leq 0$ . If  $u > v$ , then  $\psi(u) - \phi(u) \leq 0$ . Hence,  $\psi(u) \leq \phi(u) < \psi(u)$ , a contradiction. Hence,  $u \leq v$ .

( $F_3$ ) Let  $t, t' > 0$  and  $F(t, t, 0, 0, t') = \psi(t) - \phi(\max\{t, t'\}) \leq 0$ . If  $t > t'$ , then  $\psi(t) - \phi(t') \leq 0$ , which implies  $\psi(t) \leq \phi(t) < \psi(t)$ , a contradiction. Hence,  $t \leq t'$ .

**Example 2.**  $F(t_1, \dots, t_5) = \psi(t_1) - \phi(\max\{t_2, t_3, t_4, \alpha t_5 + (1 - \alpha)t_4\})$ , where  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ .

$$\psi(t_1) - \phi(\max\{t_2, t_3, t_4, \alpha t_5 + (1 - \alpha)t_4\}) \leq 0$$

implies

$$\psi(t_1) \leq \phi(\max\{t_2, t_3, t_4, \max\{t_4, t_5\}\}) = \phi(\max\{t_2, t_3, t_4, t_5\}).$$

So, Example 2 is reduced to Example 1.

**Example 3.**  $F(t_1, \dots, t_5) = \psi(t_1) - \phi\left(\max\left\{t_2, \frac{t_3 + t_4}{2}, t_5\right\}\right)$ .

Since  $\frac{t_3 + t_4}{2} \leq \max\{t_3, t_4\}$ , Example 3 is reduced to Example 1.

**Example 4.**  $F(t_1, \dots, t_5) = \psi(t_1) - \phi(at_2 + bt_3 + ct_4 + dt_5)$ , where  $a, b, c, d \geq 0$  and  $a + b + c + d < 1$ .

Since  $\phi(at_2 + bt_3 + ct_4 + dt_5) \leq \phi((a + b + c + d)\max\{t_2, t_3, t_4, t_5\})$ , the study of Example 4 is reduced to the study of Example 1.

**Example 5.**  $F(t_1, \dots, t_5) = [\psi(t_1)]^2 - a\phi(t_2)\phi(t_3) - b\phi(t_3)\phi(t_4) - c\phi^2(t_5)$ , where  $a, b, c \geq 0$  and  $a + b + c < 1$ .

( $F_2$ ) Let  $u, v \geq 0$  be and  $F(u, v, v, u, 0) = [\psi(u)]^2 - a\phi(u)\phi(v) - b\phi(u)\phi(v) \leq 0$ . If  $u > v$ , then  $[\psi(u)]^2 - (a + b)[\phi(u)]^2 \leq 0$ , which implies  $[\psi(u)]^2 \leq (a + b)\phi^2(u) \leq \phi^2(u) < \psi^2(u)$ , a contradiction. Hence,  $u \leq v$ .

( $F_3$ ) Let  $t, t' > 0$  and  $F(t, t, 0, 0, t') = \psi^2(t) - c\phi^2(t') \leq 0$ . If  $t > t'$ , then  $\psi(t) \leq \sqrt{c}\phi(t') \leq \phi(t) < \psi(t)$ , a contradiction. Hence,  $t \leq t'$ .

**Example 6.**  $F(t_1, \dots, t_5) = \psi(t_1) - a\max\{\phi(t_2), \phi(t_3), \phi(t_4)\} - b\phi(t_5)$ , where  $a, b \geq 0$  and  $a + b < 1$ .

( $F_2$ ) Let  $u, v \geq 0$  be and  $F(u, v, v, u, 0) = \psi(u) - a\max\{\phi(u), \phi(v)\} \leq 0$ . If  $u > v$ , then  $\psi(u) - a\phi(v) \leq 0$ , which implies  $\psi(u) \leq a\phi(u) < \psi(u)$ , a contradiction. Hence,  $u \leq v$ .

( $F_3$ ) Let  $t, t' > 0$  and  $F(t, t, 0, 0, t') = \psi(t) - a\phi(t) - b\phi(t') \leq 0$ . If  $t > t'$ , then  $\psi(t) - (a + b)\phi(t) \leq 0$ , which implies  $\psi(t) \leq (a + b)\phi(t) \leq \phi(t) < \psi(t)$ , a contradiction. Hence,  $t \leq t'$ .

**Example 7.**  $F(t_1, \dots, t_5) = \psi(t_1) - a\phi(t_2) - b \max\{2\phi(t_3), \phi(t_4) + \phi(t_5)\}$ , where  $a, b \geq 0$  and  $a + 2b < 1$ .

( $F_2$ ) Let  $u, v \geq 0$  be and  $F(u, v, v, u, 0) = \psi(u) - a\phi(v) - b \max\{2\phi(v), \phi(u)\} \leq 0$ . If  $u > v$ , then  $\psi(u) \leq (a + 2b)\phi(u) \leq \phi(u) < \psi(u)$ , a contradiction. Hence,  $u \leq v$ .

( $F_3$ ) Let  $t, t' > 0$  and  $F(t, t, 0, 0, t') = \psi(t) - a\phi(t) - b\phi(t') \leq 0$ . If  $t > t'$ , then  $\psi(t) \leq (a + b)\phi(t) \leq \phi(t) < \psi(t)$ , a contradiction. Hence,  $t \leq t'$ .

**Example 8.**  $F(t_1, \dots, t_5) = \psi(t_1) - a\phi(t_2) - b \max\{\phi(t_3) + \phi(t_4), 2\phi(t_5)\}$ , where  $a, b \geq 0$  and  $a + 2b < 1$ .

The proof is similar to the proof of Example 7.

## 4 Main results

**Theorem 2.** Let  $(X, G)$  be a complete  $G$  - metric spaces and

$$F \left( \begin{array}{c} \psi(G(fx, fy, fy)), \phi(G(x, y, y)), \phi(G(x, fx, fx)), \\ \phi(G(y, fy, fy)), \phi(G(y, fx, fx)) \end{array} \right) \leq 0, \quad (2)$$

for all  $x, y \in X$ ,  $\psi \in \Psi$ ,  $\phi \in \Phi$  with  $\psi(t) > \phi(t)$  for  $t > 0$ .

Then  $f$  has a unique fixed point.

*Proof.* Let  $x_0 \in X$  be and  $x_n = fx_{n-1}$  for  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ . If there exists  $n_0$  such that  $x_{n_0} = x_{n_0+1}$ , then  $x_{n_0}$  is a fixed point of  $f$ . We suppose that  $x_n \neq x_{n+1}$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then, by (2) we obtain

$$F \left( \begin{array}{c} \psi(G(fx_{n-1}, fx_n, fx_n)), \phi(G(x_{n-1}, x_n, x_n)), \\ \phi(G(x_{n-1}, fx_{n-1}, fx_{n-1})), \\ \phi(G(x_n, fx_n, fx_n)), \phi(G(x_n, fx_{n-1}, fx_{n-1})) \end{array} \right) \leq 0,$$

$$F \left( \begin{array}{c} \psi(G(x_n, x_{n+1}, x_{n+1})), \phi(G(x_{n-1}, x_n, x_n)), \\ \phi(G(x_{n-1}, x_n, x_n)), \phi(G(x_n, x_{n+1}, x_{n+1})), 0 \end{array} \right) \leq 0.$$

Since  $\phi(G(x_n, x_{n+1}, x_{n+1})) < \psi(G(x_n, x_{n+1}, x_{n+1}))$ , then by ( $F_1$ ) we obtain

$$F \left( \begin{array}{c} \psi(G(x_n, x_{n+1}, x_{n+1})), \phi(G(x_{n-1}, x_n, x_n)), \\ \phi(G(x_{n-1}, x_n, x_n)), \psi(G(x_n, x_{n+1}, x_{n+1})), 0 \end{array} \right) \leq 0.$$

By ( $F_2$ ) we obtain

$$\psi(G(x_n, x_{n+1}, x_{n+1})) \leq \phi(G(x_{n-1}, x_n, x_n)) < \psi(G(x_{n-1}, x_n, x_n)). \quad (3)$$

Since  $\psi$  is nondecreasing we obtain

$$G(x_n, x_{n+1}, x_{n+1}) \leq G(x_{n-1}, x_n, x_n).$$

Hence  $\{G(x_n, x_{n+1}, x_{n+1})\}$  is a decreasing positive sequence and then  $\{G(x_n, x_{n+1}, x_{n+1})\}$  is a convergent sequence. Hence, there exists  $r \geq 0$  such that

$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} G(x_n, x_{n+1}, x_{n+1}) = r$ . We prove that  $r = 0$ . If  $r > 0$ , then letting  $n$  tend to infinity in the first part of (3) we obtain  $\psi(r) \leq \phi(r) < \psi(r)$ , a contradiction. Hence,  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} G(x_n, x_{n+1}, x_{n+1}) = 0$ . We prove that  $\{x_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence in  $X$ . Suppose that  $\{x_{2n}\}$  is not a Cauchy sequence. By Lemma 3, there exists  $\varepsilon > 0$  and two sequences  $\{n_k\}$  and  $\{m_k\}$  of positive integers such that

$$\begin{aligned}\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} G(x_{2n_k+1}, x_{2m_k}, x_{2m_k}) &= \varepsilon, \\ \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} G(x_{2n_k}, x_{2m_k-1}, x_{2m_k-1}) &= \varepsilon, \\ \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} G(x_{2m_k-1}, x_{2n_k+1}, x_{2n_k+1}) &= \varepsilon.\end{aligned}$$

By (2) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}F \left( \begin{array}{l} \psi(G(fx_{2n_k}, fx_{2m_k-1}, fx_{2m_k-1})), \phi(G(x_{2n_k}, x_{2m_k-1}, x_{2m_k-1})), \\ \phi(G(x_{2n_k}, fx_{2n_k}, fx_{2n_k})), \\ \phi(G(x_{2m_k-1}, fx_{2m_k}, fx_{2m_k})), \phi(G(x_{2m_k-1}, fx_{2n_k}, fx_{2n_k})) \end{array} \right) &\leq 0, \\ F \left( \begin{array}{l} \psi(G(x_{2n_k+1}, fx_{2m_k-1}, fx_{2m_k-1})), \phi(G(x_{2n_k}, x_{2m_k-1}, x_{2m_k-1})), \\ \phi(G(x_{2n_k}, x_{2n_k+1}, x_{2n_k+1})), \\ \phi(G(x_{2m_k-1}, x_{2m_k+1}, x_{2m_k+1})), \phi(G(x_{2m_k-1}, x_{2n_k+1}, x_{2n_k+1})) \end{array} \right) &\leq 0.\end{aligned}$$

Letting  $n$  tend to infinity we obtain

$$F(\psi(\varepsilon), \phi(\varepsilon), 0, 0, \phi(\varepsilon)) \leq 0.$$

Since  $\psi(\varepsilon) > \phi(\varepsilon)$ , by  $(F_1)$  we obtain

$$F(\psi(\varepsilon), \psi(\varepsilon), 0, 0, \phi(\varepsilon)) \leq 0.$$

By  $(F_3)$  we obtain

$$\psi(\varepsilon) \leq \phi(\varepsilon) < \psi(\varepsilon),$$

a contradiction.

Hence  $\{x_{2n}\}$  is a Cauchy sequence of  $(X, G)$ , which implies that  $\{x_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence in  $(X, G)$ . Since  $(X, G)$  is complete, there exists  $u \in X$  such that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = u$ . We prove that  $u$  is a fixed point of  $f$ .

By (2) for  $x = x_n$  and  $y = u$  we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}F \left( \begin{array}{l} \psi(G(fx_n, fu, fu)), \phi(G(x_n, u, u)), \phi(G(x_n, fx_n, fx_n)), \\ \phi(G(u, fu, fu)), \phi(G(u, fx_n, fx_n)) \end{array} \right) &\leq 0, \\ F \left( \begin{array}{l} \psi(G(x_{n+1}, fu, fu)), \phi(G(x_n, u, u)), \phi(G(x_n, x_{n+1}, x_{n+1})), \\ \phi(G(u, fu, fu)), \phi(G(u, x_{n+1}, x_{n+1})) \end{array} \right) &\leq 0.\end{aligned}$$

Letting  $n$  tend to infinity we obtain

$$F(\psi(G(u, fu, fu)), 0, 0, \phi(G(u, fu, fu)), 0) \leq 0.$$

By  $(F_1)$  we obtain

$$F(\psi(G(u, fu, fu)), 0, 0, \psi(G(u, fu, fu)), 0) \leq 0,$$

which implies  $u = fu$  and  $u$  is a fixed point of  $f$ .

Suppose that there exists another fixed point  $v \neq u$ . By (2) for  $x = u$  and  $y = v$  we obtain

$$F(\psi(G(fu, fv, fv)), \psi(G(u, v, v)), 0, 0, \phi(G(v, fu, fu))) \leq 0,$$

$$F(\psi(G(u, v, v)), \phi(G(u, v, v)), 0, 0, \phi(G(v, u, u))) \leq 0.$$

By  $(F_1)$  we obtain

$$F(\psi(G(u, v, v)), \psi(G(u, v, v)), 0, 0, \phi(G(v, u, u))) \leq 0.$$

By  $(F_3)$  we have

$$\psi(G(u, v, v)) \leq \phi(G(v, u, u)).$$

Similarly we obtain

$$\psi(G(v, u, u)) \leq \phi(G(u, v, v)).$$

Then

$$\psi(G(u, v, v)) \leq \phi(G(v, u, u)) \leq \psi(G(v, u, u)) \leq \phi(G(u, v, v)) < \psi(G(u, v, v)),$$

a contradiction. Hence,  $u = v$  and  $u$  is the unique fixed point of  $f$ . □

**Corollary 1.** *Let  $(X, G)$  be a complete  $G$  - metric spaces and  $f : X \rightarrow X$  be a mapping. If there exists  $\psi \in \Psi$  and  $\phi \in \Phi$  with  $\psi(t) > \phi(t)$  for  $t > 0$ , such that*

$$\begin{aligned} & \psi(G(fx, fy, fz)) \\ & \leq \phi(\max\{G(x, y, y), G(x, fx, fx), G(y, fy, fy), G(y, fx, fx)\}) \\ & = \max\{\phi(G(x, y, y)), \phi(G(x, fx, fx)), \phi(G(y, fy, fy)), \phi(G(y, fx, fx))\} \end{aligned}$$

for all  $x, y \in X$ , then  $f$  has a unique fixed point.

*Proof.* The proof it follows by Theorem 2, Example 2 and by the fact that  $\phi$  is nondecreasing. □

**Example 9.** *Let  $X = [0, \infty)$  and  $G : X^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$  be a  $G$  - metric on  $X$  defined by  $G(x, y, z) = \max\{|x - y|, |x - z|, |y - z|\}$ , for all  $x, y, z \in X$ . Then  $(X, G)$  is a complete metric space. Let  $\psi(t) = t$ ,  $\phi(t) = \frac{3}{4}t$ , then  $\psi(t) \in \psi$ ,  $\phi(t) \in \phi$  and  $\phi(t) < \psi(t)$ , for all  $t > 0$ . Let  $T : (X, G) \rightarrow (X, G)$  with  $Tx = \frac{1}{2}x$ . Then*

$$G(Tx, Ty, Ty) = |Tx - Ty| = \frac{1}{2}|x - y|$$

and

$$G(x, y, y) = |x - y|.$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} G(Tx, Ty, Ty) &= \frac{1}{2}|x - y| \leq \frac{3}{4}|x - y| = \frac{3}{4}G(x, y, y) \\ &\leq \frac{3}{4} \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} G(x, y, y), G(x, Tx, Tx), \\ G(y, Ty, Ty), G(y, Tx, Tx) \end{array} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\Psi(G(Tx, Ty, Ty)) \leq \phi \left( \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} G(x, y, y), G(x, Tx, Tx), \\ G(y, Ty, Ty), G(y, Tx, Tx) \end{array} \right\} \right).$$

By Corollary 1,  $f$  has a unique fixed point  $x = 0$ .

## References

- [1] M. Bousselsal, S. Hamidou Jah, *Property P and some fixed point results on a new  $\varphi$  - weakly contractive mappings*, J. Adv. Fixed Point Theory **4** (2014), no. 2, 169-183.
- [2] B. C. Dhage, *Generalized metric spaces and mappings with fixed point*, Bull. Calcutta Math. Soc. **84** (1992), no. 4, 329-336.
- [3] B. C. Dhage, *Generalized metric spaces and topological structures I*, An. Ştiinţ. Univ. Al. I. Cuza, Iaşi, Mat. **46** (2000), no. 1, 3-24.
- [4] M. S. Khan, M. Swaleh and S. Sessa, *Fixed point theorems by altering distance between two points*, Bull. Austral. Math. Sci. **30** (1984), 1-9.
- [5] Z. Mustafa and B. Sims, *Some remarks concerning D - metric spaces*, Proc. Conf. Fixed Point Theory Appl., Valencia (Spain), 2003, 189-198.
- [6] Z. Mustafa and B. Sims, *A new approach to generalized metric spaces*, J. Nonlinear Convex Anal. **7** (2006), 289-297.
- [7] V. Popa, *Fixed point theorems for implicit contractive mappings*, Stud. Cerc. Ştiinţ., Ser. Mat., Univ. Bacău **7** (1997), 127-134.
- [8] V. Popa, *Some fixed point theorems for compatible mappings satisfying an implicit relation*, Demonstr. Math. **32** (1999), no. 1, 157-163.
- [9] V. Popa, *A general fixed point theorem for several mappings in G - metric spaces*, Sci. Stud. Res., Ser. Math. Inform. **21** (2011), no. 1, 205-214.



- [10] V. Popa and A.-M. Patriciu, *A general fixed point theorem for pairs of weakly compatible mappings in  $G$  - metric spaces*, J. Nonlinear Sci. Appl. **5** (2012), no. 2, 151-160.
- [11] V. Popa and A.-M. Patriciu, *Fixed point theorems for mappings satisfying an implicit relation in complete  $G$  - metric spaces*, Bul. Inst. Politeh. Iași, Sect. I, Mat. Mec. Teor. Fiz. **59** (2013), no. 63, 97-123.
- [12] V. Popa and A.-M. Patriciu, *Fixed point theorems for two pairs of mappings satisfying common limit range property in  $G$  - metric spaces*, Bul. Inst. Politeh. Iași, Sect. I, Mat. Mec. Teor. Fiz. **62** (2016), no. 66, 19-42.
- [13] K. P. Sastri and G. V. R. Babu, *Fixed point theorems in metric spaces by altering distances*, Bull. Calcutta Math. Soc. **90** (1998), 175-182.
- [14] K. P. Sastri and G. V. R. Babu, *Some fixed point theorems by altering distances between two points*, Indian J. Pure Appl. Math. **30** (1999), 641-647.

