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Abstract: The following article’s purpose is studying the behaviour of base isolated structures. In order to estimate that a SDOF (Single Degree of Freedom) base isolated structure will be analysed. The analysis of the structure will be done using numerical analysis methods. Besides the results provided by the analysis of the structure this article can serve as an important collection of the design provisions for base isolated structures presented in the Romanian and European Building Codes for earthquake resistance.
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1. Introduction

The increase in number of major earthquakes all over the world urge the engineering society on finding new and effective ways in order to fight against the catastrophic results caused by them.

One of the most modern anti-seismic techniques is the base isolation. As the name suggests the principle behind seismic base isolation of structures consists in separating the superstructure from the infrastructure or base of a building. This way, because structural elements with low horizontal stiffness are interposed between the foundation and the main structure the construction will be decoupled from the effects of the horizontal component of the ground motion (Figure 1) [2].

Generally base isolated structures can be of two types:

1. Fully isolated structures, when the superstructure’s behaviour remains completely in the elastic range;

2. Partially isolated structures, if the superstructure doesn’t remain within the elastic range.

Fig. 1. Overview of a base isolated building

1.1. Notations Used in Article

- $d_c$ - displacement of the stiffness centre of base isolation;
- $T_f$ - fundamental period of the structure;
- $M$ - mass of the structure;
- $K_v$ - vertical stiffness;
- $S_e$ - spectral value of acceleration;
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- $f_j$ - seismic force for each level of superstructure;
- $m_j$ - mass for each level of superstructure;
- $\delta_x$ - amplification factor in x direction;
- $e_{cent,y}$ - eccentricity between center of mass and center stiffness;
- $K_{x,i}$, $K_{y,i}$ - stiffness of each isolator in x and y direction;
- $x_i$ and $y_i$ - distances from the center of gravity of all isolators until each isolator.

3. At the Ultimate limit state, the isolating devices may attain their ultimate capacity, while the superstructure and substructure remain in their elastic range. Then there is no need for capacity design and ductility detailing in either the superstructure or the infrastructure.

4. At the Ultimate limit state, gas lines and hazardous lifelines crossing the joints separating the superstructure from the surrounding ground or construction shall be designed to accommodate safely the relative displacement between the isolated superstructure and the surrounding ground or constructions, taking in account the magnification factor ($\gamma_s$) recommended in Chapter 2.1 of the present article.

5. The provisions presented in Section 11 of P100-1/2013 shall be used only in the case of isolated structures.

6. Although in some cases a partially inelastic behaviour of the substructure could be accepted, the Seismic Code considers the infrastructure acting exclusively in the elastic range.

2.2. Compliance Criteria [3-5]

In order to conform to all the fundamental requirements of P100-1 the following criteria should be respected in all the limit states of the structure:

1. At the damage limitation state, all lifelines crossing the joints around the isolated structure shall remain within the elastic range.
2. For the aforementioned limitation state the inter-story drifts of the superstructure should not exceed those recommended in Section 4 of P100-1/2013. The same applies for the infrastructure too.
of the structure should be positioned as close as possible to the projected centre of mass on the isolation surface.

In order to reduce the different compartment of the isolating devices, the compressive stress induced in them by the permanent actions (dead and super dead loads) should be as uniform as possible.

The isolation system shall be designed so that shocks and potential torsional movements could be controlled using appropriate measures, such as dampers, shock-absorbers etc. (Figure 2).

![Viscous damper installed in base isolated structure](image)

Fig. 2. Viscous damper installed in base isolated structure

2.3.3. Control of differential seismic ground motions [3-5]

The structural elements above and below the isolation surface shall be sufficiently rigid in both horizontal and vertical directions, so that the effects of differential seismic ground displacements are minimised. This does not apply to bridges or elevated structures, where the piles or piers located under the isolation interface may be deformable.

2.3.4. Evaluation of the behaviour factor [3-5]

In all the cases the behaviour factor shall be taken equal to \( q = 1 \).

2.3.5. Properties of the isolation system

Values of physical and mechanical properties of the isolation system to be used in the analysis shall be the most unfavourable ones to be attained during the lifetime of the structure.

This means that the accelerations and inertia forces induced by the earthquake should be evaluated taking into account the maximum value of the stiffness and the minimum value of damping and friction coefficients.

Displacements should be evaluated taking into account the minimum value of stiffness, damping and friction coefficients.

2.4. Structural Analysis [3-5]

The dynamic response of the structural system shall be analysed in terms of accelerations, inertia forces and displacements.

Modelling of the isolation system should reflect with a sufficient accuracy the spatial distribution of the isolator units, so that the translation in both horizontal directions, the corresponding overturning effects of the rotation about the vertical axis are adequately accounted for. It should reflect adequately the characteristics of the different types of units used in the isolation system.

The isolation system may be modelled using an equivalent linear behaviour if the following conditions are satisfied:

1. The effective stiffness of the isolation system \( (K_{eff}) \) is not less than 50% of the effective stiffness at a displacement of 0.2 \( d_{de} \).
2. The effective damping ratio \( (\xi_{eff}) \) does not exceed 30%.
3. The increase of the restoring force in the isolation system between displacement rate of 0.5 \( d_{de} \) and \( d_{de} \) is not less than 2.5% of the total gravity load above the isolation system.
If a linear equivalent model is used in the design process, the effective stiffness of each isolator unit (i.e. the secant value of the stiffness at the total design displacement $d_{db}$) should be used. The effective stiffness ($K_{eff}$) of the isolation system is the sum of the effective stiffnesses of the isolator units.

$$K_{eff} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} K_i.$$ (1)

If an equivalent linear model is used, the energy dissipation of the system should be expressed in terms of equivalent viscous damping, as the “effective damping of the system” ($\xi_{eff}$).

When the values of the effective stiffness or the effective damping are defined in relation with the displacement of the system ($d_{de}$), an iterative procedure shall be used in order to determine the actual displacement, until the difference between the assumed and calculated value of $d_{de}$ is less than 5% of the assumed value.

2.4.1. Simplified linear analysis [3-5]

The simplified linear analysis method may be applied to isolation systems with equivalent linear damped behaviour, if they also conform to all of the following conditions:

1. The largest dimension of the superstructure in plan does not exceed 50 m.
2. The infrastructure is sufficiently rigid to minimize the effects of differential displacements of the ground.
3. The effective period ($T_{eff}$) complies to the following condition:

$$3T_f \leq T_{eff} \leq 3\, s.$$ (2)

4. The lateral-load resisting system of the superstructure should be regularly and symmetrically arranged along the two main axes of the structure in plan.

5. The rocking rotation at the base of the substructure should be negligible.

6. The ratio between the vertical ($K_v$) and horizontal ($K_{eff}$) stiffness of the isolation system should comply to:

$$\frac{K_v}{K_{eff}} \geq 150.$$ (3)

7. The fundamental period in the vertical direction should not be longer than 0.1 s.

$$T_v = 2\pi \sqrt{\frac{M}{K_v}} \geq 0.1\, s.$$ (4)

The simplified linear analysis method considers two horizontal dynamic translations and superimposes static torsional effects. It assumes that the superstructure has a rigid-body movement if all the aforementioned conditions are satisfied.

The torsional movement around the vertical axis can be neglected in the evaluation of the effective horizontal stiffness and in the simplified linear analysis, if in each of the two principal horizontal directions, the total eccentricity (including the accidental eccentricity) between the stiffness centre of the isolation system and the vertical projection of the centre of mass of the superstructure does not exceed 7.5% of the length of the superstructure transverse to the horizontal direction considered. This is a crucial condition for the applicability of the simplified linear analysis method.

- The effective period for the translation could be found using:

$$T_{eff} = 2\pi \sqrt{\frac{M}{K_{eff}}}.$$ (5)

where, $K_{eff}$ is defined by Eq. (1).

- The displacement of the stiffness centre due to the seismic action should be
calculated in each horizontal direction, from the following expression:

\[ d_{de} = \frac{M \cdot S_e(T_{eff}, \xi_{eff})}{K_{eff, \text{min}}} \]  

(6)

- The horizontal forces applied at each level of the superstructure should be calculated, in each horizontal direction through the following expression:

\[ f_j = m_j \cdot S_e(T_{eff}, \xi_{eff}). \]  

(7)

- If the torsional movement about the vertical axis could be neglected, the torsional effects in the individual isolator units may be accounted for by amplifying, in each direction, the values calculated for \( d_{de} \) and \( f_j \) with factor \( d_i \) given (for the action in the \( x \) direction) by:

\[ \delta_{xi} = 1 + \frac{c_{rot,y}}{r_y^2} y_j. \]  

(8)

where \( r_y^2 \) is the torsional radius of the isolation system in the \( y \) direction, as given by:

\[ r_y^2 = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (x_i^2 \cdot K_{yi} + y_i^2 \cdot K_{xi})}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} K_{yi}}. \]  

(9)

3. Linear Simplified Analysis Model of a SDOF (Single Degree of Freedom) Base Isolated Structure

The simplest possible dynamical model of a base isolated structure is that which has only 1 DOF. Although, because in the case of base isolated structures the main effect of the seismic action on the structure is consumed in the fundamental period \([3]\), such a model can provide precious results regarding the behaviour and/or stress state of the structure.

For analysis purposes let’s consider the SDOF structure presented in Figure 3.

For the system presented in Figure 4 the following equations of movement could be applied according to [2]:

\[ M \ddot{u}_g + c \dot{u} + K_{eff} u = 0, \]  

(10)

\[ M (\ddot{u}_g + \ddot{u}) + c \dot{u} + K_{eff} u = 0, \]  

(11)

\[ \ddot{u} + 2 \xi \omega u + \omega^2 u = -\ddot{u}_g, \]  

(12)

where the following notations apply:

\[ \xi = \frac{c}{c_{cr}}; \quad \omega = \sqrt{\frac{K_{eff}}{M}}. \]  

(13)

The response in displacement units of the structure can be found using Duhamel’s Integral [2]:

\[ u(t) = \frac{1}{\omega} \int_{0}^{t} \tilde{u}_g(\tau) \sin \omega (t - \tau) d\tau. \]  

(14)

where:
As the damping ratio in civil structures is negligible, it could be assumed that:

\[ \omega^* \approx \omega. \]  

The maximum value of the relative displacement of the structure is defined by the following expression [2]:

\[ u(t) = \frac{\mu(t)}{S_d(T_{eff}, \xi_{eff})}, \]  

where \( T_{eff} \) is defined by Eq. (5).

The dynamic response of the SDOF system can be computed either in displacement, velocity or acceleration units using the relations below [1]:

- The spectral values of displacements are defined by:

\[ S_d(T_{eff}, \xi_{eff}) = \int_0^{\infty} \tilde{u}_g(\tau)\exp[-\omega^*(t-\tau)] \sin \omega(t-\tau) \frac{d\tau}{\omega^*}. \]  

- The spectral value of velocity can be found using:

\[ S_v(T_{eff}, \xi_{eff}) = \int_0^{\infty} \tilde{u}_g(\tau)\exp[-\omega^*(t-\tau)] \sin \omega(t-\tau) \frac{d\tau}{\omega^*}. \]  

- The spectral value of acceleration can be accounted for using:

\[ S_a(T_{eff}, \xi_{eff}) = \int_0^{\infty} \tilde{u}_g(\tau)\exp[-\omega^*(t-\tau)] \sin \omega(t-\tau) \frac{d\tau}{\omega^*}. \]  

- The base shear of the structure can be defined using Eq. (20–22) [2], [3]:

\[ F_T = K \cdot S_d(T_{eff}, \xi_{eff}) = M \cdot S_a(T_{eff}, \xi_{eff}). \]  

### 4. Performance Analysis of a SDOF Base Isolated Structure

In the following pages a performance analysis of a single story concrete structure is conducted. It is done using the simplified linear method. The structure will be subjected to the 1977 Vrancea Earthquake, which had a Magnitude of 7.2 on Richter’s scale (Figure 5).

![Site acceleration - Vrancea earthquake](image)

In order to evaluate the response of the single-storied concrete structure to the aforementioned earthquake the response spectrum analysis of the structure will be conducted using a MATLAB program, which gives the non-smoothed response spectrums for the Vrancea Earthquake. The program has as input the acceleration of ground and the output is spectral values in displacements, velocities and accelerations. The program is presented in [1].

#### 4.1. Details of the Analysed Structure

The structural plan of the building is given in Figure 6.

The proposed building for the analysis is a perfectly symmetrical RCC structure which complies to all of the requirements of the simplified linear analysis method.
The analysis will emphasise on the effects of gradually increasing damping ratio over the base shear, as well the effects of a longer fundamental period on the base shear.

4.2. Assumed Loads for the Analysed Structure

- Assumed snow load and live load:
  \[ S + U = 72 + 108 = 180 \text{ kN} \]  \( (21) \)

- Assumed super dead load and weight of structure:
  \[ SD + WS = 72 + 590.5 = 662.5 \text{ kN} \]  \( (22) \)

- Assumed lateral stiffness of the columns:
  \[ K_{eff} = \sum_{i=1}^{5} K_i = 54 \cdot 10^3 \frac{\text{N}}{\text{mm}} \]  \( (23) \)

- Assumed fundamental period for fixed base structure (Eq. 5):
  \[ T_{eff} = 2\pi \sqrt{\frac{M}{K_{eff}}} = 0.2467 \text{ s} \]  \( (24) \)

As specified in (2) the period for the base isolated structure should be in the following range:

\[ 0.74 \text{ s} \leq T_{eff} \leq 3 \text{ s}. \]  \( (25) \)

In order to simplify the calculations it will be assumed that the fundamental period of the base isolated structure will comply to:

\[ 1 \text{ s} \leq T_{eff} \leq 3 \text{ s}. \]  \( (26) \)

5. Analysis Results and Conclusions

The results of the analysis for the base isolated structure will be provided in the following figures.

Fig. 7. Period vs. base shear

Fig. 8. Displacement vs. base shear

The analysis results provided in Figure 7 show that the value of the base shear, which will be transferred to the superstructure will decrease with an increasing damping ratio, supporting the idea that base isolation should be utilised in combination with devices which can
provide a higher damping ratio (such as viscous dampers etc.), supporting the ideas presented in [1].

Also the results presented in Figure 7 illustrate that a longer fundamental period of the structure leads to a dramatic decrease in the value of base shear. This means that it is recommended to design base isolated structures with longer fundamental periods. This way the superstructure will be subjected to a decreased loading caused by seismic activity. The smaller seismic demand of the structural elements, the more slender the structure is.

It is worth to note that with the decrease of the base shear the displacements of the structure will increase (Figure 8), displacement control becoming the major problem of base isolated structures. Although the building itself suffers huge displacements the inter-story drifts will remain negligible.
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