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Abstract: Focusing his entire creation on the enhancement of the folkloric lode and Byzantine psychical melos, Paul Constantinescu, disciple of Mihail Jora composition school, takes withal forward the path opened by George Enescu, as regards the autochthonous “transformation” of the classical forms of the sonatina.
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1. Introduction

The third decade of the year 1900 is the auspicious period for the looming of genuinely creative personalities, in our great George Enescu’s sphere of influence: Mihail Jora, Sabin Drăgoi, Marţian Negrea and so forth; or, the younger Paul Constantinescu, Tudor Ciortea, Sigismund Toduţă. Chronologically, the genre of sonata is successfully approached, after Enescu, by Paul Constantinescu, whose Sonatina for Violin and Piano, composed in 1933, marks an initiatory moment. Vasile Tomescu, the author of the monograph on Paul Constantinescu’s creation, states the following: “So much Romanian musical poetical life throbs in the pages of the Sonatina by Paul Constantinescu that we dare say it gracefully opens the path for this genre in our country, along with the great Enescu’s sonatas” [5].

From his first compositions, conceived by the year 1930, Paul Constantinescu defines two sources of paramount interest for his creation: psaltic music and folk song. Pertaining to the compositions from the first creative stages, Sonatina reveals the expressiveness of an original musical language, “adequate to the requirements of the genre, which bestows on it, the interest of a qualitative leap, within our national creation” [5].

The contact with popular music, drawn from the collections made by Bartók, Breazul, Brăiloiu, Drăgoi and, especially, Anton Pann, enabled the composer to penetrate ever more thoroughly into the phenomenon and to continuously enlarge the modal-processing resources. The first and most important source of Paul Constantinescu’s themes - Anton Pann’s melodics – which crosses his overall oeuvre like a read thread, is a unifying model for the composer’s entire creation. The Oriental coloratura of his melodics, which illustrates the “prevailing” modern Greek culture (increased or decreased intervals, melismatic aspect and so on), shines through P. Constantinescu’s overall style, closely influencing the folklorically
or comically nuanced creation and coalescing it with the aesthetics of Balkanism; urban folklore, as unique folk species, is the unifying source and the basis of the folk-inspired or comically oriented creation. Furthermore, Anton Pann acts on P. Constantinescu’s Byzantine creation.

Therefore, Anton Pann’s melodic world reflects in the two musical works from the outset: Quintet for Violin and Wind Instruments (1932) and Sonatina for Violin and Piano (1933). An organic link may be noticed between these two compositions, in terms of melodic substance, and it sometimes reaches the identity of themes underlain by A. Pann’s collection of songs, Hospital of Amour. Akin to a neoclassical spirit – the sonatina even reveals cyclical reasoning – doubled by melismatic, sometimes chromatized melodics, of layered harmony and giusto rhythmic frame, the two “school” works foreshadow some attributes of the last opus, Triple Concerto for Violin, Violoncello, Piano and Orchestra (1963).

2. Classical Archetype in Sonatina for Violin and Piano

Constructed in three parts, the musical work embraces Enescu’s cyclical reasoning, which reveals the close blend of the first two parts, unlike whom the end appears strongly individualized.

A feature of this musical work is the miniature, simplified proportions of the archetype of sonata, an intentional fact enunciated by the composer in the title of the work - Sonatina. This entitling involves, inter alia, the didactic, school aspect of this composition, enjoyed by our emerging violin players.

In terms of form, the miniature aspect looms in the almost schematized contours of the stanzas (an exposition and a development “by the book”, as well as a shortened reprise, followed by a codetta). The thematic contrast is secured by the opposition of the two main themes, each reduced to the dimension of a period. The tonal plan circumscribes the two minor tonalities, in a relation of quint - G and D. The dynamics of the modalities (sometimes diatonic modes) is achieved by means of the composer’s choice procedure: tetrachordal transposition [I].

The I-st part begins with an 8-beat introduction, wherein two elements characteristic of the exposition stand out: Basic tonality, G minor, and the I-st theme incomplete (5 beats), followed by a sequential expansion (2 beats), which makes the passage towards the tone of A, wherein the I-st theme reappears, for the violin. The exposition, in another tonality of the main theme of the first part, is possible due to the introduction itself, which sets up the basic tonality (G minor, with its modal versions). Tonal instability may be noticed in the melodic construction of the main theme. The octaviating frame is cancelled and several sonorous centers easily set up, by the transposition of the constitutive pentachord of the first theme, a chromatic pentachord, with enlarged second between the steps III-IV.

Ex.1

The composer takes over this mutation from the Byzantine music, by applying the principle of the wheel (trohos), to wit, wheel I – transposition with common sound (conjunct) or connection of the type synaphé. In the special study dedicated to explaining the origin and nature of this procedure, the composer shows the numerous possibilities it opens: “[...] intertwining the various diatonic or chromatic tetrachords [...] either
conjunctively [...] or disjunctively [...] we obtain a manifold of combinations which, whether being simple melodic combinations, or suggesting modulating changes, may be used in the music with modal aspect [...]. To a lesser extent, however in the same way, either trichords, or pentachords, or hexachords may concatenate” [1].

![Ex. 2](image)

The main theme is characterized by V. Tomescu: “In a giusto rhythmic frame, of a rigorously measured dynamism, the I-st theme stands out robust, voluntary, and fairly directly suggests the grafting on the stem of the Romanian melos, of the procedures characteristic of Bach’s art” [5]. Hence, by the enhancement of the metric rhythm and by the accent symmetry and the uniform pulsation whereto the rhythmic pedal of the bass contributes (m. 1-8), tangent with the popular figuration, the first theme unveils one of its neoclassical facets. The takeover of the theme in the dialogue of the two instruments (m. 16), with imitations in the octave and the quint likewise signifies the remembrance of the procedures of Baroque essence.

In contrast, the II-nd theme is attacked through a plagal relation of descending second. This one breaks the rhythmic rigour of the I-st theme and evokes, by its melismatic profile and modal mobility (locric quint), the picturesque of Anton Pann’s music.

![Ex. 3](image)

This way, some rhythmic-melodic profiles of the themes of this origin prove a common root, by the close intonation aspect, sometimes reaching similitude, as shown by the comparison between the II-nd theme of the sonatina and the I-st theme, part I, of the Quintet for Violin and Wind Instruments.

![Ex. 4](image)
The rigour of the harmonic-polyphonic scoring, whilst playing the I-st theme, changes and acquires lightness in the case of the II-nd theme, in terms of plan intertwining. The voices display reductive heterophony aspects (the pianist performs a simplified form of the melody played on the violin) and quasi-imitative (by the delay of the piano play, with stationing on augmentative durations, compared to the main plan in the violin play).

Ex. 5.

The stop on $D$ with the second and seventh ajoutées marks, once with the end of the exposition, the moment of suspense for the attack of the development.

The development first highlights separately, the valences of the main theme and thereafter confronts them, in a synthesis, with the valences of the secondary theme. This development plan is achieved along three stages, this way: in the I-st stage (m. 46-53), on the tonal frame of the exposition, two thematic replays occur, first on the violin, in chromatic $D$ minor, with piano response, in chromatic $A$ minor, in incomplete thematic form; in the II-nd stage (m. 54-73), continuing an entrance in stretto at the octave, in chromatic $E$, the theme suffers sequential external expansions of the final cell (in anapaest rhythm) of its first phrase, reaching a pedal of $B$ major-minor, with enlarged quart ajoutée, and ending in a chromatic manner on the same centre - $B$ in the variant chromatic 1d, specific to the urban folklore, the melodic inspirational source of the sonatina.

Ex. 6.
The emphasis on this modal centre, seen as a stop on the dominant of E – tone of the following stage, is achieved on a rhythmic pedal of ascending oscillation, a procedure frequently resorted to by the composer in the expansions of his folk themes.

Ex. 7.

The III-rd stage (m. 74-86), in terms of developmental climax, brings the synthesis of the two themes, assigned this way: on the piano, reflexes of the I-st theme, on the violin, the consequent of the second theme. In an uncertain oscillation of the modal centres (Doric E, Phrygian D/G) the remodulation to the tone of the reprise is made (G minor), with picardian stop on the V-th stage with the lowered quint, a double sensitisation being thereby achieved for the modal centre G.

Ex. 8.

This example also highlights other interesting aspects, such as heterophony, in reductive form on the piano (marked with dotted lines), which emphasizes, by anticipations or delays, the oblique dimension of the musical discourse, as well as the mobility of the tetrachordic melodic cells, marked with the letter x, centred sometimes in the lower pole (D), other times in the higher pole (G). The modulation by tetrachord mutation is made likewise in this case, operable on the level of the common tetrachord to the two Phrygian modes, D and G.

Ex. 9.
The shortened reprise (m. 87) concentrates the I-st theme to a unique utterance in the basic tonality, G minor, this time on the violin; thereafter, on its dynamic replay, the secondary theme overlaps, in octaves, on the piano, likewise in the basic tonality. One may also note the absence of the bridge in the reprise. A rhythmic acceleration (stringendo), whereto the fragmented replay of the capo of the main theme contributes, leads towards a codetta (Vivo, m. 104), which, as cadence appendix on the tonic, delivers, in two imitative pairs, the thematic capo, followed by an ascending scale covering three octaves, on the violin, on the range G minor melodic. Here it is how the main tonality proves, through the multiple variants of mobile steps, a tonal-modal, diatonic-chromatic symbiosis, centred on the sound G.

6. Conclusions

To draw a conclusion, the autochthonous implications of the sonata in the creation of the genre for violin and piano are under the sign of that global aesthetic climate, defined by the classical “immanence” of the interwar Romanian compositional reasoning, placed in the general coordinates of equilibrium and symmetry, of sobriety and economy of means. Not outdated, the sonata form has proved that, far from having run out of resources, it may be refreshed by infusing new elements of language, apparently incompatible with its functioning principles. Moving it away from pure musical expression and drawing it closer to the musical picturesque, this infusion, particularised in a musical characterology specific to folk genres, transmits a specifically Romanian content to the traditional characterological sectors of the form and agogic of the sonata cycle [2].

As noted by Mihail Jora at the time, Paul Constantinescu’s sonatina finds its correspondent during the epoch, in Dinu Lipatti’s similar work, issued during the same year, 1933: “This Sonatina, which, along with the one of Dinu Lipatti, must underlie the young Romanian musical generation’s literature for violin and piano has, in our light, three essential features: it is musical, it is Romanian and it is destined for violin. The themes are brief and characteristic in the developments strictly limited by the content of the form. Moulded with contrapuntal understanding and arrayed in a modern, vigorously harmonic system” [5].
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